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## FOREWORD

It gives me great pleasure to table the Annual Performance Plan for the Department of Social Development \& Special Programmes 2011/12. The Annual Performance Plan is a reflection of the priorities, targets and intentions of the department to achieve its service delivery mandate. The Department seeks to play a catalyst role in addressing the problem of poverty through the short, medium and long term poverty alleviation strategies to the poor and vulnerable of our province through a developmental approach.

During this current mandate, the Department has sought both to facilitate the delivery of equitable, effective and appropriate developmental social welfare services to the poorest and most vulnerable sectors of our society.

## Achievements:

- Improvement in Management systems
- District development plan in place
- Partnership and networks of relations and means to acknowledge and reward best performing individuals
- Committed work force that is eager to learn.


## Challenges:

- Scale up our programs to contribute to the creation of an empowered, fair \& inclusive citizenship.
- Generate sufficient knowledge through capacity building and research to inform our planning and programming.
- Improve our Service delivery monitoring, strategic information analyses and monitoring, impact assessments and organizational performance.
- Mobilize key stakeholders to contribute to the development of social infrastructure that supports integrated service delivery.
- Fast track our pace towards a more developmental, integrated service oriented department.

Having assessed the progress made by the department in providing care and support to the people of the Eastern Cape, in this current financial year specific goals and targets have been set against the related and relevant policies of the Department through the various medium and long term interventions including Policy Speech, Departmental 5 Year Strategic and Annual Performance Plan. The Department has strongly committed itself to concrete actions aimed at fighting poverty having been informed by the 12 government outcomes and 8 provincial priorities in response to the needs of our communities in the province, the following outcomes are critical to be effected by the department during the current and next budget years:

## 12 Government Outcomes

1. Quality basic education
2. A long and healthy life for all South Africans
3. All people in South Africa are and feel safe
4. Decent employment through inclusive economic growth
5. Skilled and capable workforce to support an inclusive growth path
6. An efficient, competitive and responsive economic infrastructure network
7. Vibrant, equitable, sustainable rural communities contributing towards food security for all
8. Sustainable human settlements and improved quality of household life
9. Responsive, accountable, effective and efficient Local Government system
10. Protect and enhance our environmental assets and natural resources
11. Create a better South Africa, a better Africa and a better world
12. An efficient, effective and development oriented public service and an empowered, fair and inclusive citizenship

## 8 Provincial Priorities

1. Speeding up growth and transforming the economy to create decent work and sustainable livelihoods
2. Building social and economic infrastructure
3. Rural development, land, agrarian reform, and food security
4. Strengthening education, and building a skills and human resources base
5. Improving the health profile of the Province
6. Intensifying the fight against crime and corruption
7. Building a developmental state, improving the public services, and strengthening democratic institutions
8. Building a cohesive, caring and sustainable communities

## Programme 1

| Outcome | Output | Sub-output 1 | Sub-output 2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Outcome (8) Sustainable human <br> settlements and improved quality of <br> households | 8.1 Increase and integrate social <br> development facilities (social <br> infrastructure) in human <br> settlements | Implement a medium term <br> infrastructure plan for social <br> development | (12) An Efficient, Effective <br> ensure greater responsiveness <br> of the Department to citizens |
| Outcome (12.1 Service delivery quality and <br> and Development Oriented Public <br> access <br> Inclusive Citizenship | Enhance complaints <br> management mechanisms to |  |  |
| Outcome (12) An Efficient, Effective <br> and Development Oriented Public <br> Service and an Empowered, Fair and <br> Inclusive Citizenship | $\mathbf{1 2 . 2}$ Human resource <br> management and development | Effective and efficient <br> performance management and <br> development | Attract and retain scarce <br> and professional skills |
| Outcome (12) An Efficient, Effective <br> and Development Oriented Public <br> Service and an Empowered, Fair and <br> Inclusive Citizenship | 12.3 Business processes, <br> systems, decision rights and <br> accountability management | Appropriate financial, HR and <br> administrative delegations for <br> improved decision making | Improve Supply Chain, <br> Asset and Inventory <br> Management |

## Programme 2

| Outcome | Output | Sub-output 1 | Sub-output 2 | Sub-output 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outcome (1) Quality basic education | Improve early childhood development [ECD] | Increase ECD programmes and partial care facilities registered | Increase the number of children subsidized |  |
| Outcome (2) A long and healthy life for all South Africans | Reduce the impact of HIV and AIDS | Develop and implement programmes to promote social change | National action plan for orphans and other children made vulnerable by HIV and AIDS implemented [800,000 Orphaned and Vulnerable children receive Psychosocial Support Services] |  |
| Outcome (2) A long and healthy life for all South Africans | Capacity building Programs and M\&E system developed | HCBC organisations capacity strengthened and personnel trained [Norms <br>  <br> Management Capacity] | The monitoring and evaluation system for HCBC implemented [52 districts implementing the HCBC M\&E system] |  |


| Outcome (4) Decent <br> employment through <br> inclusive growth | Work opportunities <br> created through <br> Expanded Public Works <br> Program (EPWP) in the <br> Social Sector | Social Sector EPWP <br> coverage expanded | Capacity of EPWP <br> beneficiaries strengthened | Enabling environment to <br> improve employability of <br> EPWP workers created |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Outcome | Output | Sub-output 1 | Sub-output 2 | Sub-output 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outcome (3) All people in South Africa are and feel safe | 3.1 Improve effectiveness and ensure integration of the Criminal Justice System | Diversion regulatory framework and accreditation system implemented | Implement integrated VEP policy guidelines | Prevention programmes on gender based violence implemented |
| Outcome (3) All people in South Africa are and feel safe | 3.2 Reduce substance abuse | Implementation of substance abuse prevention programmes and services [Ke Moja awareness campaigns, aftercare integration] |  |  |
| Outcome (3) All people in South Africa are and feel safe | 3.3 Significantly reduce social crime | Implement blueprint model for secure care centres [09 additional new facilities by 2014] | Establishment of additional shelters for victims of gender based violence | Implement Crime Prevention programmes for children and youth |
| Outcome (3) All people in South Africa are and feel safe | Improve the child protection system for children in terms of the Children's Act | Implement electronically, <br> Part A of the Child Protection Register |  |  |
| Outcome (5) Skilled and capable workforce to support and inclusive growth | Increase the number of social services professions | Implementation of the action plans for the management of the scholarship programme | Rural Allowance |  |
| Outcome (8) Sustainable human settlements and improved quality households | Strengthen family and community interventions that foster social cohesion | programmes and services to preserve family life implemented | Implement guidelines for the promotion of parental rights and responsibilities |  |
| Outcome (9) Responsive, accountable, efficient and effective Local Government System | Promote the inclusion of social development programmes and projects in IDPs | Increased capacity of local communities to participate in local decision making and service delivery |  |  |
| Outcome (11) <br> Create a better South Africa, a better Africa and a better world | Promote bilateral and multilateral initiatives that contributes to poverty alleviation | Best practice public employment (EPWP) and skills development programmes shared |  |  |

## Programme 3

| Outcome | Output | Sub-output 1 | Sub-output 2 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Outcome (7) Vibrant, <br> equitable, sustainable <br> rural communities <br> contributing towards food <br> security | 7.1 Improved access to <br> affordable and diverse <br> food | Link poor households to <br> appropriate food security <br> programmes | Food production capacity <br> of communities and <br> households strengthened |  |
| Outcome (7) Vibrant, <br> equitable, sustainable <br> rural communities <br> contributing towards food <br> security | 7.2 Rural services and <br> sustainable livelihoods | Profile communities to <br> establish needs, livelinood <br> strategies and services <br> required | Sern | Social and community <br> mobilization campaigns <br> for community <br> development <br> implemented |
| Outcome (7) Vibrant, <br> equitable, sustainable <br> rural communities <br> contributing towards food <br> security | 7.3 Enabling <br> institutional environment <br> for sustainable and <br> inclusive growth | Improve institutional <br> mechanisms to support <br> community driven <br> development and social <br> cohesion <br> making and service <br> delivery |  |  |
| Outcome (4) Decent <br> employment through <br> inclusive growth | Work opportunities <br> created through <br> Expanded Public Works <br> Program (EPWP) in the <br> Social Sector | Social Sector EPWP <br> coverage expanded | Capacity of EPWP <br> beneficiaries <br> strengthened | Enabling environment to <br> improve employability of <br> EPWP workers created |

This Annual Performance Plan is a comprehensive document that contains all programmes of this department and is underpinned by the pillars and values of a developmental approach in terms of the Integrated Service Delivery Model.

Commitment of the department: We commit to mobilize all our key strategic partners and communities in building a


Honourable Pemmy Majodina
MEC for Social Development and Special Programmes
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## LIST OF ACRONYMS

ABET: Adult Basic Education Training
ACDP: Assistant Community Development Practitioner
AGSA: Auditor General South Africa
AIDS: Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome
BAS: Basic accounting System
CFO: Chief Financial Officer
CHH: Child Headed Households
CIO: Chief Information Officer
COO: Chief Operations Officer
CPO: Child Protection Organisation
CYCC: Child and Youth Care Centre
DSDSP: Department of Social Development and Special Programmes
ECD: Early Childhood Development
ECPG: Eastern Cape Provincial Government
EEP: Employment Equity Policy
EXCO: Executive Committee
EPWP: Expanded Public Works Programme
GIS: Geographical Information Systems
HCBC: Home Community Based Care
HCM: Human Capital Management
HDI: Historically Disadvantaged Individuals
HIPP: High Impact Priorities
HIV: Human Immunodeficiency Virus
HOD: Head of the Department
HRA: Human Resources Administration
HRD: Human Resources Development
HSRC: Human Sciences Research Council

ICT: Information Communication Technology
IDP: Intergovernmental Development Plan
IEW: Integrated Employee Wellness
IGR: Inter-Governmental Relations
IMST: Information Management Systems Technology
IOD: Injury on Duty
ISS: Information System Security
IT: Information Technology
LAN: Local Area Network
LR: Labour Relations
M\&E: Monitoring and Evaluation
MEC: Member of Executive Council
MIS: Management Information System
MOU: Memorandum of Understanding
MTSF: Medium Term Strategic Framework
MTEF: Medium Term Expenditure Framework
NDA: National Development Agency
NFD: Non-Financial Data
NISIS: National Integrated Social Information System
NGO: Non-Governmental Organisation
NPO: Non-Profit Organisation
NQF: National Qualifications Framework
OD: Organisational Development
OTP: Office of the Premier
PFMA: Public Financial Management Act
PGDP: Provincial Growth and Development Plan
PMDS: Performance Management and Development System
POA: Programme of Action

PSCBC: Public Service Coordination and Bargaining Council
SAPS: South African Police Service
SDE: Spatial Data Engine
SDIP: Service Delivery Improvement Plan
SPU: Special Programme Unit
STATSSA: Statistics South Africa

TADA: Teenagers Against Drug Abuse
VEP: Victim Empowerment Program

## PART A: STRATEGIC OVERVIEW

## Vision

A caring society for the protection and development of the poor and vulnerable towards a better life for all.


#### Abstract

Mission To improve the quality of life and social well-being of the poor and vulnerable with a special focus on women children, older persons, youth and people with disabilities through integrated developmental social services.


## 1. Updated situational analysis

The situational analysis is informed by the comprehensive narrative in the five-year strategic plan 2010/11-2014/15. The Eastern Cape Department operates in one of the poorest provinces in the country. Impoverishment and underdevelopment of the province continues to pose a serious challenge and calls for a changed approach in government and a re-focus on the strategic interventions that addresses poverty. As the part of the constitutional mandate of the Department, the Conceptual Framework is towards poverty reduction.

The Department of Social Development and Special Programmes has a Provincial Office that serves as the Head Office situated in King Williams Town. There are seven District Offices in each of the seven District Municipalities. Under the District offices, there are 24 Area Offices that are in line with the Local Municipality boundaries with 131 Service offices as determined by the current approved organisational structure. These local service offices are allocated to the magisterial areas of the Eastern Cape and serving 6,5m people.

The above-mentioned offices are accommodating 3746 employees of the Department of Social Development and Special Programmes. Currently 711 posts are vacant out of 4457 posts on the organisational structure. Currently the Department has 90 Service offices with existing physical structures. The rest are leased buildings or dilapidated buildings and park homes.

The infrastructure backlog for office accommodation is as follows. Out of 131 Service offices, 41 Service offices need solid structures costing and estimated amount of R369m to eradicate the office accommodation backlog over a period of ten years. Presently the Department is getting a budget of R15m a year of which half of it is directed towards maintenance of the existing offices and the rest to building new offices.

Institutions are influenced by the statistics in different areas and currently the department has nine existing institutions. The Department is targeting four new institutions in different areas of the Province and renovate two at an estimated cost of R187m over a five year period. This equates to R37m a year. Presently the Department is getting R23m a year. In order for the Department to fulfil its infrastructural needs over a period of five years, a budget of about R75m is needed.

The Department is also reviewing allocation of local service offices closer to the communities in which they serve and is experiencing an acute shortage of accommodation throughout the province. Budget constraints are the biggest challenges to delivery of infrastructure.

### 1.1 Performance delivery environment

The strategic themes to which the department has committed itself over the medium term are tackling child, adult and older person's poverty, youth development, social cohesion, strengthening of civil society and communities, governance and institutional development, as well as regional and international solidarity and support. The themes are the mechanism through which the department carries its mandate, which is to effectively implement programmes for the eradication of poverty and promotion of social protection within a context of sustainable development by targeting the poor, vulnerable and marginalised members of the society.

While current social-assistance policies provide for children and the elderly in poor households, the disabled, youth, caregivers and the unemployed require further focus. Over the MTEF, the department intends to develop policy proposals that will foster greater responsibility and self-reliance and link social safety net benefits to training and employment with a view to ensuring sustainability of the social assistance system and thereby renewing the social contract between government and the people.

High inflation and the overall global recession have impacted on the ability of the poor to access adequate nutritious food. In order to mitigate the impact of this challenge, the department is participating in improving health profile of the Province led by the department of Health. In this regard, the department in partnership with social partners facilitated the development and implementation of the community food-bank concept as a mechanism to improve access to adequate and nutritious food.

The primary objective of social co-operatives is to create sustainable employment for disadvantaged and vulnerable groups through the provision of social services. Besides ECD and HCBC strategic interventions, there are other social service-delivery gaps that could be used to pilot social co-operatives e.g. training of care givers in line with the massification strategy of the EPWP mainly in the rural nodes.

The department is experiencing an acute shortage of accommodation throughout the province. The Budget allocation in this regard is so meagre such that it cannot manage to build offices within the prescribed period. In the meantime the Department intends to lease offices so that these backlogs can be temporally addressed. For the department to perform its mandate within its service delivery standards service offices must be nearer to the communities.
This scenario, particularly the sharing of offices, seriously compromises the Department on areas of client confidentiality.

### 1.2 Organisational environment

What is increasingly clear in the current context of the developmental approach to social service delivery is that the two core functions, namely: Developmental Social Welfare and Community Development as the main employer of social service professionals in the department, can only be as effective as the quality and quantity of human resources generally and social service professionals in particular.

The department is also implementing programmes and strategies to improve the working conditions of social service professionals and professionalise community-development practice and youth work. This will ensure that these two categories of professionals are guided by the codes of conduct. The process to standardise education and training of community development practitioners is at an advanced stage. This will lead to the registration of community-development unit standards and qualifications at NQF Levels. Guidelines for the practice of community development will also be developed to guide practitioners and ensure improved and efficient service delivery. Social welfare services are rendered by government, non-profit organisations (NPO) and private sector organisations.

However, government departments alone cannot provide the required level of social welfare services for our country. The NPO sector does not have sufficient funding to deliver the required services; hence the need for government to subsidise these organisations to ensure the effective and efficient delivery of the said services. The most critical challenge in the delivery of social welfare services continues to be the skills shortage of one of the most important resources in service delivery, namely social workers. Fortunately social work has been declared as a scarce skill in line with the government's Scarce Skills Framework, and the department has developed a Recruitment and Retention Policy for social workers with the purpose of ensuring the recruitment and retention of a social development cadre within the profession. The Department has made an effort to populate the current structure, especially the support staff. What remains is the filling of senior positions, e.g. COO and CFO. These critical posts will be filled during the second quarter of this financial year

## 2. Revisions to legislative and other mandates

The Department of Social Development derives its mandate from several pieces of legislation and policies, including the White Paper for Social Welfare (1997) and the Population Policy (1998). The constitutional mandate of the department is to provide sector-wide national leadership in social development.

Based on its mandate, the Department of Social Development develops and implements programmes for the eradication of poverty, social protection and social development among the poorest of the poor, and the most vulnerable and marginalised. The department effectively implements this through its partnerships with its primary customers/clients and all those sharing its vision.

## Aged Persons Act, 1967 / Older Persons Act, 2006

This Act provides for the protection and welfare of certain aged and debilitated persons, for the care of their interests, for the establishment and registration of certain institutions, and for the accommodation and care of older persons in such institutions. The Act was amended a number of times before April 1994. Further amendments were made in November 1994 in order to repeal certain discriminatory provisions. Further amendments were also made in November 1998 to provide for the establishment of management committees for homes for the aged, to require reporting on the abuse of aged persons, and to regulate the prevention of the abuse of aged persons.

The Older Persons Bill that was tabled in 2003 was passed into law in 2006. The President has assented to the Older Persons Act, 2006, which repeals the Aged Persons Act, 1967.
The 2006 Act was to be promulgated during the financial year 2007/08. The Act deals effectively with the plight of older persons by establishing a framework aimed at the empowerment and protection of older persons and the promotion and maintenance of their status, rights, well-being, safety and security. This Act provides for older persons to enjoy quality of services while they stay with their families in the community for as long as possible. Chapter 3 of the Act deals specifically with the development of community-based care and support programmes that fall into two broad categories, namely, prevention and promotion programmes which ensures the independent living of older persons in the community; and home-based care, which ensures that frail older persons are receiving maximum care within the community through comprehensive range of integrated services. It further recognises the wisdom, knowledge for the protection and skills of older persons. Furthermore, it promotes active participation of older persons in the community.

## Fund-Raising Act, 1978

The Fund-Raising Act of 1978, which provided for control of the collection of contributions from the public and for the establishment of various relief funds, was, except for the relief fund chapter thereof, repealed in 1997 by the Non-Profit Organisations Act, 1997. The department is in the process of amending the remaining part of the Act.

## Social Service Professions Act, 1978

This Act, formerly known as the Social Work Act, provides for the establishment of the South Africa Council for Social Work and defines its powers and functions. The Act was amended in 1995 to provide for the establishment of the South African Interim Council for Social Work and for the rationalisation of certain laws relating to social workers that remained in force in the various areas of the provincial territory of the Republic. The Act was also amended in 1996 in order to make the South African Interim Council for Social Work more representative of the people of the country. The1998 amendment established the South African Council for Social Service Professions, and professional boards for social service professions. This Act will be revised during this period.

## Child Care Act, 1983 / Children's Act, 2005

The Child Care Act, 1983, which provides for the establishment of children's courts and the appointment of commissioners of child welfare, for the protection and welfare of certain children, for the adoption of children, for the establishment of certain institutions for the reception of children and for the treatment of children after such reception, was amended in 1996 to provide for legal representation for children and for the registration of shelters. The 1998 amendment provided for the rights of certain natural fathers, where the adoption of their children born out of wedlock was proposed, and for certain notice to be given. The 1999 amendment provided for the establishment of secure care facilities and for the prohibition against the commercial sexual exploitation of children.

This Act provides for the establishment and implementation of programmes aimed at combating crime and for the rendering of assistance to and treatment of certain persons involved in crime. The Probation Services Amendment Bill was approved by Parliament in August 2002. The Probation Services Amendment Act, 2002 (Act No. 35 of 2002) came into operation on 7 November, 2002.

The Child Care Act, 1983, has been under review for the past few years, leading to the drafting of a comprehensive Children's Bill, which was introduced into Parliament in 2003. Subsequently, the Bill was found to be one of mixed character, in that it combined aspects conferring rights (which fall under section 75 of the Constitution dealing with matters falling within the functional area of national legislative competence) and services (which fall under section 76 of the Constitution dealing with matters falling within the functional area of concurrent national and provincial legislative competence). In the absence of any procedure in Parliament to deal with mixed Bills, the Children's Bill had to be split into two, i.e. the section 75 components and the section 76 component. The section 75 component was passed by the provincial Assembly and the President signed it into law in October 2005. It is now known as the Children's Act, 2005. The section 76 component was introduced in the NCOP as the Children's Amendment Bill, which will amend the Children's Act, 2005, in order to incorporate into the law matters falling within the functional area of concurrent national and provincial legislative competence.

## Prevention and Treatment of Drug Dependency Act, 1992

This Act provides for the establishment of programmes for the prevention and treatment of drug dependency, the establishment of treatment centres and hostels, the registration of institutions as treatment centres and hostels, and the committal of certain persons to detention, treatment and training in such treatment centres or registered treatment centres. The Act was amended in 1996 to extend the application of the Act to the whole of the provincial territory of the Republic, and in 1999 to establish the Central Drug Authority. The Act was reviewed in the past
financial year and the department will introduce into Parliament for consideration the Prevention of and Treatment for Substance Abuse Bill.

## Social Assistance Act, 1992, and Welfare Laws Amendment Act, 1997

The Social Assistance Act, 1992, provides for the rendering of social assistance to persons, national councils and welfare organisations. The Act was amended in 1994 to further regulate the making of grants and financial awards to certain persons and bodies. In 1997, the Welfare Laws Amendment Act, 1997, amended the Social Assistance Act, 1992, in order to provide for uniformity, equality of access and effective regulation of social assistance throughout the Republic; to introduce the child-support grant; to do away with capitation grants; to abolish maintenance grants subject to the phasing out of existing maintenance grants over a period not exceeding three years; to provide for the delegation of certain powers; and to extend the application of the provisions of the Act to all areas in the Republic.

The following recent amendments have been made to the regulations under the Social Assistance Act, 1992:

- The assessment process for disability and care dependency was simplified by removing the role of the pensions' medical officer and making provision for the creation of assessment panels to enable individuals living in rural areas who have no access to doctors, to be assessed by a panel, so that they can qualify for a grant.
- The income level for the means test to qualify for the Care Dependency Grant has been increased. Applicants are required to declare the income of the parents only, rather than the income of the household, as was done previously.
- The asset means for all grant types are taken at nil values if the property is owned and occupied by the applicant and his or her spouse.
- The extension of the Child-Support Grant to children up to 14 years of age.

During the 2002/03 financial year, Cabinet approved the extension of the means-tested Child-Support Grant beyond the age of seven to children up to their 14th birthday. A phased approach in implementing this new policy shift was agreed to, whereby children under the age of nine years qualified for this benefit in the 2003/04 financial year. Children under the age of 11 years qualified in the 2004/05 financial year, and children under the age of 14 years qualified in the 2005/06 financial year. These amendments require people to be properly informed about any decisions made with respect to their grants.

## Social Assistance Act, 2004

A new Social Assistance Act, No. 13 of 2004, has been signed into law by the President and will soon become operational.

The Social Assistance Act of 2004 continues to provide the legislative framework for the provision of socialassistance grants, in the main, but excludes the provisions for funding to non-profit organisations. The Act also provides the legal instruments for shifting the social-assistance function to the provincial sphere of government, and provides for the Social Security Agency to render the management and administration of social grants.

## Non-Profit Organisations Act, 1997

This Act repealed the Fund-Raising Act, 1997, excluding the chapter that deals with relief funds, and provided for an environment in which non-profit organisations can flourish. The Act also established an administrative and regulatory framework within which non-profit organisations can conduct their affairs. The Act was amended in 2000 to effect certain textual alterations.

## National Development Agency Act, 1998

The provincial Development Agency Act, 1998, provides for a national funding, capacity-building and co-ordination structure known as the provincial Development Agency (NDA). The NDA is mandated to grant funds to civil society organisations (CSOs) to enhance capacity and promote development dialogue, while also meeting the developmental needs of poor communities.

## Advisory Board on Social Development Act, 2001

The Act provides for a national advisory structure in the social-development sector with the aim of building and consolidating partnerships between government and civil society, and for that purpose, to establish a body to be known as the Advisory Board on Social Development.

## White Paper for Social Welfare (1997)

The White Paper sets out the principles, guidelines, proposed policies and programmes for developmental social welfare in South Africa. As the primary policy document, the White Paper serves as the foundation for social welfare in the post-1994 era.

## White Paper Population Policy for South Africa (1998)

The White Paper aims to promote sustainable human development and quality of life for all South Africans through the integration of population issues into development planning in all spheres of government and in all sectors of society. The policy mandates the Department of Social Development to monitor the implementation of the policy and its impact on population trends and dynamics in the context of sustainable human development. Government departments and their counterparts in civil society should be capacitated and supported to understand the critical linkages between population and development, and to integrate population issues in development planning, through research and the dissemination of data and information.

Domestic Violence Act, 1998
Provincial social workers and lay counsellors require training in the implementation of the Domestic Violence Act. The provincial department is participating in the development of an integrated manual on the Domestic Violence Act, which will be used to jointly train social workers, the police and court personnel.

## 3. Overview Of 2011 Budget And MTEF Estimates

### 3.1 Expenditure estimates

| Department of Social Development and Special Programs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Programme | Audited outcomes |  |  | Adjusted appropriatio n | Medium-term expenditure estimate |  |  |
| R thousand | 2007/08 | 2008/09 | 2009/10 | 2010/11 | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 |
| 1. Administration | 195,847 | 342,183 | 405,808 | 433,152 | 357,367 | 371,633 | 391,569 |
| 2. Social Welfare Services | 548,816 | 795,888 | 858,266 | 969,936 | 1,095,237 | 1,160,837 | 1,227,691 |
| 3. Development and Research | 141,437 | 186,074 | 179,822 | 175,636 | 258,602 | 272,381 | 286,633 |
| Subtotal | 886,100 | 1,324,145 | 1,443,896 | 1,578,724 | 1,711,206 | 1,804,851 | 1,905,893 |
| Direct charges against the National Revenue Fund |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 886,100 | 1,324,145 | 1,443,896 | 1,578,724 | 1,711,206 | 1,804,851 | 1,905,893 |
| Economic classification |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current payments | 429,233 | 712,444 | 879,054 | 950,850 | 1,054,287 | 1,113,304 | 1,176,758 |
| Compensation of employees | 277,008 | 434,565 | 585,011 | 688,135 | 827,402 | 873,518 | 924,542 |
| Goods and services of which: | 148,981 | 271,837 | 294,043 | 262,715 | 226,885 | 239,786 | 252,216 |
| Administrative fees | 50 | - | 93 | 145 | 719 | 756 | 796 |
| Advertising | 910 | 560 | 2,101 | 2,994 | 1,631 | 1,714 | 1,803 |
| Assets < than the threshold (currently R5000) | 1,170 | 12 | 7,702 | 2,790 | 4,690 | 4,944 | 5,216 |
| Audit cost: External | 4,808 | - | 5,983 | 5,485 | 7,000 | 7,357 | 7,740 |
| Bursaries (employees) | 1,502 | - | - | - | 960 | 1,009 | 1,061 |
| Catering: Departmental activities | 2,505 | 448 | 9,857 | 4,873 | 3,379 | 3,547 | 3,739 |
| Communication | 13,273 | 31,971 | 43,481 | 28,884 | 17,886 | 18,798 | 19,776 |
| Computer services | 21,069 | - | 36,997 | - | 25,062 | 26,340 | 27,710 |
| Consultants and professional service: Business and advisory service | 33,397 | 46,043 | 22,075 | 67,845 | 16,361 | 17,206 | 18,100 |
| Consultants and professional service: Infrastructure and planning | - | - | - | 297 | - | - | - |
| Consultants and professional service: Legal cost | 31 | - | - | - | 2,000 | 2,102 | 2,211 |
| Contractors | 24 |  |  | 539 |  |  |  |


|  |  | 1 | 119 |  | 539 | 566 | 596 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agency and support / outsourced services | - | 253 | 51 | - | 4,358 | 4,580 | 4,818 |
| Entertainment | 370 | 7,238 | 57 | 160 | 134 | 130 | 137 |
| Inventory: Food and food supplies | - | - | 4,019 | 577 | 279 | 293 | 308 |
| Inventory: Fuel, oil and gas | - | - | 72 | 41 | 61 | 64 | 67 |
| Inventory: Learner and teacher support material | - | - | - | . | 7 | 7 | 8 |
| Inventory: Materials and supplies | - | - | 1,186 | 731 | 489 | 514 | 541 |
| Inventory: Medical supplies | - | - | 129 | 120 | 159 | 167 | 176 |
| Inventory: Medicine | - | - | 27 | - | 64 | 67 | 71 |
| Inventory: Other consumables | 6,803 | - | 2,554 | 755 | 2,918 | 2,994 | 3,143 |
| Inventory: Stationery and printing | 1,980 | 18,675 | 11,366 | 8,107 | 8,546 | 10,190 | 10,720 |
| Lease payments (Incl. operating leases, excl. finance leases) | 3,523 | - | 30,728 | 286 | 14,945 | 15,707 | 16,524 |
| Property payments | 13,322 | 37,981 | 17,986 | 53,481 | 40,730 | 43,002 | 45,239 |
| Transport provided: Departmental activity | - | - | 439 |  | 220 | 231 | 243 |
| Travel and subsistence | 34,966 | 72,691 | 83,317 | 73,986 | 65,354 | 68,667 | 72,217 |
| Training and development | 2,069 | 170 | 1,387 | 4,835 | 4,904 | 5,154 | 5,422 |
| Operating expenditure | 4,861 | 28,814 | 3,449 | 1,352 | 743 | 793 | 796 |
| Venues and facilities | 2,348 | 26,980 | 8,868 | 4,432 | 2,747 | 2,887 | 3,038 |
| Interest and rent on land | 3,244 | 6,042 | - | - | . | . |  |
| Transfers and subsidies to: | 426,785 | 549,856 | 520,409 | 552,144 | 585,818 | 616,813 | 650,535 |
| Provinces and municipalities | 371 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Public corporations and private enterprises | 16 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Non-profit institutions | 320,143 | 433,710 | 412,131 | 429,523 | 454,407 | 477,582 | 502,416 |
| Households | 120,494 | 116,146 | 108,278 | 122,621 | 131,411 | 139,231 | 148,119 |
| Non-profit institutions Cap | -14,239 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Payments for capital assets | 28,662 | 43,199 | 44,433 | 75,730 | 71,101 | 74,734 | 78,600 |
| Buildings and other fixed structures | 18,927 | 26,808 | 17,393 | 41,821 | 41,711 | 43,070 | 45,409 |
| Machinery and equipment | 9,735 | 16,391 | 24,727 | 29,909 | 25,890 | 27,229 | 28,625 |
| Software and other intangible assets | - | - | 2,313 | 4,000 | 3,500 | 4,435 | 4,566 |
| Payments for financial assets | 1,420 | 18,646 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total | 886,100 | 1,324,145 | 1,443,896 | 1,578,724 | 1,711,206 | 1,804,851 | 1,905,893 |

The total budget for the department increased by R132,48 million from R1, 57 Billion in 2010/11 financial year to R1,71 billion in 2011/12 representing 8.3 percent in nominal terms and 4 percent in real terms compared to 2.1 percent real increase in 2010/11 financial year. The major increase of R139,27 million is registered in compensation of employees and is meant more for the recruitment of more staff to strength support, monitoring and evaluation in the districts and also to ensure that the they are ready for the implementation of delegations.. Infrastructure, transfers and subsidies are also showing an increasing trend. The transfers are showing an increase by R33,67 million representing an increase of 6,1 percent in nominal terms. The increase is attributed to the increase in the number of NGO,s and NPO's that are to be funded during the upcoming financial year .
The above figures include the historical amounts from the Office of the Premier following a shift of Special Programme's function to this Department.
3.2 Relating expenditure trends to strategic outcome oriented goals

| Programmes | 2007/08 | 2008/09 | 2009/10 | 2010/11 | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R'000 | Audited outcomes |  |  | Adjusted appropriation | Medium-term expenditure estimates |  |  |
| Administration | 195,847 | 324,183 | 405,808 | 433,152 | 357,367 | 371,633 | 391,569 |
| Social Welfare Services | 548,816 | 795,888 | 858,266 | 969,936 | 1,095,237 | 1,160,837 | 1,227,691 |
| Development And Research | 141,437 | 186,074 | 179,822 | 175,636 | 258,602 | 272,381 | 286,633 |
| Total | 886,100 | 1,324,145 | 1,443,896 | 1,578,724 | 1,711,206 | 1,804,851 | 1,905,893 |

- The Department will focus on three goals for the next 5 year cycle which are :
- To provide good governance through leadership, management and accountability, utilising effective management systems and resources.
- Vulnerable individuals, families, groups and communities in the Eastern Cape are empowered for improved social functioning and quality of life.
- Livelihood capabilities of poor communities especially youth and women improved by 2014
- It is critical to mention that the first goal focuses on the support programmes to the line function whilst the two are for the core business of the Department.
- In the light of the above 79.1\% (R 1, 35 billion) of the total budget (R1, 7 billion) has been allocated to the core function of the Department. It is however important to indicate that there is a significant growth of budget allocation 2011/12 MTEF period in comparison with 2010/11 budget year.


## PART B: PROGRAMME AND SUBPROGRAMME PLANS

## 4. PROGRAMME 1: ADMINISTRATION

## Strategic Goal

To provide good governance through leadership, management and accountability utilising effective management systems and resources.

## Purpose

The purpose of the programme is to provide policy guidance and administrative support on strategic imperatives mandated by the constitution of the country. It consists of three sub-programmes, namely Office of the MEC, Corporate Services and District Management.

| Programme | Sub-programme |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1. Administration | 1. Office of the MEC |
|  | 2. Corporate Services |
|  | 3. District Management |

### 4.1 Strategic objectives and annual targets for 2011-14

## 1. Office of the MEC

| Strategic objective | Audited/Actual performance |  |  | Estimated performance 2010/11 | Medium-term targets |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007/08 | 2008/09 | 2009/10 |  | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 |
| Political leadership and strategic direction in implementing Departmental mandate. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

## 2. Corporate Services

### 2.1. Office of the Head of Department

| Strategic objective | Audited/Actual performance |  |  | Estimated performance 2010/11 | Medium-term targets |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007/8 | 2008/09 | 2009/10 |  | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 |
| To provide overall accountability and strategic guidance towards an effective and efficient developmental social service delivery in the Department of Social Development and Special Programmes | - | - | - | - | 22 | 23 | 24 |

### 2.1.1. Special Programmes

| Strategic objective | Audited/Actual performance |  | Estimated <br> performance <br> $2010 / 11$ |  | 2011/12 |  |  | $2012 / 13$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $2007 / 8$ | $2008 / 09$ | $2009 / 10$ |  | $2013 / 14$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ensure the <br> mainstreaming of social <br> transformation <br> programmes with regards <br> to children, women, older <br> persons and people with <br> disabilities | - | - | - | - | $60 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $80 \%$ |  |

### 2.2. Office of the Chief Financial Officer

| Strategic objective | Audited/Actual performance |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Estimated } \\ \text { performance } \\ 2010 / 11 \end{gathered}$ | Medium-term targets |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007/08 | 2008/09 | 2009/10 |  | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 |
| Overall financial and supply chain management support by March 2014 | - | 5 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |

### 2.3. Office of the Chief Operations Office

| Strategic objective | Audited/Actual performance |  |  | Estimated performance 2010/11 | Medium-term targets |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007/08 | 2008/09 | 2009/10 |  | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 |
| Coordinate service delivery at the Department both in the Province and in Districts | 5 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |

2.4. Communication and Liaison

| Strategic objective | Audited/Actual performance |  |  | Estimated <br> performance <br> $2010 / 11$ | Medium-term targets |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $2007 / 08$ | $2008 / 09$ | $2009 / 10$ | $2011 / 12$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ |  |
| To ensure effective <br> coordination and <br> implementation of <br> Departmental <br> communication and <br> stakeholders relationship <br> management strategies | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

### 2.5. CD-Corporate Services

| Strategic Objective | Audited/Actual performance |  |  | Estimated performance 2010/11 | Medium-term targets |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007/08 | 2008/09 | 2009/10 |  | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 |
| Management and coordination of Corporate Services by March 2014 | 10 | 20 | 20 | 30 | 36 | 36 | 36 |

### 2.6. CD-Financial Management

| Strategic objective: <br> Overall financial management <br> support | Audited/Actual performance |  | Estimated |  | Medium-term targets |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $2007 / 08$ | $2008 / 09$ | $2009 / 10$ | performance <br> $2010 / 11$ | $2011 / 12$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ |
|  | Overall financial <br> management support by <br> March 2014. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

### 2.7. CD-Supply Chain Management

| Strategic Objective | Audited/Actual performance |  |  | Estimated performance 2010/11 | Medium-term targets |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007/08 | 2008/09 | 2009/10 |  | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 |
| To implement an appropriate and improved Supply Chain Management System that is fair, equitable, transparent, competitive and cost effective by March 2014. | 444 | 329 | 899 | 1 | 1 | - | - |

2.8. Office of the Chief Information Office

| Strategic objective <br> To increase operational efficiency and effectiveness through management and implementation of IMST plan in the Department. | Audited/Actual performance |  |  | Estimated performance 2010/11 | Medium-term targets |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007/08 | 2008/09 | 2009/10 |  | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 |
| Development of an IMST plan | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - |

### 2.9. Provincial Anti-Poverty Integration and Co-ordination

| Strategic Objective <br> To co-ordinate and integrate Provincial Anti-poverty interventions. | Audited/Actual Performance |  |  | Estimated Performance 2010/11 | Medium Term Targets |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007/08 | 2008/09 | 2009/10 |  | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 |
| Development of Provincial Anti-Poverty strategy | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - |

## 3. District Management

| Strategic Objective | Audited/Actual performance |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Estimated } \\ \text { performance } \\ 2010 / 11 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Medium-term targets |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007/08 | 2008/09 | 2009/10 |  | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 |
| To coordinate implementation of effective and efficient service delivery at local level by March 2014. | - | - | - | 3 | 3 | 1 | 3 |

### 4.2 Programme performance indicators and annual targets for 2011-2014

1. Office of the MEC

| Programme performanceindicator | Audited/Actual performance |  |  | Estimated performance 2010/11 | Medium-term targets |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007/08 | 2008/09 | 2009/10 |  | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 |
| Number of Scheduled meetings | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Percentage of decisions taken in these meetings implemented (Baseline 100\%) | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Percentage of Parliamentary questions responded to within stipulated timeframes (Baseline 100\%) | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Percentage of cabinet resolutions implemented (Baseline 100\%) | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Percentage of Portfolio committee recommendations implemented <br> (Baseline 100\%) | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Number of izimbizos organised | 15 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Percentage of implemented interventions on areas affected by disasters (Baseline 100\%) | - | - | - | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |

2. Corporate Services

### 2.1. Office of the Head of Department

| Performance indicator | Audited/Actual performance |  |  | Estimated <br> performance | $2007 / 08$ | $2008 / 09$ | $2009 / 10$ | Medium-term targets |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | $2011 / 12$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Strategic Leadership <br> and Management | - | - |  | - | 11 | 11 |  |  |  |
| Monitor Organizational <br> Performance and <br> People Management | - | - | - | - | 6 | 6 | 6 |  |  |  |
|  | Governance | - | - | - | - | 5 | 6 | 7 |  |  |

### 2.1.1.Special Programmes

| Programme performance indicator | Audited/Actual performance |  |  | Estimated performance 2010/11 | Medium-term targets |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007/08 | 2008/09 | 2009/10 |  | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 |
| Empowerment of designated groups through provincial structure | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Public and private sector mobilization and finalisation of MOU's pertaining to the empowerment of designated groups | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Monitor compliance with the policies of women, children, youth, older person and people with disabilities | - | - | - | - | 12 | 12 | 12 |

### 2.1.2.Customer Care

| Programme performance indicator | Audited/Actual performance |  |  | Estimated performance 2010/11 | Medium-term targets |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007/08 | 2008/09 | 2009/10 |  | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 |
| Number of Customer Service Delivery initiatives to enhance organizational efficiency | 1 | 2 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |

### 2.1.3.Risk Management

| Programme performance indicator | Audited/Actual performance |  |  | Estimated performance 2010/11 | Medium-term targets |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007/08 | 2008/09 | 2009/10 |  | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 |
| Risk Assessment and compilation of Departmental risk profile | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Development and implementation of fraud prevention plan in the Department | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - |

### 2.2. Office of the Chief Financial Officer

| Programme performance indicator | Audited/Actual performance |  |  | Estimated performance 2010/11 | Medium-term targets |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007/08 | 2008/09 | 2009/10 |  | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 |
| Clean audit report | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

### 2.3. Office of the Chief Operations Officer

| Programme performance indicator | Audited/Actual performance |  |  | Estimatedperformance$2010 / 11$ | Medium-term targets |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007/08 | 2008/09 | 2009/10 |  | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 |
| Number of departmental programmes coordinated to institutionalise Service Delivery Excellence. | - | - | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Number of departmental programmes integrated to enhance relevance of service delivery interventions in terms of the Public Service Regulations Act. | - | - | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Number of mandatory special projects coordinated | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Number of stakeholders coordinated in terms of Inter-governmental Relations Framework | - | - | - | 2 | 8 | 8 | 8 |

### 2.3.1.District Development and Implementation

| Programme performance indicator | Audited/Actual performance |  |  | Estimated performance 2010/11 | Medium-term targets |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007/08 | 2008/09 | 2009/10 |  | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 |
| Number of Districts developmental initiatives | - | - | 7 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 28 |
| Number of Districts that have institutionalised Innovations and Knowledge Management | - | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Number of partnerships established, coordinated and supported | 3 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 |

2.4. Communication and Liaison

| Programme performance indicator | Audited/Actual performance |  |  |  | Medium-term targets |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007/08 | 2008/09 | 2009/10 |  | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 |
| Development of communication strategy and Plan | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Positioning the department according to the new vision and mission | 48 | 52 | 60 | 63 | 66 | 70 | 74 |
| Develop sound relations with media | 32 | 36 | 48 | 56 | 60 | 64 | 68 |

### 2.5. CD-Corporate Services

| Programme performance indicator | Audited/Actual performance |  |  | Estimated performance 2010/11 | Medium-term targets |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007/08 | 2008/09 | 2009/10 |  | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 |
| Engagement with provincial and national partners in terms of holding strategic meeting by March 2014 | 10 | 20 | 20 | 30 | 36 | 36 | 36 |

### 2.5.1 Human Resource Administration

| Programme performance indicator | Audited/Actual performance |  |  | Estimated <br> performance <br> $2010 / 11$ | Medium-term targets |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007/08 | 2008/09 | 2009/10 |  | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 |
| Number of employees managed in Human Resource Administration | 24 | 26 | 34 | 33 | 40 | 40 | 40 |
| Number of employees benefiting from the provision of Conditions of Services in compliance with Public Service Prescripts | 2077 | 2719 | 3195 | 3380 | 3715 | 3968 | 4457 |
| Number of officials attracted through recruitment | 603 | 642 | 796 | 185 | 335 | 253 | 489 |
| Number of personnel data maintained as per Public Service prescripts | 2077 | 2719 | 3195 | 3380 | 3715 | 3868 | 4457 |
| Number of employee files maintained | 2077 | 2719 | 3195 | 3380 | 3715 | 3868 | 4457 |

2.5.2 Human Resource Management (HRD, PMDS, OD, LR, IEW)

| Programme performance indicator | Audited/Actual performance |  |  | Estimated performance 2010/11 | Medium-term targets |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007/08 | 2008/09 | 2009/10 |  | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 |
| Number of employees trained and developed through Work Skills Plan | 1722 | 748 | 838 | 1808 | 3715 | 3968 | 4457 |
| Number of employees <br> complies with <br> Performance <br> Management and <br> Development System. | - | 2002 | 2255 | 3380 | 3715 | 3968 | 4457 |
| Number of Organizational Development and Design interventions implemented. | - | - | 1 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| $100 \%$ of new cases of misconduct, grievances and abscondment will be dealt with in the financial year. | - | - | - | - | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Number of employees benefiting from Integrated Employee Wellness Programmes. | - | 352 | 1293 | 2843 | 3715 | 3968 | 4457 |

2.5.3 Integrated Strategic Planning

| Programme performance indicator | Audited/Actual performance |  |  | Estimated performance 2010/11 | Medium-term targets |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007/08 | 2008/09 | 2009/10 |  | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 |
| Compliance to policy development guideline to enhance service delivery interventions in line with the Public Service Regulations | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Number of credible Strategic and Operational Plans delivered on time | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Conformance to Balasela, Batho Pele, SDIP to ensure continuous improvement | - | - | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Number of reports developed to ensure accountability. | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 14 | 15 | 14 |

### 2.6. CD-Financial Management

| Programme performance indicators | Audited/Actual performance |  |  | Estimated performance 2010/11 | Medium-term targets |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007/08 | 2008/09 | 2009/10 |  | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 |
| A credible MTEF budget approved and submitted to Treasury | 69 | 69 | 69 | 69 | 69 | 69 | 69 |
| Number of days to pay creditors and NGOs adhered to. | - | - | 30 | 30 | 25 | 15 | 7 |
| A set of credible Annual Financial Statement submitted to AGSA and Provincial Treasury. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Percentage number of transactions that responds to internal controls. | - | 60\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |

### 2.6.1.Financial Planning Services

| Programme performance indicators | Audited/Actual performance |  |  | Estimated performance 2010/11 | Medium-term targets |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007/08 | 2008/09 | 2009/10 |  | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 |
| A credible MTEF Budget approved and submitted to Treasury | 69 | 69 | 69 | 69 | 69 | 69 | 69 |
| 100 percent Compliance with the policy on funding norms. | - | - | - | - | - | 100\% | 100\% |

2.6.2.Financial Systems and Accounting Services

| Programme performance indicators | Audited/Actual performance |  |  | Estimated performance 2010/11 | Medium-term targets |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007/08 | 2008/09 | 2009/10 |  | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 |
| A set of credible Annual Financial Statement submitted to AGSA and Provincial Treasury. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

### 2.6.3.Expenditure Management

| Programme performance indicators | Audited/Actual performance |  |  | Estimated performance 2010/11 | Medium-term targets |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007/08 | 2008/09 | 2009/10 |  | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 |
| Number of days to pay creditors and NGOs adhered to. | - | - | 30 | 30 | 25 | 15 | 7 |

2.7. CD-Supply Chain Management

| Programme performance indicators | Audited/Actual performance |  |  | Estimated performance 2010/11 | Medium-term targets |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007/08 | 2008/09 | 2009/10 |  | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 |
| Availability of an asset register in line with Asset Management Framework | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Number of policies approved and implemented | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| Availability of Departmental procurement plan aligned to the budget and programme deliverables | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Targeting of procurement spend for empowerment of HDIs | - | - | - | - | 25\% | 50\% | 75\% |
| Development and Reviewal of a departmental infrastructure plan | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Availability of Contracts Register | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

### 2.8 Office of the Chief Information Officer

| Programme performance <br> indicator | Audited/Actual performance |  |  | Estimated <br> performance <br> $2010 / 11$ | Medium-term targets |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $2007 / 08$ | $2008 / 09$ | $2009 / 10$ |  | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ |  |
| Number of personnel <br> remunerated. | 18 | 27 | 35 |  | 50 | 62 | 64 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 2.8.1. ICT Engineering

| Programme performance indicators | Audited/Actual performance |  |  | Estimated performance 2010/11 | Medium-term targets |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007/08 | 2008/09 | 2009/10 |  | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 |
| Number of Information System Security and Governance policies procedures and standard to be reviewed yearly. | - | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Number of workstation to be supported and maintained. | 800 | 1000 | 1400 | 2500 | 2700 | 2900 | 3000 |
| Provision of new ICT <br> Equipment to users. | 600 | 700 | 1700 | 1100 | 1300 | 1400 | 1500 |
| Total number of terabyte storage to be maintained, supported and enhanced. | 16.75 | 16.75 | 16.75 | 16.75 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| Number of data lines to be maintained and supported. | 83 | 85 | 100 | 100 | 110 | 120 | 130 |
| Number of new LAN infrastructure projects. | 7 | 14 | 22 | 7 | 15 | 10 | 10 |

### 2.8.2 Systems Development and Management

| Programme performance indicator | Audited/Actual performance |  |  | Estimated performance 2010/11 | Medium-term targets |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007/08 | 2008/09 | 2009/10 |  | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 |
| Number of new systems developed and maintained. | 12 | 12 | 13 | 13 | 18 | 19 | 21 |
| Number of hours service availability response time for MIS | - | - | - | - | 4 | 4 | 3 |
| Number of MIS users trained | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 |
| Number of Departmental services done through GIS. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 6 | 8 | 10 |
| Number of Departmental services done through Web | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 10 |

### 2.8.3.Management Information Services

| Programme performance indicator | Audited/Actual performance |  |  | Estimated performance 2010/11 | Medium-term targets |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007/08 | 2008/09 | 2009/10 |  | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 |
| Number of databases integrated in the Departmental warehouse with cleaned data. | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 18 | 19 |
| Number of verified daily backups taken for Disaster Recovery and Business Continuity. | - | - | 365 | 365 | 365 | 365 | 365 |
| Number of priority areas that are targeted to generate pre-defined standard management reports. | 8 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 11 | 13 | 15 |

### 2.9. Provincial Anti-Poverty Integration and Co-ordination

| Programme <br> performance indicator | Audited/Actual Performance |  | Estimated <br> Performance <br> $2010 / 11$ | Medium Term Targets |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $2007 / 08$ | $2008 / 09$ | $2009 / 10$ | $2011 / 12$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ |  |
| Development of <br> Provincial Anti-Poverty <br> strategy | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - |

2.9.1.Family Based Intervention

| Programme performance indicators | Audited/Actual Performance |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Estimated } \\ \text { Performance } \\ 2010 / 11 \end{gathered}$ | Medium Term Targets |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007/08 | 2008/09 | 2009/10 |  | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 |
| Development of Family Based intervention model. | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Development and maintenance of a baseline data for household interventions. | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - |
| Number of households in the identified wards of the 11 Local Municipalities profiled and captured on NISIS. | - | - | 700 | 6900 | 6900 | - | - |
| Generate referrals of household profiles | - | - | - | 3600 | 4600 | - | - |

2.9.2. Stakeholder Partnership and Development

| Programme performance indicators | Audited/Actual Performance |  |  | $\qquad$ | Medium Term Targets |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007/08 | 2008/09 | 2009/10 |  | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 |
| Number of government departments participating in the delivery of integrated services. | - | 8 | 9 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| Number of evaluation reports developed for assessment of integrated service delivery. | - | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Number of reports on National Outcome 7 (Vibrant, equitable, sustainable rural communities contributing towards food security) | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | - |

3. District Management

| Programme performance indicator | Audited/Actual performance |  |  | Estimated performance 2010/11 | Medium-term targets |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007/08 | 2008/09 | 2009/10 |  | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 |
| Number of Districts that have capacity to manage their services effectively and efficiently | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Number of partnership implemented within Districts | - | - | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 |
| Number of Districts leading Social Development mandatory projects falling within Social Needs Cluster | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Number of Districts that have integrated programmes with local municipalities (IDPs) and other stakeholders | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |

### 4.3 Quarterly targets for 2011/12

1. Office of the MEC

| Programme performance indicators | Reporting period | Annual target 2011/12 | Quarterly targets |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $1^{\text {st }}$ | $2^{\text {nd }}$ | $3^{\text {rd }}$ | $4^{\text {th }}$ |
| Number of Scheduled meetings | Quarterly | 12 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Percentage of decisions taken in these meetings implemented (Baseline 100\%) | Quarterly | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Percentage of Parliamentary questions responded to within stipulated timeframes (Baseline 100\%) | Quarterly | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Percentage of cabinet resolutions implemented (Baseline 100\%) | Quarterly | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Percentage of Portfolio committee recommendations implemented (Baseline 100\%) | Quarterly | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Number of izimbizos organised | Quarterly | 12 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Percentage of implemented interventions on areas affected by disasters (Baseline 100\%) | Monthly | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |

2. Corporate Services

### 2.1. Office of the Head of Department

| Programme performance indicator | Reporting period | Annual target 2011/12 | Quarterly targets |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $1^{\text {st }}$ | $2^{\text {nd }}$ | $3^{\text {rd }}$ | $4^{\text {th }}$ |
| Strategic Leadership and Management | Annual | 11 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 |
| Monitor Organization Performance and People Management | Quarterly | 6 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Governance | Annual | 5 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |

### 2.1.1. Special Programmes

| Programme performance indicators | Reporting period | Annual target 2011/12 | Quarterly targets |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $1^{\text {st }}$ | $2^{\text {nd }}$ | $3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ | $4^{\text {th }}$ |
| Empowerment of designated groups through provincial structure | Quarterly | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Public and private sector mobilization and finalisation of MOU's pertaining to the empowerment of designated groups | Quarterly | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Monitor compliance with the policies of women, children, youth, older person and people with disabilities | Quarterly | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |

### 2.1.2. Customer Care

| Programme performance <br> indicators | Reporting <br> period | Annual <br> target <br> $2011 / 12$ | $1^{\text {st }}$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

### 2.1.3. Risk Management

| Programme performance indicators | Reporting period | Annual target 2011/12 | Quarterly targets |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $1^{\text {st }}$ | $2^{\text {nd }}$ | $3^{\text {rd }}$ | $4^{\text {th }}$ |
| Risk Assessment and compilation of Departmental risk profile | Quarterly | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Development and implementation of fraud prevention plan in the Department | Quarterly | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

2.2. Office of the Chief Financial Officer

| Programme performance indicators | Reporting period | Annual target 2011/12 | Quarterly targets |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $1^{\text {st }}$ | $2^{\text {nd }}$ | $3^{\text {rd }}$ | $4^{\text {th }}$ |
| Clean audit report. | Annually | 1 | - | 1 | - | - |

### 2.3. Office of the Chief Operations Officer

| Programme performance indicator | Reporting period | Annual target 2011/12 | Quarterly targets |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $1^{\text {st }}$ | $2^{\text {nd }}$ | $3^{\text {rd }}$ | $4^{\text {th }}$ |
| Number of departmental programmes coordinated to institutionalise Service Delivery Excellence | Quarterly | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Number of departmental programmes integrated to enhance relevance of service delivery interventions in terms of the Public Service Regulations Act. | Quarterly | 3 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Number of mandatory special projects coordinated | Quarterly | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Number of stakeholders coordinated in terms of Intergovernmental Relations Framework | Quarterly | 8 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |

### 2.3.1.District Development and Implementation

| Programme performance indicator | Reporting period | Annual target 2011/12 | Quarterly targets |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $1^{\text {st }}$ | $2^{\text {nd }}$ | $3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ | $4^{\text {th }}$ |
| Number of Districts developmental initiatives | Quarterly | 28 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Number of Districts that have institutionalised Innovations and Knowledge Management | Quarterly | 7 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Number of partnerships established, coordinated and supported | Quarterly | 2 | - | 1 | - | 1 |

### 2.4 Communication and Liaison

| Programme performance indicators | Reporting period | Annual target 2011/12 | Quarterly targets |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $1^{\text {st }}$ | $2^{\text {nd }}$ | $3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ | $4^{\text {th }}$ |
| Development of communication strategy and Plan | Quarterly | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Positioning the department according to the new vision and mission | Quarterly | 66 | 18 | 14 | 24 | 10 |
| Develop sound relations with media | Quarterly | 60 | 14 | 11 | 22 | 13 |

### 2.5 CD-Corporate Services

| Programme performance <br> indicators | Reporting <br> period | Annual <br> target <br> $2011 / 12$ | $1^{\text {st }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  | $2^{\text {nd }}$ | $3^{\text {rd }}$ | $4^{\text {th }}$ |  |  |
|  | Engagement with provincial <br> and national partners in <br> terms of holding strategic <br> meetings by March 2014 | Quarterly | 36 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |

### 2.5.1 Human Resource Administration

| Programme performance indicators | Reporting period | Annual target 2011/12 | Quarterly targets |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $1^{\text {st }}$ | $2^{\text {nd }}$ | $3^{\text {rd }}$ | $4^{\text {th }}$ |
| Number of employees managed in Human Resource Administration | Quarterly | 40 | 32 | 40 | 40 | 40 |
| Number of employees benefiting from the provision of Conditions of Services in compliance with Public Service Prescripts | Quarterly | 3715 | 3685 | 3695 | 3705 | 3715 |
| Number of officials attracted through recruitment | Monthly | 335 | 305 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Number of personnel data maintained as per Public Service prescripts | Quarterly | 3715 | 3685 | 3695 | 3705 | 3715 |
| Number of employee files maintained | Quarterly | 3715 | 3685 | 3695 | 3705 | 3715 |

2.5.2 Human Resource Management (HRD, PMDS, OD, LR, IEW)

| Programme performance indicators | Reporting period | Annual target 2011/12 | Quarterly targets |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $1^{\text {st }}$ | $2^{\text {nd }}$ | $3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ | $4^{\text {th }}$ |
| Number of employees trained and developed through Work Skills Plan | Quarterly | 3715 | - | 1238 | 1238 | 1238 |
| Number of employees complies with Performance Management and Development System. | Quarterly | 3715 | 3715 | 3715 | 3715 | 3715 |
| Number of Organizational Development and Design interventions implemented. | Quarterly | 4 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 2 |
| $100 \%$ of new cases of misconduct, grievances and abscondment will be dealt with in the financial year. | Quarterly | 100\% | - | - | - | 100\% |
| Number of employees benefiting from Integrated | Quarterly | 3715 | 700 | 1015 | 1000 | 1000 |


| Employee Wellness <br> Programmes. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

### 2.5.3 Integrated Strategic Planning

| Programme performance indicators | Reporting period | Annual target 2011/12 | Quarterly targets |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $1^{\text {st }}$ | $2^{\text {nd }}$ | $3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ | $4^{\text {th }}$ |
| Compliance to policy development guideline to enhance service delivery interventions in line with the Public Service Regulations | Quarterly | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Number of credible Strategic and Operational Plans delivered on time | Quarterly | 2 | - | - | - | 2 |
| Conformance to Balasela, Batho Pele, SDIP to ensure continuous improvement | Quarterly | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - |
| Number of reports developed to ensure accountability | Quarterly | 14 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 3 |

### 2.6 CD-Financial Management

| Programme performance indicators | Reporting period | Annual target 2011/12 | Quarterly targets |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $1^{\text {st }}$ | $2^{\text {nd }}$ | $3^{\text {rd }}$ | $4^{\text {th }}$ |
| A credible MTEF Budget approved by Legislature | Annually | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| Number days to pay creditors and NGOs adhered to. | Monthly | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 |
| A set of credible Annual Financial Statement submitted to AGSA and Provincial Treasury. | Annually | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Percentage number of transactions that responds to internal controls. | Monthly | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |

### 2.6.1.Financial Planning Services

| Programme performance <br> indicators | Reporting <br> period | Annual <br> target <br> $2011 / 12$ | $1^{\text {st }}$ |  |  |  |  | $2^{\text {2d }}$ | $3^{\text {rd }}$ | $4^{\text {th }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

### 2.6.2. Financial Systems and Accounting Services

| Programme performance indicators | Reporting period | Annual target 2011/12 | Quarterly targets |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $1^{\text {st }}$ | $2^{\text {nd }}$ | $3^{\text {rd }}$ | $4^{\text {th }}$ |
| A set of credible Annual Financial Statement submitted to AGSA and Provincial Treasury | Annually | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |

### 2.6.3. Expenditure Management

| Programme performance indicators | Reporting period | Annual target 2011/12 | Quarterly targets |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $1^{\text {st }}$ | $2^{\text {nd }}$ | $3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ | $4^{\text {th }}$ |
| Number of days to pay creditors and NGOs reduced. | Monthly | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 |

### 2.7. CD-Supply Chain Management

| Programme performance indicators | Reporting period | Annual target 2011/12 | Quarterly targets |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $1^{\text {st }}$ | $2^{\text {nd }}$ | $3^{\text {rd }}$ | 4th |
| Availability of an asset register in line with Asset Management Framework | Quarterly | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Number of policies approved and implemented | Quarterly | 9 | - | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Availability of Departmental procurement plan aligned to the budget and programme deliverables | Quarterly | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Targeting of procurement spend for empowerment of HDIs | Quarterly | 25\% | 25\% | 25\% | 25\% | 25\% |
| Development and Reviewal of a departmental infrastructure plan | Quarterly | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| Availability of Contracts register | Quarterly | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

### 2.8 Office of the Chief Information Officer

| Programme performance indicators | Reporting period | Annual target 2011/12 | Quarterly targets |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $1^{\text {st }}$ | $2^{\text {nd }}$ | $3^{\text {rd }}$ | $4^{\text {th }}$ |
| Number of personnel compensated. | Monthly | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| Develop and monitor IMST plan for the Department | Quarterly | 1 | - | - | 1 | - |

### 2.8.1 ICT Engineering

| Programme performance indicators | Reporting period | Annual target 2011/12 | Quarterly targets |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $1^{\text {st }}$ | $2^{\text {nd }}$ | $3^{\text {rd }}$ | $4^{\text {th }}$ |
| Number of Information System Security and Governance policies procedures and standard to be reviewed yearly. | Quarterly | 3 | - | - | 3 | - |
| Number of workstation to be supported and maintained. | Quarterly | 2700 | 2500 | 2500 | 2600 | 2700 |
| Provision of new ICT Equipment to users. | Quarterly | 1300 | - | 300 | 500 | 500 |
| Total number of terabyte storage to be maintained, supported and enhanced. | Quarterly | 60 | 16.75 | 16.75 | 60 | 60 |
| Number of data lines to be maintained and supported. | Quarterly | 110 | 100 | 100 | 105 | 110 |
| Number of new LAN infrastructure projects. | Quarterly | 15 | - | 5 | 5 | 5 |

### 2.8.2 Systems Development and Management

| Programme performance indicators | Reporting period |  | Quarterly targets |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $1^{\text {st }}$ | $2^{\text {nd }}$ | $3^{\text {rd }}$ | $4^{\text {th }}$ |
| Number of new systems developed and maintained | Quarterly | 18 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 18 |
| Number of hours service availability response time for MIS | Quarterly | 4 | - | - | 4 | 4 |
| Number of MIS users trained | Quarterly | 1000 | - | 300 | 400 | 300 |
| Number of Departmental services done through GIS | Quarterly | 6 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 |
| Number of Departmental services done through Web | Quarterly | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 |

### 2.8.3 Management Information Services

| Programme performance indicators | Reporting period |  | Quarterly targets |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $1^{\text {st }}$ | $2^{\text {nd }}$ | $3^{\text {rd }}$ | $4^{\text {th }}$ |
| Number of databases integrated in the Departmental warehouse with cleaned data. | Half Yearly | 13 | 13 | - | - | - |
| Number of verified daily backups taken for Disaster Recovery and Business Continuity. | Monthly | 365 | 91 | 92 | 92 | 90 |
| Number of priority areas that are targeted to generate pre-defined standard management reports. | Half Yearly | 11 | - | 5 | - | 6 |

### 2.9 Provincial Anti-Poverty Integration and Co-ordination

| Programme performance indicator | Reporting period | Annual target 2011/12 | Quarterly targets |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $1^{\text {st }}$ | $2^{\text {nd }}$ | $3^{\text {rd }}$ | $4^{\text {th }}$ |
| Development of Provincial Anti-Poverty strategy | Quarterly | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |

### 2.9.1 Family Based Intervention

| Programme performance indicator | Reporting period | Annual target 2011/12 | Quarterly targets |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $1^{\text {st }}$ | $2^{\text {nd }}$ | $3^{\text {rd }}$ | $4^{\text {th }}$ |
| Development and maintenance of a baseline data for household interventions | Monthly | 1 | - | - | 1 | - |
| Number of households profiled \& captured into NISIS | Quarterly | 6900 | 1000 | 1500 | 1500 | 2900 |
| Development of Family <br> Based intervention model | Quarterly | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| Generate referrals of household profiles | Quarterly | 4600 | 1000 | 1300 | 1300 | 1000 |

### 2.9.2 Stakeholder Development and Partnership

| Programme performance indicator | Reporting period | Annual target 2011/12 | Quarterly targets |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $1^{\text {st }}$ | $2^{\text {nd }}$ | $3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ | $4^{\text {th }}$ |
| Number of government departments participating in the delivery of integrated services | Quarterly | 11 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| Number of evaluation reports developed for assessment of integrated service delivery. | Quarterly | 2 | 1 | - | - | 1 |
| Number of reports on National Outcome number 7 (Vibrant equitable, sustainable rural communities contributing towards food security) | Quarterly | 3 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 |

## 3 District Management

| Programme performance indicator | Reporting period | Annual target 2011/12 | Quarterly targets |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $1^{\text {st }}$ | $2^{\text {nd }}$ | $3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ | $4^{\text {th }}$ |
| Number of Districts that have capacity to manage their services effectively and efficiently | Quarterly | 7 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Number of partnership implemented within Districts | Quarterly | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Number of Districts leading Social Development mandatory projects falling within Social Needs Cluster | Quarterly | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Number of integrated programmes with local municipalities (IDPs) and other stakeholders | Quarterly | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |

### 4.4 Reconciling performance targets with the Budget and MTEF

Expenditure estimates
Programme 1: Administration

| R'000 | 2007/08 | 2008/09 | 2009/10 | 2010/11 | 2011/12 | 2011/12 | 2013/14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Expenditure outcome |  |  | Adjusted Appropriation | Medium-term expenditure estimates |  |  |
| Office of the MEC | 2,803 | 5,520 | 3,145 | 6,672 | 6,365 | 6,685 | 7,048 |
| Corporate management services | 147,858 | 277,111 | 332,450 | 356,723 | 267,306 | 278,474 | 293,306 |
| District Management | 45,186 | 59,552 | 68,144 | 69,757 | 83,696 | 86,475 | 91,215 |
| Total | 195,847 | 342,183 405,808 |  | 433,152 | 357,367 | 371,634 | 391,569 |
| Current Payments | 185,835 | 322,717 | 384,258 | 387,252 | 316,599 | 328,780 | 346,508 |
| Compensation of Employees | 80,598 | 118,946 | 155,821 | 175,593 | 223,723 | 229,946 | 242,573 |
| Goods \& Services | 101,993 | 197,729 | 228,437 | 211,659 | 92,876 | 98,834 | 103,935 |
| Interest and rent on land | 3,244 | 6,042 |  | - | - | - | - |
| Transfers and Subsidies to | 683 | 939 | 1,938 | 1,578 | 1,666 | 1,750 | 1,841 |
| Provinces and municipalities | 368 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| enterprises | 16 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Non profit institutions | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Households | 299 | 939 | 1,938 | 1,578 | 1,666 | 1,750 | 1,841 |
| Payments for capital assets | 9,329 | 18,527 | 19,612 | 44,322 | 39,102 | 41,104 | 43,221 |
| Building and other fixed structures | 4,233 | 12,267 | 6,221 | 15,000 | 16,556 | 16,632 | 17,596 |
| Machinery and equipment | 5,096 | 6,260 | 11,078 | 25,322 | 19,046 | 20,036 | 21,058 |
| Software and other intangibles | - | - | 2,313 | 4,000 | 3,500 | 4,436 | 4,567 |
| Total economic classification | 195,847 | 342,183 | 405,808 | 433,152 | 357,367 | 371,634 | 391,570 |

## Performance and expenditure trends

The budget for administration is experiencing a significant decrease by R75,785 million from $\mathrm{R} 433,152$ million in 2010/11 financial year to R357,367 million in 2011/12 financial year. This decrease expressed in percentage is 17 $\%$ in nominal terms. The decrease in the budget is caused by the fact that the Department took a decision to decentralize all the transversal items that were budgeted for under this programme. An amount of R82, 68 million and R24,04 million have been shifted to programme 2 and 3 respectively to cater for telephones, cell phones, 3 g cards, securities, municipal services, photocopiers, maintenance of buildings, gardening service, fleet services and lease payments. The increase is in the compensation of employees to cater for the filling of vacant posts especially in the districts in order in strengthens administration. The budget for compensation of employees increased from R175, 593 million in 2010/11 financial year to R223, 723 million in the 2011/12 financial year, reflecting an increase of R48, 130 million or $27 \%$ in nominal terms. An amount of R24, 06 million has been moved to district management in order to strengthen and implement administration and District Development model respectively. Of this amount R2, 09 million has been allocated for goods and services in the districts and the remainder is for personnel. The budget for this programme also includes poverty eradication, District managers, area managers, special programmes unit including the sub-programme from the Office of the Premier, and influence the allocation for programme.

### 4.5 PROGRAMME 2: SOCIAL WELFARE SERVICES

## Strategic Goal

Vulnerable individuals, families, groups and communities in the Eastern Cape are empowered for improved social functioning and quality of life.

## Purpose

The purpose of the programme is to provide integrated developmental social welfare services to the poor and vulnerable in partnership with stakeholders and civil society organisations. The programme consists of ten subprogrammes:

| Programme | Sub-programme |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2. Social Welfare Services | 2.1 Professional and Administrative Support |
|  | 2.2 Substance Abuse, Prevention and Rehabilitation |
|  | 2.3 Care and Services to Older Persons |
|  | 2.4 Crime Prevention and Support |
|  | 2.5 Services to Persons with Disabilities |
|  | 2.6 Child Care and Protection Services |
|  | 2.7 Victim Empowerment |
|  | 2.8 HIV and AIDS |
|  | 2.9 Social Relief |
|  | 2.10 Care and Support Services to Families |

### 4.6 Strategic objectives and annual targets for 2011-14

### 2.1. Professional and Administrative Support

| Strategic Objective <br> To provide strategic leadership for effective and efficient management in the delivery of Developmental Social Welfare Services by March 2014 | Audited/Actual performance |  |  | Estimatedperformance$2010 / 11$ | Medium-term targets |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007/08 | 2008/09 | 2009/10 |  | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 |
| Number of personnel remunerated. | - | - | - | - | 718 | 853 | 1038 |
| Number of Social Service Practitioners participating in capacity building programmes | - | 57 | 762 | 640 | 640 | 400 | 400 |
| Number of NGO management committee members trained | - | - | - | 184 | 240 | 240 | 240 |
| Number of Advisory Boards strengthened | 1 | 5 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 8 |
| Number of student social workers awarded bursaries | 180 | 324 | 166 | 315 | 135 | 185 | 235 |
| Number of work opportunities created through Expanded Public Works Programme | 2278 | 7245 | 6948 | 7456 | 7696 | 7986 | 8000 |


| Number of funded <br> organisations monitored | - | - | 9 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number of people <br> participating in Social Work <br> Awards | - | - | 250 | 850 | 1140 | 1140 | 1140 |

### 2.2. Substance Abuse, Crime Prevention and Victim Empowerment

| Strategic objective <br> To promote community based care programmes that facilitate the reduction of gender disparities, substance abuse, and crime prevention and reduce incidence of violence by March 2014 | Audited/Actual performance |  |  | Estimated performance 2010/11 | Medium-term targets |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007/08 | 2008/09 | 2009/10 |  | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 |
| Number of Personnel remunerated | 315 | 217 | 446 | 504 | 504 | 504 | 504 |
| Number of children in conflict with the law who completed diversion programmes | 4219 | 3682 | 3386 | 3500 | 3000 | 2950 | 2900 |
| Number of children placed in developmental Foster Care programmes | 96 | 112 | 41 | 100 | 55 | 55 | 60 |
| Number of shelters for victims of crime and violence | 13 | 20 | 19 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 |
| Number of funded substance abuse treatment centres run by NGOs. | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |

### 2.3. Care and Services to Older Persons and Services to Persons with Disabilities

| Strategic objective <br> To provide care, developmental and integrated services to Persons with Disabilities and Older Persons by March 2014 | Audited/Actual performance |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Estimated } \\ \text { performance } \\ 2010 / 11 \end{gathered}$ | Medium-term targets |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007/08 | 2008/09 | 2009/10 |  | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 |
| Number of persons reached through prevention programmes | 4000 | 5112 | 6687 | 7011 | 6722 | 8291 | 8851 |
| Number of Residential Care facilities run by NPOs | 54 | 54 | 54 | 54 | 54 | 54 | 54 |
| Number of Service Centres | 129 | 184 | 217 | 250 | 25 | 250 | 250 |
| Number of Social Service Organizations | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Number of Special Day Care Centres | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 31 | 31 | 31 |
| Number of Protective workshops for people with disabilities | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 14 |
| Number of Home community based care and rehabilitation programmes | 4 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 5 | 5 | 5 |

### 2.4. Child Care and Protection Services, HIV and AIDS, Social Relief and Care \& Support Services to Families

| Strategic objective <br> To provide developmental social welfare services to children in need of care and protection, people infected and affected by HIV \& AIDS, family preservation programmes and social relief by March 2014 | Audited/Actual performance |  |  | Estimated performance 2010/11 | Medium-term targets |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007/08 | 2008/09 | 2009/10 |  | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 |
| Number of Personnel remunerated | 536 | 541 | 641 | 156 | 156 | 156 | 156 |
| Number of funded ECD centres | 1141 | 1262 | 1197 | 1247 | 1247 | 1247 | 1247 |
| Number of Residential Care Centres | 33 | 39 | 32 | 34 | 38 | 38 | 38 |
| Number of HCBC organizations providing care, support and prevention programmes to orphans | 73 | 114 | 115 | 119 | 129 | 129 | 130 |
| Number of individuals reunited with their families | 450 | 312 | 424 | 200 | 250 | 300 | 350 |
| Number of families participating in family preservation services | 450 | 2000 | 4639 | 1004 | 1199 | 1350 | 1479 |
| Number of Single Parents Programme | 1 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| Number of children placed in Foster Care | 74826 | 19000 | 18019 | 20000 | 20000 | 20000 | 20000 |
| Number of Dumping Site projects that link families living off dumping sites to community based developmental programmes strengthened | 3 | 8 | 5 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Number of Individuals who benefitted from Social Relief programs | 4382 | 3029 | 3029 | 4334 | 4334 | 4657 | 4657 |
| Number of Psychosocial Structures | 1 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |

### 4.7 Programme performance indicators and annual targets 2011-14

### 2.1. Professional and Administrative Support

| Programme <br> Performance Indicators | Audited/Actual performance |  |  | Estimated performance 2010/11 | Medium-term targets |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007/08 | 2008/09 | 2009/10 |  | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 |
| Number of personnel remunerated. | - | - | - | - | 718 | 853 | 1038 |
| Number of Social Service Practitioners participating in capacity building programmes | 131 | 57 | 810 | 640 | 640 | 400 | 400 |
| Number of NGO management committee members trained | - | - | - | 184 | 240 | 240 | 240 |
| Number of Advisory Boards strengthened | 1 | 5 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 8 |
| Number of student social workers awarded bursaries | 180 | 324 | 166 | 315 | 135 | 185 | 235 |
| Number of work opportunities created through Expanded Public Works Programme | 2278 | 7245 | 6948 | 7456 | 7696 | 7986 | 8000 |
| Number of funded organisations monitored | 128 | 30 | 9 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 |
| Number of people participating in Social Work Awards | - | - | 850 | 850 | 1140 | 1140 | 1140 |

2.2. Substance Abuse Prevention and Rehabilitation

| Programme performance indicator | Audited/Actual performance |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Estimated } \\ & \text { performance } \\ & 2010 / 11 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Medium-term targets |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007/08 | 2008/09 | 2009/10 |  | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 |
| Number of funded substance abuse treatment centres run by NGOs | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Number of new clients admitted to substance abuse treatment centres run by NGOs | 440 | 425 | 455 | 490 | 498 | 507 | 512 |
| Number of youth reached through Ke Moja awareness campaign | 300 | 500 | 960 | 280 | 320 | 360 | 390 |
| Number of awareness programmes for substance abuse | 950 | 1575 | 1500 | 1850 | 1700 | 1700 | 1750 |
| Rand Value of funds transferred to government funded substance abuse | 4,000,000 | 4,890,000 | 5,000,000 | 5,806,470 | 8,401,000 | 8,401,000 | 8,401,000 |


| treatment centres <br> managed by NGOs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number of Local Drug <br> Action committees <br> implementing <br> prevention programmes | 21 | 24 | 25 | 34 | 36 | 40 | 48 |
| Number of State <br> Treatment Centres run <br> by Government | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| Number of TADA <br> Groups established | 48 | 48 | 48 | 380 | 240 | 240 | 240 |

### 2.3 Care and Services to Older Persons

| Programme Performance indicator | Audited/Actual performance |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Estimated } \\ \text { performance } \\ 2010 / 11 \end{gathered}$ | Medium-term targets |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007/08 | 2008/09 | 2009/10 |  | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 |
| Number of Residential Care facilities run by NPOs | 54 | 54 | 54 | 54 | 54 | 54 | 54 |
| Number of older persons in funded Residential Facilities run by NPOs | 3283 | 3316 | 3338 | 3284 | 3258 | 3258 | 3258 |
| Number of older persons in funded Residential facilities run by Government | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 36 | 38 | 38 |
| Number of older persons accessing community based care and support services | 11214 | 12328 | 14951 | 16471 | 16471 | 17391 | 18091 |
| Number of older persons abused | - | - | - | 48 | 51 | 55 | 63 |
| Rand value of funds transferred to residential facilities | 71,115,000 | 88,189,000 | 91,003,000 | 92,474,000 | 64,062,000 | 64,062,000 | 64,062,000 |
| Number of older persons participating in active ageing programmes | - | 672 | 672 | 642 | 672 | 642 | 642 |
| Rand value of funds transferred to community based care and support | 73,726,000 | 29,326,600 | 25,136,869 | 25,366,869 | 28,240,819 | 28,340,000 | 29,619,000 |
| Number of caregivers implementing the community based care and support programmes | 330 | 272 | 272 | 272 | 282 | 292 | 302 |


| Number of funded <br> Service Centres <br> run by NPOs | 129 | 184 | 217 | 250 | 235 | 250 | 250 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number of social <br> service <br> organisations run <br> by NPOs | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Number of Older <br> Persons Fora | 1 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 24 | 24 | 24 |
| Number of <br> awareness <br> campaigns on <br> older persons <br> legislation | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 48 |
| Number of <br> persons reached <br> through <br> awareness <br> campaigns | 2136 | 3146 | 4567 | 4666 | 4858 | 5167 | 5603 |
| Number of Older <br> Persons receiving <br> counselling in <br> Govt facilities. | 17826 | 17935 | 17911 | 18012 | 18113 | 18135 | 18140 |
| Number of Older <br> Persons receiving <br> counselling in <br> NGO facilities. | 7980 | 7995 | 7803 | 7875 | 7891 | 7993 | 7967 |

### 2.4. Crime Prevention and Support

| Programme performance indicator | Audited/Actual performance |  |  | Estimated performance 2010/2011 | Medium-term targets |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007/08 | 2008/09 | 2009/10 |  | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 |
| Number of children in conflict with the law awaiting trial in secure care centres run by Government | 569 | 352 | 143 | 286 | 385 | 395 | 415 |
| Number of children in conflict with the law awaiting trial in secure care centres run by NGO. | 360 | 176 | 286 | 814 | 600 | 1525 | 1585 |
| Number of Children in conflict with the law assessed | 8256 | 6278 | 6669 | 8700 | 6000 | 5900 | 5800 |
| Number of children in conflict with the law who completed diversion programmes | 4219 | 3682 | 3386 | 3500 | 3000 | 2950 | 2900 |
| Rand value of funds transferred to NPOs | 24,389,000 | 32,256,000 | 31,196,000 | 44,162,000 | 43,162,000 | 46,635,000 | 47,000,000 |


| delivering crime prevention and support services. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of children reached through crime prevention programmes | - | - | - | - | 5000 | 6500 | 6500 |
| Number of personnel compensated | 315 | 217 | 446 | 504 | 504 | 504 | 504 |
| Number of crime prevention programmes implemented by government | 24 | 21 | 24 | 24 | 14 | 15 | 17 |
| Number of children arrested | 9149 | 8537 | 7123 | 8700 | 6000 | 5900 | 5800 |
| Number of accredited diversion programmes implemented | - | - | - | - | 2 | 4 | 6 |
| Number of children accessed community based sentences | 1629 | 907 | 571 | 600 | 500 | 550 | 570 |
| Number of secure care centres complying with the blueprint model for secure care centres run by Government | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Number of secure care centres complying with the blueprint model for secure care centres run by NGOs | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| Number of children in conflict with the law participating in skills development programmes | 3 | 3 | 699 | 100 | 200 | 140 | 150 |
| Number of exoffenders participating in reintegration programmes | 225 | 200 | 124 | 100 | 110 | 130 | 145 |
| Number of children placed in developmental foster care programmes | 96 | 112 | 41 | 100 | 55 | 55 | 60 |

2.5. Services to People with Disabilities

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Programme Performance indicator} \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Audited/Actual performance} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Medium-term targets} <br>
\hline \& 2007/08 \& 2008/09 \& 2009/10 \& \& 2011/12 \& 2012/13 \& 2013/14 <br>
\hline Number of funded Residential facilities for Persons with Disabilities run by NPOs \& 24 \& 24 \& 24 \& 24 \& 23 \& 24 \& 25 <br>
\hline Number of funded Residential facilities for Persons with Disabilities run by Government \& 1

11 \& | 1 |
| :---: |
|  |
|  |
|  |
| 1 | \& $\begin{array}{r}1 \\ \\ \\ \hline 11\end{array}$ \& 1 \& $\begin{array}{r}1 \\ \\ \\ \hline 12\end{array}$ \& $\begin{array}{r}1 \\ \\ \\ \hline 18\end{array}$ \& 1 <br>

\hline | Number of funded |
| :--- |
| Protective |
| Workshops for |
| Persons with |
| Disabilities | \& 11 \& 11 \& 11 \& 11 \& 12 \& 12 \& 14 <br>

\hline Number of Persons with Disabilities in funded Residential facilities run by NPOs \& 1134 \& 1134 \& 1134 \& 1164 \& 1040 \& 1060 \& 1164 <br>
\hline Number of Persons with Disabilities in funded Residential facilities run by Government \& 70 \& 70 \& 70 \& 70 \& 70 \& 70 \& 70 <br>
\hline Number of Persons with Disabilities accessing services in funded Protective Workshops managed by NPOs \& 501 \& 501 \& 501 \& 551 \& 551 \& 560 \& 570 <br>
\hline Rand value of funds transferred to NPOs delivering services to people with disabilities \& 28,517,000 \& 34,636,000 \& 34,773,000 \& 28,674,905 \& 32,645,000 \& 32,645,000 \& 32,645,000 <br>
\hline Number of funded Special Day Care Centres \& 30 \& 30 \& 30 \& 30 \& 31 \& 31 \& 31 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

| managed by NPOs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of children in Special Day Care Centres managed by NPOs | 1612 | 1612 | 1612 | 1612 | 764 | 796 | 800 |
| Number of Social Service Organisations managed by NPOs | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 14 |
| Number of home community based care and Rehabilitation programmes | 4 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Number of awareness campaigns conducted | 7 | 10 | 12 | 12 | 96 | 96 | 96 |
| Number of persons reached through awareness campaigns | 1864 | 1966 | 2120 | 2345 | 1864 | 3124 | 3248 |
| Number of Persons with Disabilities trained on skills development programmes | 200 | 576 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 550 |
| Number of Persons with Disabilities counselled in Govt facilities | 5200 | 5320 | 5355 | 5376 | 5381 | 5398 | 5601 |
| Number of Persons with Disabilities receiving counselling in NGO facilities | 4178 | 4188 | 4197 | 4220 | 4321 | 4512 | 4569 |

### 2.6. Child Care and Protection

| Programme <br> Performance indicator | Audited/Actual performance |  |  | Estimated performance 2010/11 | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007/08 | 2008/09 | 2009/10 |  |  |  |  |
| Number of funded Child and Youth Care Centres run by Govt. | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Number of funded Child and Youth Care Centres (Shelters and Children's Homes) and managed by NPOs | 25 | 34 | 29 | 30 | 32 | 35 | 35 |
| Number of children benefitted in Child and Youth Care Centres run by Government | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 230 | 230 | 230 |
| Number of Children benefitted in Child and Youth Care Centres managed by NPOs | 1811 | 2289 | 2289 | 2259 | 2359 | 2359 | 2359 |
| Number of Children in funded ECD programme | 72800 | 74185 | 55698 | 57198 | 57198 | 57198 | 57198 |
| Number of Children newly placed in Foster Care | 74826 | 19000 | 18019 | 20000 | 20000 | 20000 | 20000 |
| Number of children abused | 72 | 92 | 327 | 300 | 360 | 280 | 250 |
| Number of jobs created through EPWP in ECD Programme | 2248 | 3369 | 3786 | 3741 | 3741 | 3741 | 3741 |
| Rand value of funds transferred to CYCC run by NPOs | 33,944,400 | 38,700,480 | 47,233,900 | 45,560,000 | 45,500,880 | 50,893,261 | 50,893,261 |
| Number of personnel compensated | 536 | 541 | 641 | 156 | 156 | 156 | 156 |
| Number of funded ECD Centres | 1141 | 1262 | 1197 | 1247 | 1247 | 1247 | 1247 |
| Number of subsidised CPOs dealing with child protection services | 48 | 49 | 49 | 49 | 50 | 52 | 53 |

### 2.7. Victim Empowerment Programme

| Programme Performance Indicator | Audited/Actual performance |  |  |  | Medium-term targets |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007/08 | 2008/09 | 2009/10 |  | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 |
| Number of shelters (One Stop Centres) for victims of crime and violence run by Government | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Number of shelters (Safe Homes and One Stop Centres) for victims of crime and violence managed by NPOs | 10 | 16 | 15 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 |
| Number of victims of crime and violence in funded VEP shelter run by Government | 140 | 230 | 240 | 180 | 180 | 225 | 270 |
| Number of victims of crime and violence in funded VEP shelter managed by NPOs | 250 | 340 | 240 | 270 | 240 | 285 | 325 |
| Number of victims of crime accessing VEP services | 6447 | 8715 | 1976 | 4354 | 4500 | 4650 | 4750 |
| Rand value of funds transferred to funded VEP shelters run by Government | 7,279,000 | 11,503,000 | 1,523,750 | 1,800,000 | 1,650,000 | 1,725,900 | 1,812,195 |
| Rand value of funds transferred to funded VEP shelters managed by NPOs | 1,150,000 | 1,775,000 | 1,223,750 | 2,469,800 | 2,185,000 | 2,285,510 | 2,399,786 |
| Number of government funded NPOs delivering services on Victim Empowerment | 31 | 57 | 57 | 44 | 45 | 45 | 45 |
| Number of government funded NGOs delivering services on Victim Empowerment | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Number of Victim Empowerment Fora established | 7 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Number of awareness programmes targeting high risk areas implemented | 24 | 72 | 126 | 92 | 94 | 94 | 96 |

### 2.8. HIV and AIDS

| Programme Performance indicator | Audited/Actual performance |  |  | Estimated <br> performance <br> $2010 / 11$ | Medium-term targets |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007/08 | 2008/09 | 2009/10 |  | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 |
| Number of funded NPO's delivering HIVIAIDS prevention programmes | 73 | 114 | 115 | 127 | 129 | 129 | 130 |
| Number of orphans and other children made vulnerable by HIV and AIDS receiving services | 34388 | 146463 | 20361 | 32225 | 28520 | 35528 | 37304 |
| Rand value of funds transferred to NPOs delivering HIV and AIDS prevention programme | 37,221,000 | 64,603,000 | 58,396,000 | 64,403,000 | 62,403,000 | 71,292,000 | 75,213,000 |
| Number of HCBC organizations providing care, support and prevention programmes to Orphans, CHH and families | 73 | 114 | 115 | 119 | 129 | 129 | 130 |
| Number of jobs in HCBC's created through EPWP | 2278 | 3876 | 3162 | 3570 | 3955 | 3900 | 4095 |
| Number HCBC organisations trained on skills development | 48 | 48 | 155 | 119 | 129 | 129 | 130 |
| Number of community care givers trained on skills development programmes | 2278 | 3876 | 3162 | 3320 | 357 | 366 | 384 |
| Number of funded NPO's trained on social behaviour change programmes. | - | - | - | 60 | 13 | 18 | 23 |
| Number of districts implementing HCBC M \& E system | - | - | - | - | 3 | 7 | 7 |

### 2.9. Social Relief

| Programme Performance indicator | Audited/Actual performance |  |  |  | Medium-term targets |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007/08 | 2008/09 | 2009/10 |  | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 |
| Number of individuals who benefitted from social relief programs | 4382 | 3029 | 3029 | 4334 | 4344 | 4657 | 4657 |
| Rand value of Social Relief paid to benefit beneficiaries. | 5,283,000 | 7,553,000 | 3,882,000 | 4,800,000 | 4,692,000 | 5,161,200 | 5,677,320 |
| Number of psychosocial structures | 1 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Number of dumping site projects that link families living off dumping sites to Community Based Developmental programmes strengthened | 3 | 8 | 5 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |

### 2.10. Care and Support Services to Families

| Programme Performance indicator | Audited/Actual performance |  |  | Estimated performance 2010/11 | Medium-term targets |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007/08 | 2008/09 | 2009/10 |  | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 |
| Number of Government funded NPOs providing care and support services to families (Family Resource Centres, Family Preservation Programmes and Single Parents Associations) run by Government | 9 | 23 | 27 | 38 | 38 | 38 | 38 |
| Number of Government funded NGOs providing care and support services to families managed by NGOs | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Number of families participating in family preservation services | 450 | 2000 | 4639 | 1004 | 1199 | 1350 | 1479 |
| Number of individuals reunited with their families | 450 | 312 | 424 | 200 | 250 | 300 | 350 |
| Rand value of funds transferred to NPOs delivering services to families | 879,000 | 7,947,000 | 1,067,001 | 5,292,925 | 5,222,699 | 5,726,925 | 5,726,925 |
| Number of integrated awareness and educational programmes | 7 | 7 | 24 | 24 | 10 | 15 | 20 |

### 4.8 Quarterly targets 2011/12

### 2.1. Professional and Administrative Support

| Programme Performance indicator | Reporting period | Annual target 2011/12 | Quarterly targets |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $1^{\text {st }}$ | $2^{\text {nd }}$ | 3rd | 4th |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of personnel remunerated. | Quarterly | 718 | 718 | 718 | 718 | 718 |
| Number of Social Service Practitioners participating in capacity building programme | Quarterly | 640 | 120 | 240 | 240 | 40 |
| Number of NGO management committee members trained | Quarterly | 240 | 58 | 63 | 63 | 56 |
| Number of Advisory Boards strengthened | Quarterly | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Number of student social workers awarded bursaries | Quarterly | 135 | - | - | 135 | - |
| Number of work opportunities created through Expanded Public Works Programme | Quarterly | 7696 | 7696 | 7696 | 7696 | 7696 |
| Number of funded organisations monitored | Quarterly | 120 | 28 | 42 | 28 | 22 |
| Number of people participating in Social Work Awards | Quarterly | 1140 | - | 200 | 940 |  |

### 2.2. Substance Abuse Prevention and Rehabilitation

| Programme performance indicators | Reporting period | Annual target 2011/12 | Quarterly targets |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $1^{\text {st }}$ | $2^{\text {nd }}$ | $3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ | $4^{\text {th }}$ |
| Number of funded substance abuse treatment centres run by NGOs | Quarterly | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Number of new clients admitted to substance abuse treatment centres run by NGOs | Quarterly | 498 | 120 | 130 | 110 | 138 |
| Number of youth reached through Ke Moja awareness campaign | Quarterly | 320 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 |
| Rand value of funds transferred to Government funded substance abuse treatment centres managed by NGOs | Annually | 5,806,470 | 1,451,617 | 1,451,617 | 1,451,617 | 1,451,617 |
| Number of awareness programmes for substance abuse | Quarterly | 1700 | 1450 | 100 | 100 | 50 |
| Number of Local Drug | Quarterly | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 |


| Action committees <br> implementing prevention <br> programmes |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number of State <br> Treatment Centres run by <br> Government | Quarterly | - | - | - | - | - |
| Number of TADA Groups <br> established | Quarterly | 240 | 20 | 80 | 100 | 40 |

2.3. Care and Services to Older Persons

| Programme Performance indicator | Reporting period |  | Quarterly targets |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $1^{\text {st }}$ | 2nd | 3rd | 4th |
| Number of older person's residential facilities | Quarterly | 54 | 54 | 54 | 54 | 54 |
| Number of older persons in funded Residential <br> Facilities run by NPOs | Quarterly | 3258 | 3258 | 3258 | 3258 | 3258 |
| Number of older persons in funded Residential facilities run by Government | Quarterly | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 |
| Number of older persons accessing community based care and support services | Quarterly | 16471 | 4118 | 4118 | 4118 | 4117 |
| Number of older persons abused | Quarterly | 51 | 12 | 13 | 12 | 14 |
| Number of older persons participating in active ageing programmes | Quarterly | 672 | 672 | 672 | 672 | 672 |
| Rand value of funds transferred to residential facilities. | Quarterly | 64,062,000 | 16,015,500 | 16,015,500 | 16,015,500 | 16,015,500 |
| Rand value of funds transferred to community based care and support services | Quarterly | 28,240,819 | 7,060,204.7 | 7,060,204.7 | 7,060,204.7 | 7,060,204.7 |
| Number of Caregivers implementing the community based care and support programmes | Quarterly | 282 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 42 |
| Number of funded Service Centres run by NPOs | Quarterly | 235 | 235 | 235 | 235 | 235 |
| Number of social service organisations run by NPOs | Annually | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Number of Older Persons Fora | Quarterly | 24 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Number of awareness campaigns on older persons legislation | Quarterly | 24 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Number of persons reached through awareness campaigns | Quarterly | 4858 | 1214 | 1214 | 1215 | 1215 |
| Number of older persons received counselling in Government facilities | Quarterly | 18113 | 4528 | 4520 | 4526 | 4539 |
| Number of older persons received counselling in NGO facilities | Quarterly | 7891 | 1973 | 1970 | 1965 | 1983 |

### 2.4. Crime Prevention and Support

| Programme performance indicators | Reporting period | Annual target <br> 2011/12 | Quarterly targets |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $1^{\text {st }}$ | 2nd | 3rd | 4th |
| Number of children in conflict with the law awaiting trial in secure care centres run by Government | Quarterly | 385 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 97 |
| Number of children in conflict with the law awaiting trial in secure care centres run by NGOs | Quarterly | 600 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 |
| Number of children in conflict with the law assessed | Quarterly | 6000 | 1500 | 1400 | 1500 | 1600 |
| Number of children in conflict with the law who completed diversion programmes | Quarterly | 3000 | 800 | 700 | 800 | 700 |
| Rand value of funds transferred to NPOs delivering crime prevention and support services | Annually | 43,162,000 | 10,791,000 | 10,791,000 | 10,791,000 | 10,791,000 |
| Number of children reached through crime prevention programmes | Quarterly | 5000 | 1000 | 1500 | 1500 | 1000 |
| Number of personnel compensated | Annually | 504 | 504 | 504 | 504 | 504 |
| Number of crime prevention programmes implemented by government | Quarterly | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 |
| Number of children arrested | Quarterly | 6000 | 1500 | 1400 | 1500 | 1600 |
| Number of accredited diversion programmes implemented | Quarterly | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Number of children accessed community based sentences | Quarterly | 500 | 125 | 100 | 150 | 125 |
| Number of secure care centres complying with the blueprint model for secure care centres run by Government | Quarterly | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Number of secure care centres complying with the blueprint model for secure care centres run by NGOs | Quarterly | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Number of children in conflict with the law participating in skills development programmes | Quarterly | 200 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 50 |
| Number of ex-offenders participating in re-integration programmes | Quarterly | 110 | - | 30 | 40 | 40 |
| Number of children placed in developmental foster care programmes | Quarterly | 55 | 13 | 14 | 14 | 14 |

2.5. Services to People with Disabilities

| Programme Performance indicator | Reporting period | Annual target <br> 2011/12 | Quarterly targets |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $1^{\text {st }}$ | 2nd | 3rd | 4th |
| Number of funded Residential facilities for Persons with Disabilities run by NPOs | Quarterly | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 |
| Number of funded Residential facilities for Persons with Disabilities run by Government | Quarterly | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Number of funded Protective Workshops for Persons with Disabilities run by NPOs | Quarterly | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Number of Persons with Disabilities in funded Residential facilities run by NPOs | Quarterly | 1040 | 1040 | 1040 | 1040 | 1040 |
| Number of Persons with Disabilities in funded Residential facilities run by Government | Quarterly | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 |
| Number of Persons with Disabilities accessing services in funded Protective Workshops managed by NPOs | Quarterly | 551 | 551 | 551 | 551 | 551 |
| Rand value of funds transferred to NPO's delivering services for persons with disabilities | Quarterly | 32,645,000 | 8,161,250 | 8,161,250 | 8,161,250 | 8,161,250 |
| Number of funded Special Day Care Centres managed by NPOs | Quarterly | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 |
| Number of children in Special Day Care Centres managed by NPOs | Quarterly | 764 | 764 | 764 | 764 | 764 |
| Number of Social Service Organisations run by NPOs | Quarterly | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Number of home community based care and Rehabilitation programmes | Quarterly | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Number of awareness campaigns conducted | Quarterly | 96 | 20 | 32 | 34 | 10 |
| Number of persons reached through awareness campaigns | Quarterly | 1864 | 366 | 466 | 776 | 256 |
| Number of persons with disabilities trained on skills development programmes. | Quarterly | 500 | 139 | 103 | 129 | 129 |
| Number of persons with disabilities received counselling in Government facilities | Quarterly | 5381 | 1345 | 1346 | 1339 | 1351 |
| Number of persons with disabilities received counselling in NGO facilities | Quarterly | 4321 | 1080 | 1085 | 1088 | 1068 |

### 2.6. Child Care and Protection

| Programme performance <br> indicators | Reporting <br> period |  | Annual <br> target <br> $2011 / 12$ |  | $1^{\text {st }}$ | $2^{\text {nd }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

### 2.7. Victim Empowerment Programme

| Programme performance indicators | Reporting period | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Annual } \\ \text { target } \\ 2011 / 2012 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Quarterly targets |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $1^{\text {st }}$ | $2^{\text {nd }}$ | $3^{\text {rd }}$ | $4^{\text {th }}$ |
| Number of shelters (One Stop Centres) for victims of crime and violence run by government | Quarterly | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Number of shelters (Safe Homes and One Stop Centre) for victims of crime and violence managed by NPOs. | Quarterly | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 |
| Number of victims of crime and violence in funded VEP shelter run by government | Quarterly | 180 | 40 | 45 | 55 | 40 |
| Number of victims of crime and violence in funded VEP shelter managed by NPOs. | Quarterly | 240 | 50 | 65 | 75 | 50 |
| Number of victims of crime accessing VEP services. | Quarterly | 4500 | 995 | 1105 | 1299 | 1101 |
| Rand value of funds transferred to funded VEP shelters run by Government. | Quarterly | 1,650,000 | 750,000 | 150,000 | 750,000 | - |
| Rand value of funds transferred to funded VEP shelters managed by NPOs | Quarterly | 2,185 000 | 585,000 | 700,000 | 900,000 | - |
| Number of government funded NPOs delivering services on Victim Empowerment | Quarterly | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 |
| Number of government funded NGOs delivering services on Victim Empowerment | Quarterly | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Number of Victim Empowerment Fora established | Quarterly | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Number of awareness programmes targeting high risk areas implemented | Quarterly | 94 | 14 | 20 | 50 | 10 |

### 2.8. HIV and AIDS

| Programme performance <br> indicators | Reporting <br> period | Annual <br> target <br> $2011 / 12$ | $1^{\text {nt }}$ |  |  | Quarterly targets |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

### 2.9. Social Relief

| Programme Performance indicator | Reporting period | Annual Targets 2011/12 | Quarterly targets |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $1^{\text {st }}$ | 2nd | 3rd | 4th |
| Number of individuals who benefitted from social relief programs | Quarterly | 4344 | 1086 | 1086 | 1086 | 1086 |
| Rand value of social relief paid to beneficiaries | Quarterly | 4,692,000 | 1,173,000 | 1,173,000 | 1,173,000 | 1,173,000 |
| Number of psychosocial structures | Quarterly | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Number of dumping site projects that link families living off dumping sites to Community Based Developmental programmes strengthened. | Quarterly | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |

### 2.10. Care Support Services to Families

| Programme performance indicators | Reporting period | Annual target 2011/12 | Quarterly targets |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $1^{\text {st }}$ | $2^{\text {nd }}$ | $3^{\text {rd }}$ | $4^{\text {th }}$ |
| Number of Government funded NPOs providing care and support services to families (Family Resource Centres, Family Preservation Programmes and Single Parents Associations) run by Government | Quarterly | 38 | 38 | 38 | 38 | 38 |
| Number of Government funded NGOs providing care and support services to families managed by NGOs | Quarterly | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Number of families participating in family preservation services | Quarterly | 1199 | 295 | 310 | 295 | 299 |
| Number of individuals reunited with their families | Quarterly | 250 | 62 | 63 | 63 | 62 |
| Rand value of funds transferred to NPOs delivering services to families | Quarterly | 5,222,699 | 1,635,000 | 1,793,849 | 1,793,849 | - |
| Number of integrated awareness and educational programmes | Quarterly | 10 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 |

### 4.9 Reconciling performance targets with the Budget and MTEF

| Summary of the Department | 2007/08 | 2008/09 | 2009/10 | 2010/11 | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Audited |  |  | Adjusted Appropriation | Medium-term estimates |  |  |
| Profession and Administration Support | 186,825 | 274,124 | 347,301 | 420,669 | 540,059 | 568,263 | 598,635 |
| Substance abuse | 8,083 | 10,593 | 8,615 | 10,418 | 8,758 | 9,205 | 9,683 |
| Care \& Services to Older Persons | 71,867 | 91,093 | 93,756 | 94,960 | 93,820 | 98,605 | 103,732 |
| Crime Prevention and Support | 37,028 | 60,238 | 79,712 | 93,827 | 86,637 | 91,350 | 96,807 |
| Services to persons with Disabilities | 29,300 | 36,195 | 37,238 | 30,988 | 34,019 | 35,676 | 37,534 |
| Child Care and Protection Services | 145,234 | 221,239 | 211,708 | 215,556 | 234,196 | 253,522 | 269,223 |
| Victim Empowerment | 8,481 | 12,272 | 6,339 | 9,801 | 9,050 | 9,512 | 10,006 |
| HIV and AIDS | 47,299 | 73,425 | 65,147 | 77,165 | 73,526 | 78,796 | 85,336 |
| Social Relief | 5,283 | 7,553 | 3,882 | 7,092 | 7,092 | 7,454 | 7,841 |
| Care \& Support to Families | 9,416 | 9,156 | 4,568 | 9,460 | 8,044 | 8,454 | 8,894 |
| Total | 548,816 | 795,888 | 858,266 | 969,936 | 1,095,237 | 1,160,837 | 1,227,691 |
| Current payments | 199,661 | 325,320 | 406,395 | 467,995 | 589,889 | 628,487 | 666,012 |
| Compensation of employees | 171,619 | 279,952 | 365,944 | 435,937 | 491,554 | 525,020 | 557,164 |
| Goods and services | 28,042 | 45,368 | 40,451 | 32,058 | 98,335 | 103,467 | 108,848 |
| Transfers and subsidies (Cur): | 329,822 | 448,485 | 431,820 | 470,533 | 475,349 | 500,821 | 528,511 |
| Non profit institutions | 322,029 | 433,710 | 412,131 | 429,523 | 454,407 | 477,582 | 502,416 |
| Household | 7,793 | 14,775 | 19,689 | 41,010 | 20,942 | 23,239 | 26,095 |
| Payments for capital assets | 19,333 | 22,083 | 20,051 | 31,408 | 29,999 | 31,529 | 33,168 |
| Building and other fixed structures | 14,694 | 14,541 | 11,172 | 26,821 | 25,155 | 26,438 | 27,813 |
| Machinery and equipment | 4,639 | 7,542 | 8,879 | 4,587 | 4,844 | 5,091 | 5,355 |
| Software and other intangibles | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total | 548,816 | 795,888 | 858,266 | 969,936 | 1,095,237 | 1,160,837 | 1,227,691 |

## Performance and expenditure trends

The department has allocated 64 \% of the budget to this programme. In 2011/12 financial year the allocation increased from R969, 936 million to R1, 195, 237 billion in the 2011/12 financial year, reflecting an increase of $\mathrm{R} 125,301$ million or $12 \%$ in nominal terms. The major increase is shown in child care and protective services, compensation of employees to cater for the recruitment of social workers and other service professionals, transfers to subsidies as well as an increase in number of attendees in the early childhood development and an increase in tariff from R12 per child per day to R15 per child per day is also contributing over the MTEF period. An amount R58, 574 has been redistributed from sub programme's (compensation of employees) crime prevention R37, 115, child care and protection services R19, 874, and HIV and AIDS R1, 685 million to increase the compensation of employees for the Districts in support of the district development model. An amount R3,000 million shifted from sub programme care and services to older persons to defray the costs of transfers under child care and protective services. An amount of R82, 68 million has been received by this programme through decentralisation of the budget from programme 1 to fund the following transversal items: telephones, cell phones, 3 g cards, securities, municipal services, photocopiers, maintenance of buildings, gardening service, fleet services and lease payments. An amount of $R 17,41$ million has been shifted from goods and services to defray the cost of compensation of employees which was showing a short fall in the upcoming financial year.

### 4.10 PROGRAMME 3: DEVELOPMENT AND RESEARCH

## Strategic goal

Livelihood capabilities of poor communities especially youth and women improved by 2014

## Purpose

The purpose of the programme is to provide sustainable development programmes which facilitate empowerment of communities, based on empirical research and demographic information. The programme consists of six subprogrammes:

| Programme | Sub-Programme |
| :--- | :--- |
| 3. Development and Research | 1. Professional and Administrative Support |
|  | 2. Youth Development |
|  | 3. Sustainable Livelihood |
|  | 4. Institutional Capacity Building and Support |
|  | 5. Research and Demography |
|  | 6. Population Capacity Development and Advocacy |

### 4.11 Strategic objectives and annual targets 2011-14

### 3.1. Professional and Administrative Support

| Strategic Objective: <br> To enhance the capacity of the programme for effective and efficient service delivery | Audited/Actual performance |  |  | Estimated performance 2010/11 | Medium-term targets |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007/08 | 2008/09 | 2009/10 |  | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 |
| Number of Developmental Youth, women and Sustainable Livelihood strategies Implemented | - | - | - | - | 3 | 3 | 3 |

### 3.2. Youth Development

| Strategic Objective: <br> To promote and facilitate Community Development services targeting poor communities especially youth and women towards sustainability and self-reliance | Audited/Actual performance |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Estimated } \\ \text { performance } \\ 2010 / 11 \end{gathered}$ | Medium-term targets |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007/08 | 2008/09 | 2009/10 |  | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 |
| Number of youth participating in the Masupa-Tsela Youth Pioneer Programme (ACDPs) | - | 70 | 420 | 420 | 1000 | 436 | - |
| Number of out of school and unemployed youth participating in income generating projects. | 330 | 260 | 330 | 490 | 525 | 525 | 555 |
| Number of youth entrepreneurship development projects funded | 32 | 26 | 33 | 49 | 35 | 35 | 37 |
| Number of funded youth projects linked to commercial markets | - | - | - | 5 | 10 | 13 | 16 |
| Rand Value of funds transferred to youth and income generating projects | 15,300,000 | 13,412,476 | 18,007,000 | 13,969,000 | 16,624,000 | 17,455,000 | 18,327,000 |

## 3.3 (I) Sustainable Livelihoods

| Strategic Objective: <br> To promote and facilitate Community Development services targeting poor communities especially youth and women towards sustainability and self-reliance | Audited/Actual performance |  |  | Estimated performance 2010/11 | Medium-term targets |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007/08 | 2008/09 | 2009/10 |  | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 |
| Number of community development awareness programmes conducted within each Local Municipality. | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 55 | 65 |
| Number of Communities profiled. | - | - | - | - | 91 | 110 | 115 |
| Number of households profiled | - | - | - | - | 13000 | 14000 | 15000 |
| Number of poor, vulnerable and marginalised households / families linked to sustainable livelihoods and economic activities. | 116 | 112 | 69 | 21 | 500 | 600 | 700 |
| Number of funded projects with sustainable operations. | 189 | 186 | 158 | 188 | 121 | 133 | 145 |
| Number of projects receiving integrated services from identified stakeholders | 189 | 186 | 158 | 188 | 121 | 133 | 145 |
| Number of households with access to food and fresh produce. | 116 | 112 | 69 | 86 | 2275 | 2443 | 2570 |
| Rand Value of funds transferred to food production and income generating projects | 81,449,000 | 108,866,000 | 83,726,000 | 74,965,000 | 64,500,000 | 73,299,000 | 77,124,000 |

3.3. (II) Women Development

| Strategic Objective: <br> To promote and facilitate Community Development services targeting poor communities especially youth and women towards sustainability and self-reliance | Audited/Actual performance |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Estimated } \\ \text { performance } \\ 2010 / 11 \end{gathered}$ | Medium-term targets |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007/08 | 2008/09 | 2009/10 |  | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 |
| Number of women initiatives funded and transformed to Social Co- operatives. | - | - | - | - | 55 | 61 | 68 |
| Number of women with improved household income | 300 | 480 | 500 | 660 | 825 | 915 | 1020 |
| Number of partnerships established and strengthened for empowerment of women \& in support of women initiatives | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 15 |
| Number of community development awareness \& advocacy programs focusing on gender issues and social cohesion conducted in each local municipality | - | - | - | - | 24 | 48 | 96 |
| Rand value of funds transferred to funded women initiatives | - | - | 25,000,000 | 26,275,000 | 27,745,000 | 30,519,000 | 34,038,000 |

### 3.4 Institutional Capacity Building \& Support

| Strategic Objective: <br> To establish and capacitate existing community based organisations towards improved organisational services | Audited/Actual performance |  |  | EstimatedPerformance$2010 / 11$ | Medium Term Targets |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007/08 | 2008/09 | 2009/10 |  | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 |
| Number of projects managed in line with the systems and operations manual | 189 | 186 | 158 | 188 | 208 | 194 | 208 |
| Number of officials capacitated in community development processes. | 40 | 50 | 210 | 210 | 420 | 520 | 620 |
| Number of projects registered and operating as legal entities (NPO, Cooperatives etc). | 189 | 186 | 158 | 188 | 208 | 200 | 250 |
| Number of funded NPOs and Cooperatives capacitated on management and technical skills according to the Capacity Building Framework | 251 | 260 | 241 | 303 | 208 | 194 | 208 |

### 3.5 Research and Demography

| Strategic Objective: <br> To provide research information and population data for the improvement of the design, development and evaluation of departmental plans and programmes. | Audited/Actual performance |  |  | Estimated Performance 2010/11 | Medium Term Targets |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007/08 | 2008/09 | 2009/10 |  | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 |
| Number of studies conducted on population and social issues. | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| Number of research reports completed and disseminated | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| Number of demographic profiles completed | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 |

### 3.6 Population Capacity Development and Advocacy

| Strategic Objective: <br> To facilitate and promote integration of evidencebased information in the programme and policy development processes. | Audited/Actual performance |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Estimated } \\ \text { Performance } \\ 2010 / 11 \end{gathered}$ | Medium Term Targets |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007/08 | 2008/09 | 2009/10 |  | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 |
| Number of officials trained in utilization of population information during planning and implementation processes. | - | - | 60 | 75 | 80 | 100 | 120 |
| Number of stakeholders participated in capacity building training. | - | - | 10 | 14 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| Number of stakeholders who participated in dissemination workshops for population and development | - | - | 10 | 12 | 15 | 18 | 20 |
| Number of capacity building training workshops conducted | - | - | 3 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 8 |


| Number of <br> dissemination <br> workshops for <br> population and <br> development <br> conducted | - | - | 5 | 8 | 5 | 6 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number of advocacy <br> information, education <br> and communication <br> activities implemented <br> to support population <br> policy implementation | 3 | 2 | - | 4 | 4 | 6 | 5 |

### 4.12 Programme performance indicators and annual targets for 2011-14

### 3.1 Professional and Administrative Support

| Programme performance indicators | Audited/Actual performance |  |  | Estimated performanc e 2010/11 | Medium-term targets |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007/08 | 2008/09 | 2009/10 |  | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 |
| Number of Developmental Youth, women and Sustainable Livelihood strategies Implemented | - | - | - | - | 3 | 3 | 3 |

### 3.2 Youth Development

| Programme performance indicators | Audited/Actual performance |  |  | Estimated performanc e 2010/11 | Medium-term targets |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007/08 | 2008/09 | 2009/10 |  | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 |
| Number of youth participating in the Masupa-Tsela Youth Pioneer Programme (ACDPs) | - | 70 | 420 | 420 | 1000 | 436 | - |
| Number of out of school and unemployed youth participating in income generating projects. | 330 | 260 | 330 | 490 | 525 | 525 | 555 |
| Number of youth entrepreneurship development projects funded | 32 | 26 | 33 | 49 | 35 | 35 | 37 |
| Number of funded youth projects linked to commercial markets | - | - | - | 5 | 10 | 13 | 16 |
| Rand Value of funds transferred to youth and income generating projects | 15,300,000 | 13,412,476 | 18,007,000 | 13,969,000 | 16,624,000 | 17,455,000 | 18,327,000 |

## 3.3 (I) Sustainable Livelihoods

| Programme performance indicators | Audited/Actual performance |  |  | Estimated performance 2010/11 | Medium-term targets |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007/08 | 2008/09 | 2009/10 |  | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 |
| Number of community development awareness programmes conducted within each Local Municipality. | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 55 | 65 |
| Number of Communities profiled. | - | - | - | - | 91 | 110 | 115 |
| Number of households profiled | - | - | - | - | 13000 | 14000 | 15000 |
| Number of poor, vulnerable and marginalised households / families linked to sustainable livelihoods and economic activities. | 116 | 112 | 69 | 21 | 500 | 600 | 700 |
| Number of funded projects with sustainable operations. | 189 | 186 | 158 | 188 | 121 | 133 | 145 |
| Number of projects receiving integrated services from identified stakeholders | 189 | 186 | 158 | 188 | 121 | 133 | 145 |
| Number of households with access to food and fresh produce. | 116 | 112 | 69 | 86 | 2275 | 2443 | 2570 |
| Rand Value of funds transferred to food production and income generating projects | 81,449,000 | 108,866,000 | 83,726,000 | 74,965,000 | 64,500,000 | 73,299,000 | 77,124,000 |

## 3.3 (II) Women Development

| Programme performance indicators | Audited/Actual performance |  |  | Estimated performance 2010/11 | Medium-term targets |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007/08 | 2008/09 | 2009/10 |  | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 |
| Number of women initiatives funded and transformed to Social Cooperatives. | - | - <br>  <br> 80 | - | - | 55 | 61 | 68 |
| Number of women with improved household income | 300 | 480 | 500 | 660 | 825 | 915 | 1020 |
| Number of partnerships established and strengthened for empowerment of women \& in support of women initiatives | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 15 |
| Number of community development awareness \& advocacy programs focusing on gender issues and social cohesion conducted in each local municipality | - | - | - | - | 24 | 48 | 96 |
| Rand value of funds transferred to funded women initiatives | - | - | 25,000,000 | 26,275,000 | 27,745,000 | 30,519,000 | 34,038,000 |

### 3.4 Institutional Capacity Building \& Support

| Programme performance indicators | Audited/Actual performance |  |  | Estimated Performance 2010/11 | Medium Term Targets |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007/08 | 2008/09 | 2009/10 |  | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 |
| Number of projects managed in line with the systems and operations manual. | 189 | 186 | 158 | 188 | 208 | 194 | 208 |
| Number of officials capacitated in community development processes. | 40 | 50 | 210 | 210 | 420 | 520 | 620 |
| Number of projects registered and operating as legal entities (NPO, Cooperatives etc). | 189 | 186 | 158 | 188 | 208 | 200 | 250 |
| Number of funded NPOs and Cooperatives | 251 | 260 | 241 | 303 | 208 | 194 | 208 |


| capacitated on <br> management and <br> technical skills <br> according to the <br> Capacity Building <br> Framework |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

3.5 Research and Demography

| Programme performance indicators | Audited/Actual performance |  |  | Estimated Performance 2010/11 | Medium Term Targets |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007/08 | 2008/09 | 2009/10 |  | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 |
| Number of studies conducted on population and social issues. | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| Number of research reports completed and disseminated | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| Number of demographic profiles completed | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 |

### 3.6 Population Capacity Development and Advocacy

| Programme performance indicators | Audited/Actual performance |  |  | Estimated Performance 2010/11 | Medium Term Targets |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007/08 | 2008/09 | 2009/10 |  | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 |
| Number of officials trained in utilization of population information during planning and implementation processes. | - | - | 60 | 75 | 80 | 100 | 120 |
| Number of stakeholders participated in capacity building training. | - | - | 10 | 14 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| Number of stakeholders who participated in dissemination workshops for population and development | - | - | 10 | 12 | 15 | 18 | 20 |
| Number of capacity building training workshops conducted | - | - | 3 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 8 |
| Number of dissemination workshops for population and development conducted | - | - | 5 | 8 | 4 | 5 | 6 |


|  | Number of advocacy <br> information, education <br> and communication <br> activities implemented <br> to support population <br> policy implementation |  | 3 | - | 4 | 4 | 6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

### 4.13 Quarterly Targets for 2011/12

### 3.1 Professional and Administrative Support

| Programme performance Indicators | Reporting period | Annual target 2011/12 | Quarterly targets |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $1^{\text {st }}$ | $2^{\text {nd }}$ | $3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ | $4^{\text {th }}$ |
| Number of Developmental Youth, women and Sustainable Livelihood strategies Implemented | Quarterly | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |

3.2 Youth Development

| Programme performance <br> Indicators |  | Reporting <br> period | Annual <br> target <br> $2011 / 12$ | Quarterly targets |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Annually | 1000 | 250 | $1^{\text {st }}$ | $2^{\text {nd }}$ |

## 3.3 (I) Sustainable Livelihoods

| Programme performance Indicators | Reporting period | Annual target 2011/12 | Quarterly targets |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $1^{\text {st }}$ | $2^{\text {nd }}$ | $3^{\text {rd }}$ | $4^{\text {th }}$ |
| Number of community development awareness programmes conducted within each Local Municipality | Quarterly | 45 | 7 | 21 | 10 | 7 |
| Number of communities profiled | Quarterly | 91 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 25 |
| Number of households profiled | Quarterly | 13000 | - | 4370 | 4330 | 4300 |
| Number of poor, vulnerable and marginalised households / families linked to sustainable livelihoods and economic activities. | Quarterly | 500 | 100 | 400 | - | - |
| Number of funded projects with sustainable operations. | Annually | 121 | - | - | 80 | 41 |
| Number of projects receiving integrated services from identified stakeholders | Quarterly | 121 | - | - | 80 | 41 |
| Number of households with access to food and fresh produce. | Quarterly | 2275 | 560 | 560 | 560 | 595 |
| Rand Value of funds transferred to food production and income generating projects | Annually | 64,500,000 | 16,125,000 | 16,125,000 | 16,125,000 | 16,125,000 |

## 3.3 (II) Women Development

| Programme performance Indicators | Reporting period | Annual target 2011/12 | Quarterly targets |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $1^{\text {st }}$ | $2^{\text {nd }}$ | $3^{\text {rd }}$ | $4^{\text {th }}$ |
| Number of women initiatives funded and transformed to Social cooperatives | Annually | 55 | 25 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Number of women with improved household income | Annually | 825 | 375 | 150 | 150 | 150 |
| Number of partnerships established and strengthened for empowerment of women \&in support of women initiatives | Annually | 5 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Number of community development awareness \& advocacy programs focusing on gender issues and social cohesion conducted in each local | Annually | 24 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |


|  | municipality |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Rand value of funds <br> transferred to women <br> initiatives | Annually | $27,745,000$ | $6,936,250$ | $6,936,250$ | $6,936,250$ | $6,936,250$ |  |

### 3.4 Institutional Capacity Building and Support

| Programme performance Indicators | Reporting period | Annual target 2011/12 | Quarterly targets |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $1^{\text {st }}$ | $2^{\text {nd }}$ | $3^{\text {rd }}$ | $4^{\text {th }}$ |
| Number of projects managed in line with the systems and operations manual. | Quarterly | 208 | 52 | 52 | 52 | 52 |
| Number of officials capacitated in community development processes. | Quarterly | 420 | 105 | 105 | 105 | 105 |
| Number of projects registered and operating as legal entities (NPO, Cooperatives etc). | Quarterly | 208 | 52 | 52 | 52 | 52 |
| Number of funded NPOs and Co-operatives capacitated on management and technical skills according to the Capacity Building Framework | Quarterly | 208 | 52 | 52 | 52 | 52 |

### 3.5 Research and Demography

| Programme performance Indicators | Reporting period | Annual target 2011/12 | Quarterly targets |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $1^{\text {st }}$ | $2^{\text {nd }}$ | $3^{\text {rd }}$ | $4^{\text {th }}$ |
| Number of studies conducted on population and social issues. | Annually | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| Number of research reports completed and disseminated | Annually | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| Number of demographic profiles completed | Annually | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |

### 3.6 Population Capacity Development and Advocacy

| Programme performance Indicators | Reporting period | Annual target 2011/12 | Quarterly targets |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $1^{\text {st }}$ | $2^{\text {nd }}$ | $3^{\text {rd }}$ | $4^{\text {th }}$ |
| Number of officials trained in utilization of population information during planning and implementation processes. | Quarterly | 80 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Number of stakeholders participated in capacity building training. | Quarterly | 11 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 1 |
| Number of stakeholders who participated in dissemination workshops for population and development | Quarterly | 15 | - | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Number of capacity building training workshops conducted | Quarterly | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Number of dissemination workshops for population and development conducted | Quarterly | 4 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| Number of advocacy information, education and communication activities implemented to support population policy implementation | Quarterly | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

4.14 Reconciling performance targets with the Budget and MTEF

| Summary of departmental payments and estimates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R'000 | 2007/08 | 2008/09 | 2009/10 | 2010/11 | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 |
| Sub-programmes | Audited |  |  | Adjusted appropriation | Medium-term estimates |  |  |
| Professional and Administrative Support | 33,796 | 50,239 | 52,419 | 51,618 | 109,789 | 116,105 | 122,143 |
| Youth Development | 15,000 | 10,163 | 19,522 | 29,090 | 31,439 | 32,919 | 34,675 |
| Sustainable Livelihood | 81,449 | 108,866 | 83,726 | 74,965 | 101,536 | 106,706 | 112,280 |
| Institutional Capacity Building and Support | 10,666 | 12,817 | 19,170 | 16,239 | 12,206 | 12,836 | 13,513 |
| Research and Demography | (82) | 2,857 | 4,181 | 2,555 | 2,485 | 2,610 | 2,751 |
| Population Capacity <br> Development and Advocacy | 608 | 1,132 | 804 | 1,169 | 1,147 | 1,205 | 1,271 |
| Total | 141,437 | 186,074 | 179,822 | 175,636 | 258,602 | 272,381 | 286,633 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| Current payments | 43,737 | 64,407 | 87,360 | 95,603 | 147,799 | 156,036 | 164,239 |
| Compensation of employees | 24,791 | 35,667 | 60,433 | 76,605 | 112,125 | 118,552 | 124,806 |
| Goods and services | 18,946 | 28,740 | 26,927 | 18,998 | 35,674 | 37,484 | 39,433 |
| Transfer and subsidies to | 96,280 | 100,432 | 86,651 | 80,033 | 108,803 | 114,243 | 120,183 |
| Provinces and municipalities | 3 |  |  | - | - | - | - |
| Non-profit institutions (cur) | $(16,125)$ | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Households (cur) and (cap) | 112,402 | 100,432 | 86,651 | 80,033 | 108,803 | 114,243 | 120,183 |
| Payments for capital assets | - | 2,589 | 4,770 | - | 2,000 | 2,102 | 2,211 |
| Building and other fixed structures | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Machinery and equipment |  | 2,589 | 4,770 | - | 2,000 | 2,102 | 2,211 |
| Software and other intangibles | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Payments for financial assets | 1,420 | 18,646 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total economic classification | 141,437 | 186,074 | 179,822 | 175,636 | 258,602 | 272,381 | 286,633 |

## Performance and expenditure trends

This programme has been allocated $15 \%$ of the total budget of the Department facilitate development of communities. The budget for Development and Research has increased from R175,636 million in 2010/11 financial year to R258,602 million in 2011/12. This budget has increased by R82,966 million representing $47 \%$ percent in nominal terms. An amount of R28,90 million represents an increase in the compensation of employees to fund the new posts in the districts so as to enhance service delivery (The following are the categories of posts: Auxiliary community practitioners, community development supervisors and admin officers). An amount of R1, 31 million has been set aside for the recruitment of administration officers at head office. An amount of R24, 04 million has been received by this programme through decentralization of budget from programme 1 to fund the following transversal items: telephones, cellphones 3 g cards, securities, municipal services, photocopiers, maintenance of buildings, gardening service, fleet services and lease payments.
PART C: LINKS TO OTHER PLANS
5. Links to the long-term infrastructure and other capital plans



| 9 | Mdants |  | Amathole | Office | 1 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 31-Mar- } \\ 14 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 31- \\ \text { Mar- } \\ 14 \end{array}$ | Administration | 2,000 | - | - | - | 2,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Rehabilitation, renovations and refurbishments |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 296,753 | 29,533 | 2,379 | 1,800 | 6,618 |
| 4. Maintenance and repairs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Amath | District | Amathole District | Offices | 1 | 2-Apr-10 | $\begin{array}{r} 31- \\ \text { Mar- } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Administration | 902 | 112 | 317 | 285 | 336 |
| 2 | Alfred n | District | Alfred District nzo | Offices | 1 | 2-Apr-10 | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 31- \\ \text { Mar- } \\ 11 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Administration | 870 | 230 | 285 | 285 | 200 |
| 3 | Cacadu | strict | Cacadu District | Offices | 1 | 2-Apr-10 | $\begin{array}{r} 31- \\ \text { Mar- } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Administration | 870 | 182 | 285 | 285 | 336 |
| 4 | Chris H | District | Chris District $\quad$ Hani | Offices | 1 | 2-Apr-10 | $\begin{array}{r} 31- \\ \text { Mar- } \\ 11 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Administration | 1,298 | 37 | 438 | 560 | 462 |
| 5 | Nelson Metro | Mandela | Nelson Mandela Metro | Offices | 1 | 2-Apr-10 | $\begin{array}{r} 31- \\ \text { Mar- } \\ 11 \end{array}$ | Administration | 870 | 162 | 285 | 285 | 462 |
| 6 | OR Tan | District | OR Tambo District | Offices | 1 | 2-Apr-10 | $\begin{array}{r} 31- \\ \text { Mar- } \\ 11 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Administration | 880 | 99 | 290 | 290 | 462 |
| 7 | Ukhahla | a District | Ukhahlamba District | Offices | 1 | 2-Apr-10 | $\begin{array}{r} 31- \\ \text { Mar- } \\ 11 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Administration | 670 | 45 | 85 | 285 | 200 |
| 8 | Head O |  | Head Office | Offices | 1 | 2-Apr-10 | $\begin{array}{r} 31- \\ \text { Mar- } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Administration | 770 | 136 | 285 | 285 | 200 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Maintenance and repairs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7,130 | 1,003 | 2,270 | 2,560 | 2,658 |
| GRAND TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 280,683 |  | 43,981 | 45,630 | 48,067 |
| TOTAL CAPITAL BUDGET |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 41,711 | 43,070 | 45,409 |

## Annexure E

PROGRAMME 1: ADMINISTRATION
Sub-programme: Office of the MEC
Indicator 1

| Indicator title | Number of Scheduled meetings |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Meeting with Top Management and core staff |
| Purpose/importance | Continuous communication between the MEC and the Head of Department and <br> management of the Department |
| Source/collection of data | Minutes and attendance registers. |
| Method of calculation | Simple count |
| Data limitations | Output |
| Type of indicator | Cumulative |
| Calculation type | Quarterly |
| Reporting cycle | No |
| New indicator | Continuous communication between the MEC and the Head of Department and <br> management of the Department |
| Desired performance | Senior Manager: Office of the MEC |
| Indicator responsibility |  |

Indicator 2

| Indicator title | Percentage of decisions taken in these meetings implemented |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | To implement decisions taken at MEC strategic meetings |
| Purpose/importance | Continuous implementation of decisions taken at MEC strategic meetings |
| Source/collection of data | Minutes and attendance registers. |
| Method of calculation | Simple count |
| Data limitations |  |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | Continuous communication between the MEC and the Head of Department. |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager: Office of the MEC |

Indicator 3

| Indicator title | Percentage of Parliamentary questions responded to within stipulated timeframes |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Monitor responses to parliamentary questions |
| Purpose/importance | Ensure that matters raised by the house are fully responded to. |
| Source/collection of data | Register |
| Method of calculation | Simple count |
| Data limitations | Output |
| Type of indicator | Cumulative |
| Calculation type | Quarterly |
| Reporting cycle | No |
| New indicator | Continuous communication between the Department and the House/portfolio <br> committee |
| Desired performance | Senior Manager: Office of the MEC |
| Indicator responsibility |  |

Indicator 4

| Indicator title | Percentage of cabinet resolutions implemented |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Monitor full implementation of cabinet, house resolutions and portfolio committee <br> recommendations. |
| Purpose/importance | Ensure that resolutions are fully implemented. |
| Source/collection of data | Register |
| Method of calculation | Simple count |
| Data limitations |  |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | Ensure that resolutions are fully implemented. |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager: Office of the MEC |

Indicator 5

| Indicator title | Percentage of Portfolio committee recommendations implemented |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Monitor full implementation of Portfolio committee resolutions and <br> recommendations. |
| Purpose/importance | Ensure that resolutions are fully implemented. |
| Source/collection of data | Register |
| Method of calculation | Simple count |
| Data limitations |  |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | Ensure that resolutions are fully implemented. |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager: Office of the MEC |

Indicator 6

| Indicator title | Number of izimbizos organised |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Organise community outreach programmes |
| Purpose/importance | Ensure public participation |
| Source/collection of data | Outreach reports |
| Method of calculation | Simple count |
| Data limitations |  |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | Ensure public participation. |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager: Office of the MEC |

Indicator 7

| Indicator title | Percentage of implemented interventions on areas affected by disasters |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Monitor implementation of emergency Innervations |
| Purpose/importance | Implementation of emergency Innervations |
| Source/collection of data | Reports |
| Method of calculation | Simple count |
| Data limitations |  |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | Implementation of emergency Innervations |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager: Office of the MEC |

Sub-Programme: Office of the Head of Department
Indicator 1

| Indicator title | Strategic Leadership and Management |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Giving strategic direction to the Department |
| Purpose/importance | Continuous steering and re-engineering the Department towards effective and <br> efficient service delivery |
| Source/collection of data | Policy imperatives, Annual Performance Plans, Departmental Reviews, Reports - <br> AGSA and Annual Reports |
| Method of calculation | Simple count |
| Data limitations | Authenticity of data |
| Type of indicator | Process |
| Calculation type | Cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | To provide overall accountability and strategic direction |
| Indicator responsibility | Head of Department |

Indicator 2

| Indicator title | Monitor Organizational Performance and People Management |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Superior and efficient strategy implementation is directly linked to organisational <br> environment within which human capital, financial resources and processes <br> interact |
| Purpose/importance | To ensure optimum level of interaction between the above facets in order to <br> realise maximum achievement of strategic priorities |
| Source/collection of data | SMS Contracts, SMS Performance Evaluation Reports, SMS Reviews and <br> Assessments |
| Method of calculation | Simple count |
| Data limitations | Authenticity of data, Non-compliance by SMS |
| Type of indicator | Process |
| Calculation type | Cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | To ensure that a performance based management approach which includes <br> quarterly and annual performance assessments at both organisational and <br> individual levels is in place |
| Indicator responsibility | Head of Department |

Indicator 3

| Indicator title | Governance |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | All audit outcomes in the programmes have to keep improving until the entire <br> department can boast clean audit outcomes |
| Purpose/importance | Continuous improvement in realisation of its mandate |
| Source/collection of data | SMS Financial Declaration, Declaration Report, Finalize Organizational <br> Structure, Approved Structure, Risk Management, Annual Risk Assessment, <br> Clean Audit, AG Audit Report, Financial - Clean, PA - unqualified |
| Method of calculation | Simple count |
| Data limitations | Authenticity of data |
| Type of indicator | Process |
| Calculation type | Cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | To provide overall accountability and strategic direction |
| Indicator responsibility | Head of Department |

Sub-sub-programme: Customer Care

| Indicator title | Number of Customer Service Delivery Initiatives to enhance organizational <br> efficiency |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | It seeks to determine the extent of service delivery improvement |
| Purpose/importance | To ensure public participation on service delivery improvement |
| Source/collection of data | Survey report <br> Service delivery report |
| Method of calculation | Simple count |
| Data limitations | Improper dissemination of information to the broader audience |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | Improved public awareness, better informed citizenry |
| Indicator responsibility | Manager-Customer Care Unit |

Sub-sub-programme: Risk Management
Indicator 1

| Indicator title | Risk Assessment and compilation of department Risk Profile |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Departmental consolidated Risks identified scored, prioritised and documented into <br> a Risk Register/Profile |
| Purpose/importance | Better Understanding of the risk that the department is exposed to. |
| Source/collection of data | Risk Register |
| Method of calculation | Simple count. |
| Data limitations | - |
| Type of indicator | Activity |
| Calculation type | Cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | Manage the risk vulnerability of the department to acceptable levels or risk <br> tolerance |
| Indicator responsibility | Manager - Risk Management and Operations |

## Indicator 2

| Indicator title | Development and Implementation of Fraud Prevention Plan |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Prevention, Detection, Investigation, Resolution of fraud and corruption cases. |
| Purpose/importance | Reduce fraud and corruption cases to the lowest level by the end of the reporting <br> year. |
| Source/collection of data | Questionnaires, Statements, Registers, Minutes of engagements |
| Method of calculation | Simple count. |
| Data limitations | - |
| Type of indicator | Activity |
| Calculation type | Cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Monthly |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | Fully effective plan |
| Indicator responsibility | Manager - Risk Management and Operations |

Sub-programme: Office of the CFO

| Indicator title | Clean audit report |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | To receive an unqualified audit report for the financial year under review from the <br> Auditor General. |
| Purpose/importance | To indicate the desired performance/financial audit outcome. |
| Source/collection of data | External audit opinion from the Auditor General |
| Method of calculation | No data calculation required. Actual availability |
| Data limitations | Non-compliance with departmental policies and procedures may result in a <br> qualified audit report. |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | N/A |
| Reporting cycle | Annual |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | Unqualified audit report. |
| Indicator responsibility | General Manager: Financial Management |

Sub-programme: Office of the COO
Indicator 1

| Indicator title | Number of departmental programmes coordinated to institutionalise Service <br> Delivery Excellence |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Number of departmental programmes coordinated (inclusive of program 1, 2\& 3 <br> which contributes towards the department's core mandate) |
| Purpose/importance | Enables the department to track the extent of program performance in relation to <br> service delivery |
| Source/collection of data | Program reports and attendance registers |
| Method of calculation | Simple count |
| Data limitations | Non-cooperation by program managers |
| Type of indicator | Outcome |
| Calculation type | Cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | Enhances program performance |
| Indicator responsibility | Chief Operations Officer |

Indicator 2

| Indicator title | Number of Programmes integrated to enhance relevance of Service Delivery <br> Interventions of the Public Service Regulations |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Number of departmental programmes that work together to achieve the same <br> strategic goals, objectives and mandate. (inclusive of program 1, 2 \& 3) |
| Purpose/importance | Enhances interdependency, effective, productive team- work and promotes <br> programme performance. |
| Source/collection of data | Program performance reports and attendance registers |
| Method of calculation | Simple count |
| Data limitations | Non-cooperation by programs |
| Type of indicator | Outcome |
| Calculation type | Cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | Increases program performance |
| Indicator responsibility | Chief Operations Officer |

Indicator 3

| Indicator title | Number of mandatory special projects coordinated |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Number of special projects coordinated (inclusive of social sector projects and <br> departmental projects) that have been mandated by Exco. |
| Purpose/importance | Tracking of special projects within the department and within the social sector <br> departments |
| Source/collection of data | Project plans, reports and attendance registers |
| Method of calculation | Simple count |
| Data limitations | Non attendance of meetings by project members |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | Yes |
| Desired performance | Increase of program and social sector performance |
| Indicator responsibility | Chief Operations Officer |

Indicator 4

| Indicator title | Number of stakeholders coordinated (IGR) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Number of stakeholders coordinated for inter-governmental relations such as local <br> municipalities. |
| Purpose/importance | Collaboration of service delivery efforts between the department and local <br> municipalities |
| Source/collection of data | Minutes of meetings, IGR reports and attendance registers |
| Method of calculation | Cumulative |
| Data limitations | Non-circulation of IGR notice of meetings by municipalities to District Managers |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | Yes |
| Desired performance | Strengthening of inter sectoral collaboration |
| Indicator responsibility | Chief Operations Officer |

Sub-Programme: District Development and Implementation
Indicator 1

| Indicator title | Number of districts monitoring visits |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Decentralisation of functions from provincial office to District Offices |
| Purpose/importance | Acceleration of Service delivery to communities, households and families. |
| Source/collection of data | Performance reports and attendance registers |
| Method of calculation | Non-cumulative |
| Data limitations | Non-submission of performance reports and non-attendance of meetings by <br> districts |
| Type of indicator | Process |
| Calculation type | Simple count |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | Yes |
| Desired performance | Acceleration of service delivery |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager: District Development \& Implementation |

Indicator 2

| Indicator title | Number of Districts that have institutionalised Innovation \& Knowledge <br> Management |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Number of Districts that implements Innovation \& Knowledge Management |
| Purpose/importance | Enables the Department to increase and strengthen its capacity in an effort to help <br> enhance the level of performance which in turn and to facilitate the sharing of <br> experience that encourage innovation and brings the expertise of the Department <br> to the fore. |
| Source/collection of data | Attendance registers for learning network sessions held and reports |
| Method of calculation | Simple count |
| Data limitations | Unwillingness to sharing best practices. |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | Yes |
| Desired performance | Innovation \& Knowledge Management is established in all 7 Districts. |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager: District Development \& Implementation |

Indicator 3

| Indicator title | Number of partnerships established, coordinated and supported |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Number of partnerships coordinated (Public \& Private partnerships) means <br> coordination and partnership within the department and with government social <br> partners and communities. |
| Purpose/importance | Enables the department to achieve and strengthen its mandate by facilitating and <br> monitor the organisational performance with relevant support systems. |
| Source/collection of data | Reports, minutes of meetings and attendance registers |
| Method of calculation | Cumulative |
| Data limitations | Unwillingness of prospective partners to enter into agreement with the department <br> (signing of MOU) |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Simple count |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | Increase in the number of Memorandum of Understanding signed between the <br> department and the partners |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager: District Development \& Implementation |

Sub-programme: Communication and Liaison
Indicator 1

| Indicator title | Development of communication strategy and plan |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Availability of reviewed communication plan document |
| Purpose/importance | The purpose is to develop review communication strategy in line with the <br> departmental priority programmes for the year. |
| Source/collection of data | Approved and implemented communication strategy. |
| Method of calculation | Simple count |
| Data limitations |  |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | Increase in the number of stakeholders aware of departmental programmes. |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager: Communication \& Liaison |

Indicator 2

| Indicator title | Positioning the department according to the new vision and mission |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | No of branded offices at district and area offices. |
| Purposelimportance | The indicator seeks to ensure visibility of departmental offices at all levels. |
| Source/collection of data | The information will be sourced from still visuals (pictures) taken before and after <br> the completion of the branding exercise. |
| Method of calculation | Simple count |
| Data limitations | Challenges regarding infrastructure backlogs of the department and constant <br> changes in terms of office accommodation. |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | Departmental offices are visible to all stakeholders. |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager: Communication and Liaison |

Indicator 3

| Indicator title | Develop sound relations with media |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Number of speeches and media releases about the Department's programmes, <br> policies and services |
| Purpose/importance | The indicator seeks to track how widely the Department communicates its <br> programmes, policies and services to the public through the media. |
| Source/collection of data | Departmental Website page, e-mails to journalists |
| Method of calculation | Simple count. |
| Data limitations | Non updating of website, late issuing of media releases |
| Type of indicator | Impact |
| Calculation type | Cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Daily |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | Increase in the number of speeches and media releases issued to the media. <br> Increase in the number of positive electronic news bulletins and newspaper |
| articles about the Department's programmes and services. Better informed |  |
| citizenry. |  |

## Sub-programme: CD-Corporate Services

## Indicator 1

| Indicator title 1 | Engagement with provincial and national partners in terms of holding strategic meetings by <br> March 2014 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | The effective coordination of the implementation of Human Resource <br> Administration and Human Capital Management in terms of Public Service <br> Prescripts through engagements with Senior managers. |
| Purpose/importance | The indicator assist the Department to track the amount of strategic engagements <br> occurred in order to promote Service Delivery. |
| Source/collection of data | Invitations, Agendas and Minutes of meetings. |
| Method of calculation | Simple count |
| Data limitations | Availability of role players to attend these engagements. Departmental <br> programme changes. |
| Type of indicator | Outcome |
| Calculation type | Cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Monthly and Quarterly |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | Implementation of resolutions taken during the meetings |
| Indicator responsibility | General Manager |

## Sub-programme: Human Resources Administration

## Indicator 1

| Indicator title | Number of employees managed in Human Resource Administration. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | 39 employees managed and compensated in the Directorate HRA. |
| Purpose/importance | To manage human resources efficiently and effectively for improved service <br> delivery. |
| Source/collection of <br> data | Payrolls, Attendance Register. |
| Method of calculation | Simple count |
| Data limitations | Budget and shortage of staff. |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Non - Cumulative. |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly. |
| New indicator | Yes |
| Desired performance | Human capital managed in terms of the Basic Conditions of service. |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager - HRA |

## Indicator 2

| Indicator title | Number of employees benefiting from the provision of Conditions of Service in <br> compliance with Public Service prescripts. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | 3715 employees managed and compensated. |
| Purpose/importance | To manage human resources efficiently and effectively for improved service <br> delivery. |
| Source/collection of <br> data | Persal reports, on site visits and statutory registers. |
| Method of calculation | Simple count |
| Data limitations | Budget and shortage of staff. |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Cumulative. |
| Reporting cycle | Monthly, Quarterly and Annually. |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | Human capital managed in terms of the Basic Conditions of service. |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager - HRA |

Indicator 3

| Indicator | Number of officials attracted through recruitment. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Number of advertised posts filled excluding unfunded posts |
| Purpose/importance | To populate the organogram with competent personnel to ensure effective and <br> efficient service delivery. |
| Source/Collection <br> data | Advertisements, Master lists, Interview reports, Appointment Letters. |
| Method of calculation | Simple Count |
| Data limitations | Non availability of Resources. |
| Type of indicator | Cumulative |
| Calculation type | Output |
| Reporting cycle | Monthly |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | $100 \%$ vacant funded posts filled |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager: HRA |

Indicator 4

| Indicator | Number of personnel data maintained as per Public Service prescripts. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Data of all employees maintained. |
| Purpose/importance | To ensure that the correct information is captured and supplied. |
| Source/Collection of <br> data | PERSAL Reports \& Data Files. |
| Method of calculation | Simple Count |
| Data limitations | Budget and shortage of personnel |
| Type of indicator | Cumulative |
| Calculation type | Output |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | $100 \%$ of PERSAL data correctly captured and supplied |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager: HRA |

## Indicator 5

| Indicator title | Number of employee files maintained. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Number of employee files updated and scanned in electronic format. |
| Purpose/importance | Ensuring the availability of personnel records. |
| Source/collection of <br> data | Physical files and monthly reports from MIS. |
| Method of calculation | Simple count |
| Data limitations | Non availability of resources. |
| Type of indicator | Output. |
| Calculation type | Cumulative. |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | All employee files are scanned and available. |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager HRA |

Sub-programme: Human Resources Management
Indicator 1

| Indicator title | Number of employees trained and developed through Work Skills Plan. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Number of employees to be equipped with skills through transversal, financial and <br> short courses as well as Internships and Learnerships. |
| Purpose/importance | The Indicator seeks to ensure internal staff attends skills training to build capacity <br> to increase performance. |
| Source/collection of <br> data | Workplace Skills Plan, Development Plans, Training Reports, Assessment <br> Reports, and Attendance Registers. |
| Method of calculation | Simple Count |
| Data limitations | People may not attend due to personal or other reasons. Non submission of <br> training needs. |
| Type of indicator | Otput |
| Calculation type | Cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | To enhance performance and increase the skill and competency level of the <br> workforce. |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager: HCM |

## Indicator 2

| Indicator title | Number of employees complies with Performance Management and <br> Development System. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Number of employees agreements signed, reviewed and PDP's signed off. |
| Purpose/importance | Ensures that performance agreements of employees are signed, reviewed and <br> Personnel Development Plans compiled. |
| Source/collection of |  |
| data | Employees contracts, reviews and database summary and Persal- and Training <br> reports. |
| Method of calculation | Simple Count |
| Data limitations | Non submission of completed performance agreements, reviews and PDP's |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | To improve the performance level of employees. |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager HCM |

Indicator 3

| Indicator title | Number of Organizational Development and Design interventions implemented. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Number of organisational structures developed, change management processes <br> implemented and job evaluations effected. |
| Purpose/importance | The indicator seeks to ensure organisational structures are developed and <br> aligned to the strategic mandate of the Department. |
| Source/collection of <br> data | Persal Reports, Existing Structure and Surveys |
| Method of calculation | Simple count |
| Data limitations | Depend on the accuracy of the Persal Report and access to Persal. The lack of <br> return of surveys by officials. |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | To effectively achieve the Strategic mandate of the Department. |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager: HCM |

Indicator 4

| Indicator title | $100 \%$ of new cases of misconduct, grievances and abscondment will be dealt <br> with in the financial year. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Co - ordination and reduction of misconduct, incapacity, abscondments and <br> grievance cases within legal timeframes as well as the implementation of PSCBC <br> resolutions. |
| Purpose/importance | Improving the Employer / Employee relationship. |
| Source/collection <br> data | Case registers and PERSAL Database |
| Method of calculation | Simple count |
| Data limitations | Not all cases are reported to the sub - directorate by relevant supervisors due to <br> lack of knowledge and understanding. Lack of participation is scheduled <br> interventions. |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | None |
| Desired performance | Sound employee / employer relations. |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager: HCM |

Indicator 5

| Indicator title | Number of employees benefiting from Integrated Employee Wellness <br> Programmes. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Number of identified diseases inclusive of HIV\&AIDS and TB, individual and <br> organizational wellness interventions and safe and healthy work environment. |
| Purpose/importance | To protect the employees from individual and occupational risks that can impact <br> negatively on the department. |
| Source/collection of <br> data | Risk and Injury on Duty Reports, Reports/Statistics. Minutes of meetings and <br> Registers |
| Method of calculation | Simple Count |
| Data limitations | None reporting of incidents. None attendance of sessions. None disclosures. |
| Type of indicator | Outcome |
| Calculation type | Cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | Healthy and Safe working environment for all employees. |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager: HCM |

## Sub-Programme: Integrated Strategic Planning

Indicator 1

| Indicator title | Compliance to Policy development guidelines to enhance service delivery <br> interventions in line with the Public Service Regulations |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Compliance to policy development guidelines |
| Purpose/importance | To ensure that polices are developed to guide departmental operations |
| Source/collection of <br> data | Performance Reports, National and Provincial directives |
| Method of calculation | Simple Count |
| Data limitations | None |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Annually |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | To develop policies that are in line with Public Regulations Act |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager |

Indicator 2

| Indicator title | Number Annual Performance and Operational Plans developed on time |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Credible Strategic and Operational Plans developed on time |
| Purpose/importance | To develop clear strategies and to ensure that the overall actions of the <br> Department are achieved |
| Source/collection of <br> data | Annual Performance Plan |
| Method of calculation | Simple count |
| Data limitations | Slow or non submission of plans by Programmes |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Non-cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Annual |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | The aim is to think strategies through from beginning to end, including the <br> programmes and projects required to achieve strategic indicators and to improve <br> the outputs of an organisation. |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager - Integrated Strategic Planning |

Indicator 3

| Indicator title | Conformance to Service Delivery Excellence programmes (Batho Pele, Balasela, <br> Service Delivery Improvement Plan) to ensure continuous improvement |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Service delivery levels improved |
| Purpose/importance | To improve on current service delivery levels |
| Source/collection of <br> data | Site visits reports/Performance Reports/Assessment Reports |
| Method of calculation | Simple count |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | To improve service delivery against set service standards thereby achieving <br> Total Quality Management |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager- Integrated Strategic Planning |

Indicator 4

| Indicator title | Number of reports developed to ensure accountability |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Statutory reports developed |
| Purpose/importance | To enable the department to conform to the statutory requirements of reporting to <br> track improvement in service delivery. |
| Source/collection of <br> data | Quarterly, NFD, POA, Half yearly, and Annual Reports |
| Method of calculation | Simple count |
| Data limitations | Slow or non submission of reports by Programmes |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | The aim is to ensure proper implementation of all pre-determined objectives. |
| Indicator <br> responsibility | Senior Manager - Integrated Strategic Planning |

Sub-programme: CD Financial Management
Indicator 1

| Indicator title | A credible MTEF Budget approved by Legislature. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | MTEF Budget, Cash flow projections and IYM reports produced and submitted to <br> Provincial Treasury. |
| Purpose/importance | The indicator seeks to demonstrate compliance to PFMA, Treasury Regulation <br> and Budget Guidelines. |
| Source/collection of <br> data | MTEF Budget, PFMA, Treasury Regulations, Bank statements, BAS Reports, Priorities <br> and Outcomes. |
| Method of calculation | Simple count. |
| Data limitations | Misallocation, functioning of systems, Non submission of data by Programmes. |
| Type of indicator | Output. |
| Calculation type | Cumulative. |
| Reporting cycle | Weekly, Monthly and Yearly. |
| New indicator | No. |
| Desired performance | Approved Budget by Legislature, Execution and management of the budget and <br> monthly reporting. |
| Indicator <br> responsibility | Senior Manager - Financial Planning Services. |

Indicator 2

| Indicator title | A set of credible Annual Financial Statement submitted to Auditor General SA and <br> Provincial Treasury. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Preparation of Annual Financial Statements. |
| Purpose/importance | Compliance with PFMA and Treasury Regulations |
| Source/collection of <br> data | Published Annual Report, Bank, PFMA and Treasury Regulations. |
| Method of calculation | Simple count |
| Data limitations | Non submission of information by various section within the department, <br> unavailability of the system |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Monthly, Quarterly and Annual |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | To obtain unqualified audit report |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager: Financial Systems and Accounting Services |

## Indicator 3

| Indicator title | Number of days to pay creditors and NGOs adhered to. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | All invoices received and NGOs are paid with 30 days. |
| Purpose/importance | It indicates the compliance of the Department with regards to payment of creditors <br> as prescribed by Legislation |
| Source/collection of <br> data | Submission of invoices and claims. |
| Method of calculation | Simple count |
| Data limitations | Non submission of invoices, correct bank details and claims. Non availability of the <br> system |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Monthly. |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | All payments processed within 30 days after receipt of invoice. |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager: Expenditure Management |

## Indicator 4

| Indicator title | Percentage number of transactions that responds to internal controls. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Verification of all transactions before payments is processed. |
| Purpose/importance | Compliance with PFMA and Treasury Regulations |
| Source/collection of |  |
| data | Available budget, Supporting documentation, Invoices, Contracts, PFMA and Treasury <br> Regulations. |
| Method of calculation | Simple count |
| Data limitations | Insufficient information and non-availability of the system. |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Monthly |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | To obtain unqualified audit report, availability of the supporting documentation used <br> to effect payment. |
| Indicator responsibility | Manager: Pre-Audit |

## Sub-programme: Financial Planning Services

| Indicator title | A credible MTEF Budget approved and submitted to Treasury |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Number of reports produced and submitted to Provincial Treasury. |
| Purpose/importance | The indicator seeks to demonstrate compliance to PFMA, Treasury Regulation <br> and Budget Guidelines. |
| Source/collection of <br> data | Budget Guidelines, Filed copies of report and submissions, Attendance registers <br> and Minutes of meetings. |
| Method of calculation | Simple count. |
| Data limitations | Misallocation, functioning of systems, Non submission of data by Programmes. |
| Type of indicator | Output. |
| Calculation type | Cumulative. |
| Reporting cycle | Weekly, Monthly and Yearly. |
| New indicator | No. |
| Desired performance | Compliance to prescripts and better or improved Financial Management. |
| Indicator <br> responsibility | Senior Manager - Financial Planning Services. |

## Sub-Programme: Financial Systems and Accounting Services

| Indicator title | A credible Annual Financial Statement developed. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Rendering and maintaining of Accounting Services, systems, and preparing and <br> submitting of financial statements to Treasury and Auditor general. |
| Purpose/importance | Improved Financial control and financial systems by monitoring and recording all <br> financial transactions performed by the Department. |
| Source/collection of <br> data | Bank, Various sections within the Department and other Departments (Debtors, <br> Interdepartmental Claims), Treasury and Auditor General (Annual Financial <br> Statements). |
| Method of calculation | Simple count |
| Data limitations | Non submission of information by various section within the department, <br> unavailability of the system |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Monthly, Quarterly and Annual |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | Recording and recovery of debts and interdepartmental claims, clearing of suspense <br> account, monthly reconciliation of bank account, submission of interim and annual <br> financial statements. |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager: Financial Systems and Accounting Services |

Sub-Programme: Expenditure Management

| Indicator title | Number of days to pay creditors and NGOs reduced. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Number of payments processed and finalised within 25 days |
| Purpose/importance | It indicates the Department's level of compliance regarding payments within <br> prescribed timeframes |
| Source/collection of <br> data | Register of invoices and claim |
| Method of calculation | Simple count |
| Data limitations | Unavailability of system. Non-submission of invoices/claims. Incorrect invoices. |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Monthly |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | All Payments to be processed and finalised within 25 days. |
| Indicator <br> responsibility | Senior Manager: Expenditure Management |

## Sub-Programme: CD-Supply Chain Management

## Indicator 1

| Indicator title | Availability of an asset register in line with Asset Management Framework |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Number of Departmental assets managed. This includes assets acquired, <br> recorded, maintained and disposed. |
| Purpose/importance | The indicator enables the Department to manage assets in line with the National <br> Treasury Asset Management Guidelines |
| Source/collection of <br> data | Asset registers and BAS report |
| Method of calculation | Simple count |
| Data limitations | Inaccuracy of asset registers and misallocation in BAS. Misuse of assets. |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Non-cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Annual |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | Accurate and reliable asset registers |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager - Logistics |

Indicator 2

| Indicator title | Availability of Departmental procurement plan aligned to the budget and <br> programme deliverables |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Annual review of departmental procurement Policies and reporting on deviation. |
| Purpose/importance | The purpose of this indicator is for the department to manage procurement in <br> line with Supply Chain Management prescripts. |
| Source/collection of <br> data | Departmental procurement Policies and annual procurement plan |
| Method of calculation | Simple count |
| Data limitations | Non compliance to departmental procurement policies |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Accumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | To ensure that departmental expenditure is in line with departmental <br> procurement policies. |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager - Demand and Acquisition Management |

Indicator 3

| Indicator title | Number of policies approved and implemented |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Annual review of departmental procurement Policies and reporting on deviation. |
| Purpose/importance | Number of policies developed/reviewed that are line with National Treasury <br> guidelines/ directive Practice Notes. |
| Source/collection of <br> data | National and Provincial Treasury guidelines/policies/practice notes |
| Method of calculation | Simple count |
| Data limitations | Non compliance to departmental procurement policies |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Accumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Annually |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | To ensure that departmental expenditure is in line with departmental <br> procurement policies. |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager - Demand and Acquisition Management |

## Indicator 4

| Indicator title | Targeting of procurement spend for empowerment of HDIs |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Empowerment of the Historically Disadvantaged Individuals and communities to <br> redress the imbalances of the past |
| Purpose/importance | To ensure that PPPFA empowerment objectives are realised |
| Source/collection of <br> data | Departmental Procurement Plan and policies |
| Method of calculation | Simple count |
| Data limitations | All procurement that cannot be sourced through a competitive bidding. |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Non-cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Annual |
| New indicator | Yes |
| Desired performance | Enabling department in support empowerment objectives in fulfilling its mandate. |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager - Demand and Acquisition management |

Indicator 5

| Indicator title | Development and Reviewal of a departmental infrastructure plan. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Provisioning and maintaining of immovable assets for the department for <br> habitable office accommodation. Part of services includes photo copies, faxes, <br> tele-communications, cleaning and security. |
| Purpose/importance | To ensure that all departmental staff are accommodated in a conducive and <br> accessible working environment with appropriate working tools. |
| Source/collection of <br> data | User asset management plans (UAMPS) in line with GIAMA |
| Method of calculation | Simple count |
| Data limitations | In accurate UAMPS. |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Non-cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Annual |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | Enabling department in support of core business in fulfilling its mandate to the <br> poor and vulnerable. |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager - Logistics |

Indicator 6

| Indicator title | Availability of Contracts register |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Number of contracts approved |
| Purpose/importance | To ensure that the appointed service providers meet their contractual <br> obligations. |
| Source/collection of <br> data | Contract register. |
| Method of calculation | Simple count. |
| Data limitations | Accuracy of the information in the contract register. |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | Availability of legally binding contracts. |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager: Contract Management. |

## Sub-programme: Office of the Chief Information Officer Indicator 1

| Indicator title | Number of personnel compensated |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | The number of personnel in the CIO branch who are compensated every month <br> through salary remuneration. |
| Purpose/importance | The purpose of this indicator is to show the number of personnel who are on the <br> pay-roll. |
| Source/collection of <br> data | The information comes from the pay-roll and every moth pay-roll comes to the <br> office from salaries division. |
| Method of calculation | A mere number express the total number of personnel on the pay-roll. |
| Data limitations | Nil. |
| Type of indicator | Input |
| Calculation type | Non-Cumulative in a sense that, it does not add the number of the previous <br> month to proceeding month. Rather adds only the changes in the current month <br> to the previous month. |
| Reporting cycle | Monthly |
| New indicator | Has significantly changed |
| Desired performance | It is not possible to have lower performance but not higher on targeted <br> performance. The lower performance is not desirable. |
| Indicator responsibility | CIO is responsible to operationalise and reporting on this indicator. |

Indicator 2

| Indicator title | Develop and monitor IMST for the Department |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | It is a compliance issue that this Department must have an IMST plan which will <br> be reviewed/ developed every two year and monitor its implementation through <br> IMST monitoring structures every year. |
| Purpose/importance | The purpose of this indicator is to show that the Department is in compliance in <br> terms of Public Service Act. |
| Source/collection of <br> data | The information comes from the IMST file and also from the minutes of <br> monitoring structures. |
| Method of calculation | A mere number express the availability of an active IMST. |
| Data limitations | Nil |
| Type of indicator | Output |


| Calculation type | Non- Cumulative |
| :--- | :--- |
| Reporting cycle | Yearly for the development of the plan as well as for the establishment of <br> structures. |
| New indicator | Has significantly changed. |
| Desired performance | Not possible to have lower or higher performance. The lower performance is not <br> desirable in terms of the prescripts. |
| Indicator responsibility | CIO is responsible to operationalise and reporting on this indicator. The <br> branches and districts are also responsible for implementation and monitoring of <br> IMST plan |

Sub-programme: ICT Engineering
Indicator 1

| Indicator title | Number of Information System Security and Governance policy procedures and <br> standards to be reviewed. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | The department ISS/ICT governance policies, procedures and standard are <br> reviewed and implemented annually to mitigate risks. |
| Purpose/importance | Benchmark enables the department to have up to date policies, procedures and <br> standards to keep up with the changing technology and legislation. |
| Source/collection of <br> data | Policy register |
| Method of calculation | Simple Count |
| Data limitations | Dependant on the accuracy of the register. |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | non-cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | Updated policies to mitigate risk |
| Indicator responsibility | Manager: Information System Security |

Indicator 2

| Indicator title | Provision of new ICT Equipment to users. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | The ICT equipment that will be procured, this equipment will be used for new <br> users and infrastructure projects or as replacements for obsolete equipment. |
| Purpose/importance | Benchmark enables the department to track the number of equipment that was <br> procured using departmental funding. |
| Source/collection of <br> data | Procurement registers |
| Method of calculation | Simple count |
| Data limitations | Dependent on the accuracy of the procurement registers |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Non-cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | To provide access to ICT equipment to all departmental users. |
| Indicator responsibility | Manager: ICT Operations |

Indicator 3

| Indicator title | Number of workstations to be maintained and supported. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | The ICT equipment that will be supported and maintained by the departmental <br> technicians or external service providers. |
| Purpose/importance | Benchmark enables the department to track how many ICT assets it has and this <br> will determine the number of resources required to support this ICT equipment. |
| Source/collection of <br> data | Asset registers |
| Method of calculation | Simple count |
| Data limitations | Dependent on the accuracy of the asset registers |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Non-cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | All departmental equipment should be maintained and supported. |
| Indicator responsibility | Manager: ICT Operations |

Indicator 4

| Indicator title | Total number of terabyte storage to be maintained, supported and enhanced |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | The capacity of share storage provided to departmental systems |
| Purpose/importance | The benchmark will enable the department to track storage capacity provided to <br> departmental systems |
| Source/collection of <br> data | Report generated from storage management tools |
| Method of calculation | Simple count |
| Data limitations | Availability of reports |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Non-Cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | Provide adequate storage for departmental systems |
| Indicator responsibility | Manager Network Administration |

Indicator 5

| Indicator title | Number of Data lines to be maintained and supported. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | This refers to the number of Data lines to be maintained and supported that <br> connect all the Departments facilities. |
| Purpose/importance | Benchmark to enable the department to track the number of data lines installed <br> at department offices. |
| Source/collection of <br> data | Reports provided by SITA |
| Method of calculation | Simple count and reports |
| Data limitations | Accuracy of reports |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Non-cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | All office to be connected. |
| Indicator responsibility | Manager LAN Design |

Indicator 6

| Indicator title | Number of new LAN infrastructure projects. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | This refers to the number of new local area networks implemented. |
| Purpose/importance | Benchmark to enable the department to track the number of new local area <br> networks implemented. |
| Source/collection of <br> data | Project register \& close out reports |
| Method of calculation | Simple count |
| Data limitations | Accuracy of reports \& register |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Quartely |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | All offices to have network infrastructure. |
| Indicator responsibility | Manager LAN Design |

Sub-programme: Systems Development and Management
Indicator 1

| Indicator title | Number of new systems developed |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Refers to the total number of MIS sub systems to be added or to be changed but <br> approved by the head of the Department in terms of systems policy (in other <br> words total number of major changes to make on MIS). |
| Purpose/importance | To automate all business processes of the Department and also to comply with <br> the policy changes. |
| Source/collection of <br> data | URS, and User acceptance sign offs |
| Method of calculation | Simple count |
| Data limitations | Non commitment of business units and non-availability of formal documented <br> business processes and business rules |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Non-cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | Increase in the number of automated business processes |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager Systems Development and Management |

Indicator 2

| Indicator title | Number of hours service availability response time for MIS |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | This refers to the average number of hours taken to retain the system back for <br> the business operations. |
| Purpose/importance | To attain the business continuity in accordance with the Business Continuity <br> plan. |
| Source/collection of <br> data | System log |
| Method of calculation | The difference between the time of current session and the time of last session <br> by users (simple count). |
| Data limitations | 4 hours is limited to working hours. |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Non-cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | Increase in the number of automated business processes |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager Systems Development and Management |

## Indicator 3

| Indicator title | Number of MIS users trained |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Refers to total number of users trained in MIS sub systems and including <br> computer literacy but excluding Transversal systems training from Treasury |
| Purpose/importance | To promote the professional use of MIS with minimal data errors and support <br> needs from the users |
| Source/collection of <br> data | Training attendance register |
| Method of calculation | Simple count |
| Data limitations | The number is also determined by recruitment, resignations and non- <br> attendance of users to be trained in the Department |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Non-cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | Increase in the number of trained MIS users |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager Systems Development and Management |

## Indicator 4

| Indicator title | Number of Departmental services done through GIS |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Refers to the number of Departmental services that can be viewed or <br> printed on a Graphical Map |
| Purpose/importance | To spatial reference Departmental services and resources for planning and <br> decision making processes |
| Source/collection of <br> data | Metadata, Master list and Spatial data Engine (SDE), Register of GIS <br> services and Log files |
| Method of calculation | Simple count |
| Data limitations | Non commitment of business units to assist in updating data sets and Non <br> submission of user requests |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Non-cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | Increase in the number of services performed through GIS |
| Indicator <br> responsibility | Senior Manager Systems Development and Management |

## Indicator 5

| Indicator title | Number of Departmental services done through Web |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Refers to the total number of web based systems used in the Department <br> but maintained and supported by the unit |
| Purpose/importance | Promote the Electronic Government services by making Departmental <br> services available online |
| Source/collection of <br> data | Website and Intranet menu and on systems documentation in the form of <br> User acceptance test and Sign offs |
| Method of <br> calculation | Simple count |
| Data limitations | Non commitment of business units and non availability of formal <br> documented business processes and business rules |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Non-cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | Increase in the number of services performed through Web |
| Indicator <br> responsibility | Senior Manager Systems Development and Management |

## Sub-programme: Management Information Services

Indicator 1

| Indicator title | Number of databases integrated in the Departmental warehouse with <br> cleaned data. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Data warehouse houses a number of Databases some of which may reside <br> outside of the Data warehouse, ideal situation is to have them in the Data <br> warehouse |
| Purpose/importance | Ideal situation is to have all the Data Warehoused in one Data warehouse, <br> for data integrity, integration and backup purposes. This enhances accurate <br> reporting |
| Source/collection of <br> data | ETL Jobs, Database register |
| Method <br> calculation$\quad$ of | Simple count |
| Data limitations | Dependent on the accuracy of the registers |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | Increase in the Database hosed in the Data warehouse |
| Indicator <br> responsibility | Responsibility Manager |

## Indicator 2

| Indicator title | Number of verified daily backups taken for Disaster Recovery and Business <br> Continuity |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Verify if backups are take and the Disaster Recovery site is tested |
| Purpose/importance | Taking is not enough, it they are not tested and verified. Disaster Recovery <br> site/processes need to be regularly tested as well. |
| Source/collection of <br> data | Backup logs, restore schedule and results sheet |
| Method of <br> calculation | Simple count |
| Data limitations | Dependent on the accuracy of the registers |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Daily |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | $100 \%$ execution and monitoring of daily backups |
| Indicator <br> responsibility | Responsibility Manager |

Indicator 3

| Indicator title | Number of priority areas that are targeted to generate pre-defined standard <br> management reports. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Provide Core and Support functions with Management Reports |
| Purpose/importance | Management to make informed decisions relies on information/reports that <br> are intelligent |
| Source/collection of <br> data | Report Register |
| Method <br> calculation of | Simple count |
| Data limitations | Dependent on the accuracy of the registers |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Monthly |


| New indicator | No |
| :--- | :--- |
| Desired performance | Increase the number of Management reports to department functions |
| Indicator <br> responsibility | Responsibility Manager |

## Sub-programme: Provincial Anti-Poverty Integration and Co-ordination

Indicator 1

| Indicator title | Development of Anti-Poverty Strategy |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short Definition | A guiding document for integrated service delivery. |
| Purpose/ importance | To facilitate integrated service delivery. |
| Source/ collection of <br> Data | The Strategy will be developed through Research, consultation and the use <br> of the draft national Anti-poverty strategy. |
| Method <br> calculation | N/A |
| Data limitations | Budget constraints |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Non cumulative. |
| Reporting Cycle | Annually |
| New indicator | High performance though low performance is possible |
| Desired Performance | General Manager |
| Indicator <br> responsibility |  |

## Sub-programme: Family Based Intervention

## Indicator 1

| Indicator title | Development and maintenance of a baseline data for household interventions |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short Definition | A data base of households living in poverty |
| Purpose/ importance | The purpose of this indicator is to obtain updated baseline for households <br> living in poverty |
| Source/ collection of <br> Data | NISIS |
| Method <br> calculation | Number of households captured |
| Data limitations | Availability of NISIS system |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Cumulative |
| Reporting Cycle | Monthly |
| New indicator | Hesh performance though low performance is possible due to number of |
| Desired Performance | variables, such as weather conditions, personnel and enabling tools <br> varian |
| Indicator <br> responsibility | Senior Manager |

## Indicator 2

| Indicator title | Number of households profiled and captured into NISIS |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short Definition | The number of household profiled. |
| Purpose/ <br> importance | The purpose of this indicator is to obtain data from households in order to <br> direct the interventions. |
| Source/ collection <br> of Data | The information comes from household profiles. |
| Method <br> calculation | A mere number of profiled households. |
| Data limitations | Budget constraints, Non availability of Personnel at Local Level, Weather <br> conditions, IT challenges |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Cumulative. |
| Reporting Cycle | Monthly |
| New indicator | High performance though low performance is possible due to number of <br> variables, such as weather conditions, personnel and enabling tools. |
| Desired <br> Performance | Senior Manager |
| Indicator <br> responsibility |  |

Indicator 3

| Indicator title | Development of Family Based Model. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short Definition | A model to guide practitioners rendering services to families. |
| Purpose/ <br> importance | This model will serve as a user's guide for practitioners for purposes of <br> interventions on poor households. |
| Source/ collection <br> of Data | The model will be developed through Research and consultation. |
| Method <br> calculation | N/A |
| Data limitations | Budget limitations and data constraints |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Non cumulative. |
| Reporting Cycle | Annually |
| New indicator | Low performance is possible due to budget limitations |
| Desired <br> Performance | General Manager's office |
| Indicator <br> responsibility |  |

Indicator 4

| Indicator title | Generate referrals of household profiles |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short Definition | A tool to guide the process of disseminating referrals \& Monitoring delivery of <br> integrated services thereof. |
| Purpose/ <br> importance | The tool will be based on information downloaded from NISIS and general <br> implementation of integrated services |
| Source/ collection <br> of Data | NISIS and Departmental framework. |
| Method <br> calculation$\quad$ of | N/A |
| Data limitations | Mainstreaming of NISIS into the Departmental IT |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Non cumulative |
| Reporting Cycle | Annually |
| New indicator | High performance |
| Desired <br> Performance |  |


| Indicator <br> responsibility | General Manager |
| :--- | :--- |

## Sub-programme: Stakeholder Development and Partnership

Indicator 1

| Indicator title | Number of government departments participating in the delivery of integrated <br> services |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short Definition | Number of Government Departments and social partners submitting approved <br> costed plans. |
| Purpose/ <br> importance | It provides costed consolidated intervention from stakeholders |
| Source/ collection <br> of Data | Government Departments and social partners |
| Method <br> calculation$\quad$ of | A number as expressed in the source documents. |
| Data limitations | Non submission of the costed plans |
| Type of indicator | Process indicator |
| Calculation type | Cumulative |
| Reporting Cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | High performance though low performance is possible |
| Desired <br> Performance | Senior Manager |
| Indicator <br> responsibility |  |

Indicator 2

| Indicator title | Number of evaluation reports developed for assessment of integrated service <br> delivery. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short Definition | Assessment report on Lubala Pilot project highlighting strengths and <br> weaknesses |
| Purpose/ <br> importance | To assess the effectiveness and efficiency of the approach used in delivering <br> services at Lubala. |
| Source/ collection <br> of Data | Reports |
| Method <br> calculation | Number of households interviewed |
| Data limitations | None participation of households |
| Type of indicator | Process |
| Calculation type | Non cumulative. |
| Reporting Cycle | Monthly |
| New indicator | NIL |
| Desired <br> Performance | High performance though low performance is possible |
| Indicator <br> responsibility | Senior Manager |

## Sub-programme: District Management

Indicator 1

| Indicator title | Number of districts that have capacity to manage their services effectively |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | It seeks to determine the extent of service delivery improvement |
| Purpose/importance | To ensure that districts have the necessary capacity to deliver services |
| Source/collection of <br> data | District reports, Minutes of meetings, IGR reports and attendance registers |
| Method <br> calculation$\quad$ of | Simple count |
| Data limitations | Non-circulation of IGR notice of meetings by municipalities to District <br> Managers |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | Yes |
| Desired <br> performance | Strengthening of district capacity |
| Indicator <br> responsibility | General Manager: District Development \& Implementation |

Indicator 2

| Indicator title | Number of partnerships implemented within districts |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Number of partnerships coordinated within the department and with <br> government social partners and communities. |
| Purpose/importance | Enables the department to achieve and strengthen its mandate. |
| Source/collection of <br> data | Minutes of meetings, attendance registers and reports. |
| Method <br> calculation$\quad$ of | Simple count |
| Data limitations | Unwillingness of prospective partners to enter into agreement with the <br> Department. |
| Type of indicator | Process |
| Calculation type | Simple count |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | Yes |
| Desired <br> performance | Acceleration of service delivery |
| Indicator <br> responsibility | General Manager: District Development \& Implementation |

Indicator 3

| Indicator title | Number of Districts leading Social Development mandatory projects falling <br> within Social Needs Cluster |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Number of projects coordinated(inclusive of social sector projects and <br> departmental projects) that have been mandated by Exco |
| Purpose/importance | Tracking of special projects within the department and with social sector <br> departments. |
| Source/collection of <br> data | Project plans, reports and attendance registers. |
| Method <br> calculation | Simple count |
| Data limitations | Non-attendance of meetings by project members |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | Yes |
| Desired <br> performance | Increase of program and social sector performance |
| Indicator <br> responsibility | General Manager: District Development \& Implementation. |

Indicator 4

| Indicator title | Number of integrated programmes with local municipalities (IDP"s) and <br> other stakeholders |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Number of departmental programmes that work together to achieve the same <br> strategic goals, objectives and mandate |
| Purpose/importance | Enhances interdependence, effectiveness, productive team-work and <br> promotes programme performance. |
| Source/collection of <br> data | Programme reports, minutes of meetings and attendance registers |
| Method <br> calculation of | Simple count |
| Data limitations | Non-cooperation by programmes |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | New |
| Desired <br> performance | Increase programme performance |
| Indicator <br> responsibility | General Manager: District Development \& Implementation |

## PROGRAMME 2: SOCIAL WELFARE SERVICES

## Sub-programme: Professional and Administrative Support

## Indicator 1

| Indicator title | No. of Social Service Practitioners participating in capacity building <br> programme |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | 640 Social Service Practitioners capacitated for effective and efficient social <br> service delivery by March 2012 |
| Purpose/importance | Benchmark enables the department to track how many social service <br> practitioners are capacitated |
| Source/collection of data | Attendance registers, training reports |
| Method of calculation | Simple count |
| Data limitations | Delays in appointment of Service providers, Competing Departmental <br> Priorities |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | Social Service Practitioners capacitated on managing developmental <br> programs |
| Indicator responsibility | Programme Manager |

Indicator 2

| Indicator title | No. of NGO Management committee members trained |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | 240 NGO committee members trained for effective management of funded <br> NGO's by March 2012 |
| Purpose/importance | Benchmark enables the department to track how many NGO committee <br> members are capacitated. |
| Source/collection of data | Attendance registers, training reports |
| Method of calculation | Simple count |
| Data limitations | Competing Departmental Priorities, unavailability of management committee <br> members |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | Proper management of funded NGO's |
| Indicator responsibility | Programme manager |

Indicator 3

| Indicator title | No. Of Advisory Boards strengthened |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | 6 Advisory Boards strengthened to ensure strong partnerships with all <br> stakeholders and improved social welfare service by March 2012 |
| Purpose/importance | Benchmark will enable the department to track participation of stakeholders to <br> ensure effective service delivery in the communities |
| Source/collection of data | Reports, minutes, attendance registers |
| Method of calculation | Simple count |
| Data limitations | Non co operation of communities |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Non-cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | Ensuring that the department delivers services to the most vulnerable groups <br> for the betterment of their lives |
| Indicator responsibility | Programme manager |

## Indicator 4

| Indicator title | No. of student social workers awarded bursaries |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | 400 student social workers are awarded bursaries by March 2012 |
| Purpose/importance | Benchmark enables the department to track how widely its funding of <br> substance-abuse programmes is distributed within the NPO sector |
| Source/collection of data | Reports |
| Method of calculation | Simple count |
| Data limitations | Inaccuracy of the registers |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Non-cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | Increase availability of Social Workers to address scarce skill |
| Indicator responsibility | Programme manager |

Indicator 5

| Indicator title | No. of work opportunities created through Expanded Public Works Programme |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | 7706 work opportunities created through Public Works Programme by March <br> 2012 |
| Purpose/importance | Benchmark enables the department to track how many work opportunities are <br> created to address unemployment and poverty. |
| Source/collection of data | Progress reports, Data base of beneficiaries, EPWP Web-based monitoring <br> system |
| Method of calculation | Simple count |
| Data limitations | Inaccuracy of the EPWP web based monitoring system. |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Non-cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | Increase in the number of individuals benefitting from the Expanded Public <br> Works Programme/ work opportunities created |
| Indicator responsibility | Programme manager |

## Indicator 6

| Indicator title | No. of funded organizations monitored |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | 120 funded organizations monitored to ensure effective and efficient <br> management of NGO's by March 2012 |
| Purpose/importance | Benchmark enables the department to track how many funded organizations <br> are monitored |
| Source/collection of data | Monitoring reports, attendance registers |
| Method of calculation | Simple count |
| Data limitations | Departmental competing priorities |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | Ensure compliance with minimum norms and standards, rights and Legislation |
| Indicator responsibility | Programme manager |

## Indicator 7

| Indicator title | No. of people participating in Social work awards |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | 1140 people participating in Social Work Awards |
| Purpose/importance | Benchmark enables the department to track how many people are awarded |
| Source/collection of data | Master list of Nominees |
| Method of calculation | Simple count |
| Data limitations | Under performance of Social Service Practitioners |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Non-cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | Improve morale of Social Service Practitioners through recognition of <br> professional contribution and performance |
| Indicator responsibility | Programme manager |

## Sub Programme: Substance Abuse Prevention and Rehabilitation

Indicator 1

| Indicator title | Number of funded substance abuse treatment centres run by NGOs. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | This indicator refers to all funded outpatient and inpatient substance abuse <br> treatment centres. |
| Purpose | This indicator measures the availability of facilities towards the reduction of <br> substance abuse and increasing availability of treatment centres |
| Source | Provincial funded NPO Database/lists |
| Method of calculation | Non Accumulative. By the end of the financial year, add all the newly <br> established and funded organizations to the existing funded organizations to <br> get the total funded for the reporting period |
| Data limitations | The National indicator does not show the distribution of treatment centres <br> amongst the provinces therefore it cannot show the disparities that exists in <br> the availability of such facilities |
| Type of indicator | Input |
| Calculation type | Count |
| Reporting cycle | Annually |
| Desired performance | Increase in a number of funded substance abuse treatment centres. |
| Indicator responsibility | Facility Manager |

## Indicator 2

| Indicator title | Number of new clients admitted to substance abuse treatment centres run <br> by NGOs |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | This indicator refers only to those clients admitted in the reporting period. <br> The indicator is not accumulative. |
| Purpose/importance | This indicator provides information on the utilization of substance abuse <br> centres. It indicates demand for such services. |
| Source | Admission register |
| Method of calculation | Non Accumulative. Add the total number of clients newly admitted and <br> existing clients still in the facility during the quarter. An average number of <br> clients can be determined at the end of the financial year |
| Data limitations | Some facilities may not have newly admitted clients |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Count |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |


| Desired performance | Increased utilization particularly where prevalence of substance abuse is <br> high in addition to prevention efforts |
| :--- | :--- |
| Indicator responsibility | Facility Manager |

## Indicator 3

| Indicator title | Number of youth reached through Ke-Moja awareness campaign. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | This indicator is only applicable to Ke-Moja awareness campaign and not <br> any other campaigns or prevention programmes. Reporting is only on those <br> reached during the reporting period. |
| Purpose/importance | This indicator focuses on prevention of substance abuse in communities. |
| Source | Attendance register |
| Method of calculation | It is accumulative. Add the total number of youth reached through the Ke- <br> Moja awareness campaign. |
| Data limitations | It may be largely dependent on estimates E.g. area where the campaign <br> took place, radio, TV |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Add the total of all youth who attended the Ke-Moja awareness campaign. |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| Desired performance | Increase in the number of youth attending the Ke-Moja awareness <br> campaigns |
| Indicator responsibility | Provincial Coordinator |

## Indicator 4

| Indicator title | Number of awareness programmes for substance abuse |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | This indicator is only applicable to the number of awareness campaigns <br> conducted in communities |
| Purpose/importance | The main focus is on prevention programmes on substance abuse. |
| Source | Attendance Register, Programme, |
| Method of calculation | None |
| Data limitations | Output |
| Type of indicator | Counting |
| Calculation type | Monthly, Quarterly |
| Reporting cycle | Increase in the number of stakeholders actively participating and increased <br> no. of people attending. |
| Desired performance | Coordinator |
| Indicator responsibility |  |

## Indicator 5

| Indicator title | Rand value of funds transferred to government funded substance abuse <br> treatment centres managed by NPOs |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Refers to the total amount of funds transferred to government funded <br> substance abuse treatment centres during the reporting period. |
| Purpose/importance | It measures the expenditure of the department on facilities that renders <br> substance abuse interventions |
| Source | Bas System |
| Method of calculation | Total amount of funds transferred to substance abuse treatment centres |
| Data limitations | None |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Count |
| Reporting cycle | Annually |
| Desired performance | Transfer of funds must be conducted within agreed time frames. |
| Indicator responsibility | Finance Office |

Indicator 6

| Indicator title | Number of Local Drug Action Committees (LDAC's) implementing prevention <br> programmes |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | This indicator is only applicable to the number of stakeholders actively <br> participating in activities such as identification of drug hot spot areas, <br> awareness campaigns, reintegration and support programmes rendered in <br> communities. |
| The main focus is on prevention programmes on substance abuse. |  |
| Source | Attendance Register, Minutes of Meetings. |
| Method of calculation | Accumulative. Add the number of Local Drug Action Committees established <br> in each Area. |
| Data limitations | None |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Count the number of stakeholders participating |
| Reporting cycle | Monthly, Quarterly |
| Desired performance | Increase in the number of stakeholders actively participating in LDAC's |
| Indicator responsibility | Provincial Coordinator |

Indicator 7

| Indicator title | Number of state treatment centres |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Number of government substance abuse treatment centre established |
| Purpose/importance | To track the number of state treatment centres providing rehabilitative <br> services to substance abusers |
| Source/collection of data | Register |
| Method of calculation | Simple Count |
| Data limitations | Inaccuracy of the register |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Non cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Annually |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | Accessibility of treatment centre providing rehabilitative services to <br> substance abusers. |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager-Crime prevention and Substance Abuse |

Indicator 8

| Indicator title | Number of Teenagers Against Drug Abuse groups established |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Number of TADA groups established at schools in all areas |
| Purpose/importance | To track the number Teenagers Against Drug Abuse groups receive <br> substance abuse services on Ke Moja Strategy |
| Source/collection of data | Register |
| Method of calculation | Simple Count |
| Data limitations | Inaccuracy of the register |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Annually |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | Increased in number of school children receive information and training on <br> KE Moja strategy |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager-Crime prevention and Substance Abuse |

## Sub Programme: Care and Services to Older Persons

## Indicator 1

| Indicator title | Number of older persons in funded Residential Facilities run by NPOs. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | This indicator refers to older persons in residential and assisted living <br> facilities during the reporting period. |
| Purpose/importance | This indicator measures utilization of residential facilities for older persons. |
| Source/collection of data | Bas System |
| Method of calculation | Simple count |
| Data limitations | None |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Non Accumulative measure. Report the total number of older persons in <br> residential facilities for older persons |
| Reporting cycle | Annually |
| Desired performance | Residential facilities for older persons should be fully utilized but not <br> overcrowded |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager - Special Needs |

Indicator 2

| Indicator title | Number of older persons in funded Residential Facilities run by government |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | This indicator refers to older persons in residential and assisted living <br> facilities during the reporting period. |
| Purpose/importance | This indicator measures utilization of residential facilities for older persons. |
| Source/collection of data | Bas System |
| Method of calculation | Simple count |
| Data limitations | None |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Non Accumulative measure. Report the total number of older persons in <br> residential facilities for older persons |
| Reporting cycle | Annually |
| Desired performance | Residential facilities for older persons should be fully utilized but not <br> overcrowded |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager - Special Needs |

## Indicator 3

| Indicator title | Number of older persons accessing community based care and support <br> services |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | This indicator refers to older persons utilizing the community based care <br> and support services managed by NPOs |
| Purpose/importance | This indicator measures the availability and utilization of community based <br> care and support services. |
| Source/collection of data | Registers |
| Method of calculation | Simple count |
| Data limitations | None |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Non Accumulative. Report on the total number of older persons who <br> accessed the center during the reporting period. |
| Reporting cycle | Annual |
| Desired performance | Increase in a number of funded community based care and support <br> services |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager - Special Needs |

Indicator 4

| Indicator title | Number of older persons abused |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | It refers to older persons who were reported abused. |
| Purpose/importance | This indicator measures incidences of abuse of older persons |
| Source | Older persons abuse register |
| Method of calculation | Simple count |
| Data limitations | None |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Accumulative measure. Add the total number of older persons who were <br> reported as abused. |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| Desired performance | Zero numbers of reported cases on abuse of older persons |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager - Special Needs |

## Indicator 5

| Indicator title | Number of older persons participating in active ageing programmes |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Refers to all older persons participating in active ageing programmes during <br> the reporting period. |
| Purpose/importance | Important to measure the coverage of active ageing programmes which are <br> essential for maintaining healthy lifestyles for older persons |
| Source | Attendance register |
| Method of calculation | Simple count |
| Data limitations | None |
| Type of indicator | Process |
| Calculation type | Accumulative. Add the total number of older persons participating in active <br> ageing programme. |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| Desired performance | Maximal utilization of act |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager - Special Needs |

Indicator 6

| Indicator title | Rand value of funds transferred to residential facilities |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Report the total amount of funds transferred to residential facilities for older <br> persons during the reporting period. |
| Purpose/importance | It measures the expenditure on residential facilities for older persons. |
| Source | Bas System |
| Method of calculation | Total amount of funds transferred to substance abuse treatment centres |
| Data limitations | None |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Count |
| Reporting cycle | Annually |
| Desired performance | Transfer of funds must be conducted within agreed time frames. |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager - Special Needs |

## Indicator 7

| Indicator title | Rand value of funds transferred to community based care and support <br> centres |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Refers to amount of funds transferred to community based care and <br> support centres during the reporting period. |
| Purpose/importance | It measures the amount of funds spend by the department on community <br> based care and support centres |
| Source | Bas System |
| Method of calculation | Total amount of funds transferred to substance abuse treatment centres |
| Data limitations | None |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Count |
| Reporting cycle | Annually |
| Desired performance | Transfer of funds must be conducted within agreed time frames. |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager - Special Needs |

## Indicator 8

| Indicator title | Number of Caregivers implementing the Community Based Care and <br> Support Programmes. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Refers to Older Persons participating in community based projects. |
| Purpose/importance | This indicator measures the utilization of service centres to address abuse <br> of older persons. |
| Source/collection of data | Attendance Register |
| Method of calculation | Simple count |
| Data limitations | None |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Non cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Monthly and quarterly |
| Desired performance | Full utilization of Community Based Care and Support services |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager |

## Indicator 9

| Indicator title | Number of funded Service Centres run by NPOs |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Refers to service centres subsidized by the Department of Social <br> Development. |
| Purpose/importance | To ensure that programmes are implemented in the service centres. |
| Source/collection of data | Attendance Register. |
| Method of calculation | Simple count. |
| Data limitations | None |
| Type of indicator | Out put |
| Calculation type | Non-cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Monthly and quarterly |
| Desired performance | To ensure that programmes are implemented in the service centres. |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager |

Indicator 10

| Indicator title | Number of Social Service Organizations |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Refers social work posts in Welfare Organization. |
| Purpose/importance | To subsidize Social Workers to render professional services in Welfare <br> Organizations |
| Source/collection of data | Attendance Register. |
| Method of calculation | Simple count. |
| Data limitations | None. |
| Type of indicator | Output. |
| Calculation type | Non cumulative. |
| Reporting cycle | Monthly and quarterly. |
| Desired performance | Rendering of professional service NGOs. |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager |
| Indicator 11 |  |
| Indicator title |  |
| Short definition | Number of Older Persons Fora. |
| Purpose/importance | The older persons structures are a voice of all older persons in the Districts <br> and Province. |
| Source/collection of data | Attendance Register. <br> NPO Certificate. |
| Method of calculation | Simple count |
| Data limitations | None |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Non cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Monthly and quarterly. |
| Desired performance | Advocacy for the interests of older persons. |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager |

Indicator 12

| Indicator title | Number of older persons receiving counselling in government facilities |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Older persons receiving counselling from government social workers |
| Purpose/importance | This indicator measures utilisation of government social work services by <br> older persons |
| Source/collection of data | Intake register |
| Method of calculation | Report total number of older persons received counselling during the <br> reporting period |
| Data limitations | None |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Count |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | Not Applicable |
| Desired performance | Older persons should fully utilise their rights to access services by <br> government social workers |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager Special Needs programmes |

## Indicator 13

| Indicator title | Number of older persons receiving counselling in NGO facilities |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Older persons receiving counselling from NGO social workers |
| Purpose/importance | This indicator measures utilisation of NGO social work services by older <br> persons |
| Source/collection of data | Intake register |
| Method of calculation | Report total number of older persons received counselling during the <br> reporting period |
| Data limitations | None |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Count |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | Not Applicable |
| Desired performance | Older persons should fully utilise their rights to access services by NGO <br> social workers |
| Indicator responsibility | Head of NGO facility |

Indicator 14

| Indicator title | Number of awareness campaigns on older persons legislation |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Refers to popularization of Older Persons Act 13 of 2006, Norms and <br> Standards and Regulations. |
| Purpose/importance | To empower older persons about their rights and responsibility. |
| Source/collection of data | Attendance Register |
| Method of calculation | Simple count |
| Data limitations | None |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Non cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Monthly and quarterly |
| Desired performance | Reduction of abuse of older persons |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager -Special Needs |

## Sub Programme: Crime Prevention and Support

Indicator 1

| Indicator title | Number of children in conflict with the law awaiting trial in secure care <br> centres run by government. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Refers to number of children in conflict with the law awaiting trial in secure <br> care centres. |
| Purpose/importance | This indicator measures the utilization of secure care centres. |
| Source | Secure care Registers and monthly reports |
| Method of calculation | Simple count |
| Data limitations | None |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Cumulative. Add the total number of children in conflict with the law secure <br> facilities. |
| Reporting cycle | Monthly and Quarterly |
| Desired performance | Full utilization of secure care facilities |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager - Crime Prevention and Support |

Indicator 2

| Indicator title | Number of children in conflict with the law awaiting trial in secure care <br> centres managed by NPOs. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Refers to number of children in conflict with the law awaiting trial in secure <br> care centres. |
| Purpose/importance | This indicator measures the utilization of secure care centres. Measures the <br> number of children in conflict with the law accessing services in secure care <br> centres. |
| Source | Secure care Registers |
| Method of calculation | Simple count |
| Data limitations | None |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Non Accumulative. Report on the total number of children in conflict with the <br> law in secure facilities during the reporting period. |
| Reporting cycle | Monthly and Quarterly |
| Desired performance | Full utilization of secure care facilities |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager - Crime Prevention and Support |

## Indicator 3

| Indicator title | Number of children in conflict with the law assessed |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Refers to children in conflict with the law assessed during the reporting <br> period by a social worker/Probation officer. |
| Purpose/importance | To determine the incidence and number of cases of child offences. |
| Source | Case files |
| Method of calculation | Simple count |
| Data limitations | None |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Accumulative. Report the total number of children in conflict with the law <br> assessed in secure care centres during the reporting period. |
| Reporting cycle | Monthly and Quarterly |
| Desired performance | To ensure that every child admitted in a secure care is assessed in order to <br> provide appropriate individual specific intervention. |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager - Crime Prevention and Support |

Indicator 4

| Indicator title | Number of children in conflict with the law who completed diversion <br> programmes |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Refers to the number of children in conflict with the law who completed <br> diversion programmes during the reporting period. |
| Purpose/importance | Diversion programme is a placement through court and children are required <br> to complete it in order to reduce recidivism and to keep them away from the <br> traditional prison system. |
| Source | Diversion registers |
| Method of calculation | Simple count |
| Data limitations | The indicator only provides information in terms of the completion rates but <br> does not indicate the success rates |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Accumulative. Add the total number of children who have completed <br> diversion programme. |
| Reporting cycle | Monthly and Quarterly |
| Desired performance | To see an increase in a number of children who complete diversion <br> programme. |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager - Crime Prevention and Support |

Indicator 5

| Indicator title | Rand value of funds transferred to NPOs delivering crime prevention and <br> support services. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Report the total amount of funds transferred to NPOs delivering crime <br> prevention and support services during the reporting period. |
| Purpose/importance | It measures the amount of funds spent on NPOs delivering crime prevention <br> and support services |
| Source | Bas System |
| Method of calculation | Simple count |
| Data limitations | None |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Non accumulative. Give the total amount in rands of funds transferred to <br> NPOs delivering crime prevention and support services. |
| Reporting cycle | Annually |
| Desired performance | Transfer of funds should be done in accordance with the agreed time frames. |
| Indicator responsibility | Finance Provincial office |

Indicator 6

| Indicator title | Number of children reached through crime prevention programmes |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Children reached through crime prevention programmes |
| Purpose/importance | To have statistics of children reached through crime prevention programmes |
| Source/collection of data | Monthly reports/ Statistics |
| Method of calculation | Simple Count |
| Data limitations | Inaccuracy of statistics |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Monthly/Quarterly |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | Increase in the number of children reached through crime prevention <br> programmes |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager - Crime Prevention and Support |

Indicator 7

| Indicator title | Number of crime prevention programmes implemented by government |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Crime prevention programmes implemented by government |
| Purpose/importance | To know the number of crime prevention programmes conducted |
| Source/collection of data | Monthly Reports/ statistics |
| Method of calculation | Simple Count |
| Data limitations | Inaccuracy of statistics/ monthly reports |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Monthly and Quarterly |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | Increase in the number of crime prevention programmes conducted. |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager- Crime Prevention and Support |

## Indicator 8

| Indicator title | Number of children arrested |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Number children arrested |
| Purpose/importance | To have statistics of children in trouble with the law |
| Source/collection of data | SAPS Register |
| Method of calculation | Simple Count |
| Data limitations | Error in the statistics |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Monthly/Quarterly |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | Availability of statistics of children awaiting trial in secure care centres |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager- Crime Prevention and Support |

Indicator 9

| Indicator title | Number of accredited diversion programmes implemented. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Accredited diversion programmes implemented |
| Purpose/importance | To know the number of accredited diversion programmes implemented |
| Source/collection of data | National data base for accredited diversion programmes |
| Method of calculation | Simple Count |
| Data limitations | Inaccuracy in the number of accredited programmes |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | Diversion programmes to be accredited. |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager- Crime Prevention and Support |

Indicator 10

| Indicator title | Number of children accessed community based sentences |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Children accessed community based sentences |
| Purpose/importance | To have statistics of children accessing community based sentences |
| Source/collection of data | Monthly reports/Statistics |
| Method of calculation | Simple Count |
| Data limitations | Inaccuracy of statistics |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Monthly/Quarterly |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | Increase in the number children accessing community based sentences |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager- Crime Prevention and Support |

## Indicator 11

| Indicator title | Number of secure care centres complying with the blueprint model for secure <br> care centres run by government. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Secure care centres complying with the blueprint model for secure care <br> centres. |
| Purpose/importance | To ensure that all secure care centres comply with the blue print for secure <br> care centres |
| Source/collection of data | Admission Register |
| Method of calculation | Simple Count |
| Data limitations | Inaccuracy of admission register |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | All secure care centres comply with the blue print for secure care centre |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager- Crime Prevention and Support |

## Indicator 12

| Indicator title | Number of secure care centres complying with the blueprint model for secure <br> care centres run by NGO. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Secure care centres complying with the blueprint model for secure care <br> centres. |
| Purpose/importance | To ensure that all secure care centres comply with the blue print for secure <br> care centres |
| Source/collection of data | Admission Register |
| Method of calculation | Simple Count |
| Data limitations | Inaccuracy of admission register |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | All secure care centres comply with the blue print for secure care centre |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager- Crime Prevention and Support |

Indicator 13

| Indicator title | Number of children in conflict with the law participating in skills development <br> programmes. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Children in conflict with the law participate in skills development programmes |
| Purpose/importance | To have statistics of children in conflict with the law accessing skills <br> development programmes |
| Source/collection of data | Data base of children in conflict with the law participating in skills development <br> programme |
| Method of calculation | Simple Count |
| Data limitations | Inaccuracy of Statistics |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | Increase in the number of children in conflict with the law participating in skills <br> development programmes. |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager- Crime Prevention and Support |


| Indicator title | Number of ex-offenders participating in re-integration programmes. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Ex-offenders participating in re-integration programmes |
| Purpose/importance | To have statistics of ex-offenders participating in re-integration programmes. |
| Source/collection of data | Data base ex-offenders participating in re-integration programmes |
| Method of calculation | Simple Count |
| Data limitations | Inaccuracy of admission register |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | Increase in the number ex-offenders participating in re-integration programmes |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager- Crime Prevention and Support |

Indicator 15

| Indicator title | Number of children placed in developmental foster care programmes |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Children placed in developmental foster care programmes |
| Purpose/importance | To have statistics of children referred to developmental foster care |
| Source/collection of data | Monthly reports |
| Method of calculation | Simple Count |
| Data limitations | Inaccuracy of admission register |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | Increase in the number of children placed in developmental foster care <br> programme |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager- Crime Prevention and Support |

## Sub Programme: Services to Persons with Disabilities

Indicator 1

| Indicator title | Number of funded residential facilities for persons with disabilities run by <br> NPOs. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Refers to the number of residential and assisted living facilities for persons <br> with disabilities during the reporting period |
| Purpose/importance | This indicator measures the availability and increase of funded residential <br> persons with disabilities. It is important to determine if the department is <br> improving in its efforts of funding more residential facilities for persons with <br> disabilities to ensure increased accessibility |
| Source | Bas System |
| Method of calculation | Simple count |
| Data limitations | It does not indicate full accessibility of services |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Report on the total number of facilities i.e. newly established and existing ones <br> at the end of the financial year managed by NPOs |
| Reporting cycle | Annually |
| Desired performance | All funds are transferred within agreed timeframes |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager - Special Needs |

Indicator 2

| Indicator title | Number of funded residential facilities for persons with disabilities run by <br> Government |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Refers to the number of residential and assisted living facilities for persons <br> with disabilities during the reporting period |
| Purpose/importance | This indicator measures the availability and increase of funded residential <br> persons with disabilities. It is important to determine if the department is <br> improving in its efforts of funding more residential facilities for persons with <br> disabilities to ensure increased accessibility |
| Source | Bas System |
| Method of calculation | Simple count |
| Data limitations | It does not indicate full accessibility of services |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Report on the total number of facilities i.e. newly established and existing ones <br> at the end of the financial year managed by Government |
| Reporting cycle | Annually |
| Desired performance | Full utilization of government facilities. |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager - Special Needs |

Indicator 3

| Indicator title | Number of funded protective workshops for persons with disabilities managed <br> by NPOs |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Refers to the number of funded protective workshops for persons with <br> disabilities |
| Purpose/importance | This indicator measures the availability and increase of funded protective <br> workshops. It is important to determine if the department is improving in its <br> efforts of funding more protective workshops to ensure that persons with <br> disabilities have livelihoods and have functional working environment suitable <br> for them. |
| Source/collection of data | Registers/database |
| Method of calculation | Simple count |
| Data limitations | Inaccuracy of information in the master list |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Annually |
| Desired performance | Increase in the number of People with Disabilities participating in these <br> workshops. Transfer of funds is done within agreed time frames. |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager - Special Needs |

## Indicator 4

| Indicator title | Number of Persons with Disabilities in funded residential facilities run by <br> NPOs |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | People with Disabilities receiving support in funded residential facilities |
| Purpose/importance | To track the number of Persons with Disabilities in funded residential facilities |
| Source/collection of data | Admission and Discharge Registers |
| Method of calculation | Simple Count |
| Data limitations | Inaccuracy of the admission and discharge registers |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | Increase in number of Persons with Disabilities accessing services |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager Special Needs Programmes |

## Indicator 5

| Indicator title | Number of Persons with Disabilities in funded residential facilities run by <br> Government |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | People with Disabilities receiving support in funded residential facilities |
| Purpose/importance | To track the number of Persons with Disabilities in funded residential facilities |
| Source/collection of data | Admission and Discharge Registers |
| Method of calculation | Simple Count |
| Data limitations | Inaccuracy of the admission and discharge registers |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | Increase in number of Persons with Disabilities accessing services |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager Special Needs Programmes |

## Indicator 6

| Indicator title | Number of persons with disabilities accessing services in funded protective <br> workshops managed by NPOs |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Refers to the number of persons with disabilities accessing protective <br> workshops during the reporting period. |
| Purpose/importance | This indicator measures the utilization and demand for protective workshops. |
| Source/collection of data | Attendance register |
| Method of calculation | Non Accumulative. Report on the total number of protective workshops <br> managed by NPOs i.e. newly established and existing ones at the end of the <br> financial year |
| Data limitations | None |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Count |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| Desired performance | An increase in a number of persons with disabilities accessing protective <br> workshops. |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager - Special Needs |

Indicator 7

| Indicator title | Rand value of funds transferred to NPO's delivering services for persons with <br> disabilities |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Refers to the total amount of funds transferred to NPOs delivering services for <br> persons with disabilities during the reporting period. |
| Purpose/importance | It measures the amount of funds the department spends on NPOs rendering <br> services for persons with disabilities |
| Source/collection of data | Bas System |
| Method of calculation | Simple count |
| Data limitations | It does not indicate whether the funds were transferred within the expected <br> timeframes |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Non accumulative. |
| Reporting cycle | Annually |
| Desired performance | Transfer of funds must be conducted within agreed time frames. |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager - Special Needs |

Indicator 8

| Indicator title | Number of funded Special Day Care Centres |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Special Day Care centres that provide stimulation services to Children with <br> Disabilities |
| Purpose/importance | To track the number of Special Day Care Centres that provide services to <br> Children with Disabilities |
| Source/collection of data | Master list |
| Method of calculation | Simple Count |
| Data limitations | Inaccuracy of the master list |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | Increase in number of Special Day care centres |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager Special Needs Programmes |

Indicator 9

| Indicator title | Number of children in Special Day care centres |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Children accessing services in Special day care centres |
| Purpose/importance | To track the number of Children receiving stimulation services |
| Source/collection of data | Admission register |
| Method of calculation | Simple Count |
| Data limitations | Inaccuracy of the admission register |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | Increase in number of Children in these centres |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager Special Needs Programmes |

Indicator 10

| Indicator title | Number of Social Service Organisations |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Organisations that provide services to People with Disabilities |
| Purpose/importance | To track the number of Organisations that provide support to People with <br> Disabilities |
| Source/collection of data | Masterlist |
| Method of calculation | Simple Count |
| Data limitations | Inaccuracy of the Masterlist |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Annually |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | Increase in number of organisations that provide support to People with <br> Disabilities |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager Special Needs Programmes |

Indicator 11

| Indicator title | Number of Home Community Based Rehabilitation programmes |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | People with Disabilities accessing home based care services |
| Purpose/importance | To track the number of these programmes in communities |
| Source/collection of data | Master list |
| Method of calculation | Simple Count |
| Data limitations | Inaccuracy of the master list |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Annually |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | Increase in the number of home community based care services |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager Special Needs Programmes |

Indicator 12

| Indicator title | Number of awareness campaigns |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Campaigns that are meant to educate the public on Disability issues |
| Purpose/importance | To track the number of educational campaigns in the communities |
| Source/collection of data | Master list |
| Method of calculation | Simple Count |
| Data limitations | Inaccuracy of the Master list |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Annually |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | Increase in the number of awareness campaigns that educate communities <br> on Disability issues |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager Special Needs Programmes |

Indicator 13

| Indicator title | Number of people reached through awareness campaigns |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | People with Disabilities, their families and communities reached through <br> awareness |
| Purpose/importance | To track the number of people reached through awareness campaigns |
| Source/collection of data | Monthly reports |
| Method of calculation | Simple Count |
| Data limitations | Inaccuracy of monthly reports |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | Increase in the number of campaigns that are educating communities on <br> Disability issues |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager Special Needs Programmes |

## Indicator 14

| Indicator title | Number of Persons with Disabilities trained on skills development programmes |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Persons with Disabilities participating in skills development programmes |
| Purpose/importance | To track the number of People participating in skills programmes |
| Source/collection of data | Register of participants |
| Method of calculation | Simple Count |
| Data limitations | Inaccuracy of the Register |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Annually |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | Increase in number of Persons with Disabilities participating in these <br> programmes |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager Special Needs Programmes |

Indicator 15

| Indicator title | Number of persons with disability receiving counselling in government facilities |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Persons with disability receiving counselling from government social workers |
| Purpose/importance | This indicator measures utilisation of government social work services by <br> persons with disabilities |
| Source/collection of data | Intake register |
| Method of calculation | Report total number of persons with disabilities received counselling during <br> the reporting period |
| Data limitations | None |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Count |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | Not Applicable |
| Desired performance | Persons with disabilities should fully utilise their rights to access services by <br> government social workers |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager Special Needs programmes |

Indicator 16

| Indicator title | Number of persons with disabilities receiving counselling in NGO facilities |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Persons with disabilities receiving counselling from NGO social workers |
| Purpose/importance | This indicator measures utilisation of government social work services by <br> persons with disabilities |
| Source/collection of data | Intake register |
| Method of calculation | Report total number of persons with disabilities received counselling during <br> the reporting period |
| Data limitations | None |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Count |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | Not Applicable |
| Desired performance | Persons with disabilities should fully utilise their rights to access services by <br> NGO social workers |
| Indicator responsibility | Head NGO facility |

## Sub Programme: Child Care and Protection Services

Indicator 1

| Indicator title | Number of funded Child and Youth Care Centres run by Government |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Child and Youth Care Centres providing temporal placement (Places of Safety) <br> of children in need of care and protection services |
| Purpose/importance | To track the number of Child and Youth Care Centres that are funded by <br> Government in the Province |
| Source/collection of data | Master list and BAS system |
| Method of calculation | Simple Count |
| Data limitations | Inaccuracy of the master list |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Non-cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | Increase in number of Government funded Child and Youth Care Centres |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager-Child Care and Protection Services |

Indicator 2

| Indicator title | Number of funded Child and Youth Care Centres managed by NPOs |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Child and Youth Care Centres managed by NPOs providing care to children in <br> need of care and protection. |
| Purpose/importance | To track the number of children that receive services from Child and Youth <br> Care Centres that are managed by NPOs in the Province |
| Source/collection of data | Master list and BAS system |
| Method of calculation | Simple Count |
| Data limitations | Inaccuracy of the master list |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Non-cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | Increase in number of Government funded Child and Youth Care Centres |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager-Child Care and Protection Services |

Indicator 3

| Indicator title | Number of children admitted in Child and Youth Care Centres run by <br> Government |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Children accessing child care and protection services in Child and Youth <br> Care Centres |
| Purpose/importance | To track the number of children accessing child care and protection services |
| Source/collection of data | Admission and Discharge Registers |
| Method of calculation | Simple Count |
| Data limitations | Inaccuracy of the admission and discharge registers |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | Decrease in number of children admitted in Child and Youth Care Centres |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager-Child Care and Protection Services |

## Indicator 4

| Indicator title | Number of children admitted in Child and Youth Care Centres managed by <br> NPOs |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Children accessing child care and protection services in Child and Youth <br> Care Centres managed by NPOs. |
| Purpose/importance | To track the number of children accessing child care and protection services |
| Source/collection of data | Admission and Discharge Registers |
| Method of calculation | Simple Count |
| Data limitations | Inaccuracy of the admission and discharge registers |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | Decrease in number of children admitted in Child and Youth Care Centres |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager-Child Care and Protection Services |

Indicator 5

| Indicator title | Number of children in funded Early Childhood Development (ECD) <br> programmes |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Children accessing services in funded ECD programmes |
| Purpose/importance | To track the number of children accessing services in funded ECD <br> programmes |
| Source/collection of data | Admission and Discharge Registers |
| Method of calculation | Simple Count |
| Data limitations | Inaccuracy of the admission and discharge registers |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | Increase in number of children accessing ECD programmes |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager-Child Care and Protection Services |

Indicator 6

| Indicator title | Number of children newly placed in foster care |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Children placed in foster care |
| Purpose/importance | To track the number of children in need of care and protection placed in <br> foster care |
| Source/collection of data | Foster Care Registers |
| Method of calculation | Simple Count |
| Data limitations | Inaccuracy of the Foster Care registers |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | Increase in number of children accessing foster care services in view of <br> escalating number of Orphaned and Vulnerable Children in need of care and <br> protection |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager-Child Care and Protection Services |

## Indicator 7

| Indicator title | Number of children abused |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Children reported abused |
| Purpose/importance | To track the number of children abused emotionally, physically, sexually, <br> psychologically, etc. |
| Source/collection of data | Child Protection Register |
| Method of calculation | Simple Count |
| Data limitations | Inaccuracy of the Child Protection Register |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | Increase in number of reported cases |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager-Child Care and Protection Services |

## Indicator 8

| Indicator title | Number of jobs created through EPWP in ECD programme |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Jobs created through EPWP |
| Purpose/importance | To track the number of jobs created in ECD's through EPWP |
| Source/collection of data | Register of ECD practitioners . |
| Method of calculation | Simple Count |
| Data limitations | Inaccuracy of the Register of Care Givers |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | Increase in number of jobs created |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager-Child Care and Protection Services |

Indicator 9

| Indicator title | Rand value of funds transferred to CYCC managed by NPOs |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Funds transferred to CYCC managed by NPOs to render child care and <br> protection services |
| Purpose/importance | Measures whether the funds allocated reach the intended beneficiaries |
| Source/collection of data | BAS system |
| Method of calculation | Simple count |
| Data limitations | Inaccuracy of the system |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Count |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | Yes |
| Desired performance | To support NPOs that render child care and protection services |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager - Child Care and Protection |

Indicator 10

| Indicator title | Number of funded Early Childhood Development (ECD) centres |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Centre that provides care and development to children from birth to 4 years |
| Purpose/importance | To track the number of funded ECD centres |
| Source/collection of data | Database of funded centres |
| Method of calculation | Simple Count |
| Data limitations | Inaccuracy of the database of funded ECD centres |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | Increase in number of ECD centres funded |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager-Child Care and Protection Services |

Indicator 11

| Indicator title | Number of subsidised CPOs dealing with child protection services |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Subsidised CPOs dealing with child protection services |
| Purpose/importance | To track the number of subsidised CPOs dealing with child protection <br> services |
| Source/collection of data | Master-list |
| Method of calculation | Simple Count |
| Data limitations | Inaccuracy of the Master-list |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | Increase in number of subsidised CPOs dealing with child protection <br> services |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager-Child Care and Protection Services |

## Sub-programme: Victim Empowerment

Indicator 1

| Indicator title | Number of shelters for victims of crime and violence run by govt. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Safe Havens for victims of crime and violence for purposes of safety, care <br> and support. |
| Purpose/importance | To track the number of shelters providing temporal accommodation and <br> support for victims of crime and violence run by Government. |
| Source/collection of data | NPO Register \& Master list |
| Method of calculation | Non Accumulative. |
| Data limitations | None |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Count |
| Reporting cycle | Annually |
| Desired performance | Increase in a number of VEP shelters and to give an indication of the scope <br> and the magnitude of abuse and domestic violence. |
| Indicator responsibility | NPO section |

## Indicator 2

| Indicator title | Number of shelters for victims of crime and violence managed by NPOs. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Safe Havens for victims of crime and violence for purposes of safety, care <br> and support. |
| Purpose/importance | To track the number of shelters providing temporal accommodation and <br> support for victims of crime and violence managed by NPO's. |
| Source/collection of data | NPO Register \& Master list |
| Method of calculation | Non Accumulative. |
| Data limitations | None |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Count |
| Reporting cycle | Annually |
| Desired performance | Increase in a number of VEP shelters. |
| Indicator responsibility | NPO section |

Indicator 3

| Indicator title | Number of victims of crime and violence in funded VEP shelter run by govt. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Victims of crime and violence accessing services in funded shelters run by <br> Government. |
| Purpose/importance | To track the number of victims in funded shelters run by Government. |
| Source/collection of data | Admission register |
| Method of calculation | Accumulative. Report on the total number of victims of crime. |
| Data limitations | None |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Count |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| Desired performance | Decrease in the victims of crimes and violence. |
| Indicator responsibility | Shelter Manager |

## Indicator 4

| Indicator title | Number of victims of crime and violence in funded VEP shelter managed by <br> NPOs. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Victims of crime and violence accessing services in funded shelters <br> managed by NPO's. |
| Purpose/importance | To track the number of victims in funded shelters managed by NPO's. |
| Source/collection of data | Admission register |
| Method of calculation | Accumulative. Report on the total of victims of crime and violence in VEP <br> shelters. |
| Data limitations | None |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Count |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| Desired performance | Decrease in a victims of crimes and violence. |
| Indicator responsibility | Shelter Manager |

## Indicator 5

| Indicator title | Number of victims of crime accessing VEP services |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Refers to the number of victims of crime and violence accessing the <br> following services: parental skills, healthy life style, anger and conflict <br> management, assertiveness, decision making, ABET training, computer <br> literacy, Arts and Culture, catering, flower arrangement, gardening, financial <br> and business management, counselling, debriefing, family conferencing |
| Purpose/importance | This indicators measures utilization and coverage of VEP services. |
| Source/collection of data | Intake \& Attendance registers |
| Method of calculation | Accumulative. Report on the total number accessing services and those that <br> previously accessed services during the reporting period |
| Data limitations | None |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Count |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| Desired performance | Increase in victims accessing VEP services. |
| Indicator responsibility | VEP Coordinator |

## Indicator 6

| Indicator title | Rand value of funds transferred to funded VEP shelters run by Government |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Refers to the total amount of funds transferred to funded VEP shelters during <br> the reporting period. |
| Purpose/importance | It measures the amount of funds that DSDS spends on VEP shelters. |
| Source/collection of data | Bas System |
| Method of calculation | Give the total amount of funds transferred to VEP shelters in the province. |
| Data limitations | None |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Count |
| Reporting cycle | Annually |
| Desired performance | Transfer of funds must be conducted within agreed time frames. |
| Indicator responsibility | Finance Provincial Office |

Indicator 7

| Indicator title | Rand value of funds transferred to funded VEP shelters managed by NPOs |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Refers to the total amount of funds transferred to funded VEP shelters during <br> the reporting period. |
| Purpose/importance | It measures the amount of funds that DSDS spends on VEP shelters. |
| Source/collection of data | Bas System |
| Method of calculation | Give the total amount of funds transferred to VEP shelters in the province. |
| Data limitations | None |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Count |
| Reporting cycle | Annually |
| Desired performance | Transfer of funds must be conducted within agreed time frames. |
| Indicator responsibility | Finance Provincial Office |

## Indicator 8

| Indicator title | Number of government funded NPOs delivering services on Victim <br> Empowerment. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Community Based programmes for victims of crime and violence for <br> purposes of care, victim support and community awareness. |
| Purpose/importance | To track the number of funded NPO's delivering services to victims of crime <br> and violence. |
| Source/collection of data | NPO Register \& Master list |
| Method of calculation | Non Accumulative. |
| Data limitations | None |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Count |
| Reporting cycle | Annually |
| Desired performance | Increase in a number of NPO's rendering services to victims of crime and <br> violence. |
| Indicator responsibility | NPO section |

Indicator 9

| Indicator title | Number of government funded NGOs delivering services on Victim <br> Empowerment. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Community Based programmes for victims of crime and violence for <br> purposes of care, victim support and community awareness |
| Purpose/importance | To track the number of funded NGO's delivering services to victims of crime <br> and violence. |
| Source/collection of data | NPO Register \& Master list |
| Method of calculation | Non Accumulative. |
| Data limitations | None |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Count |
| Reporting cycle | Annually |
| Desired performance | Increase in a number of NGO's rendering services to victims of crime and <br> violence. |
| Indicator responsibility | NPO section |

## Indicator 10

| Indicator title | Number of Victim Empowerment Fora established. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Victim Empowerment Fora established in 7 Districts and at Provincial level. |
| Purpose/importance | To track the number of Victim Empowerment Fora established to facilitate <br> and monitor integrated services and programmes for victims of crime and <br> violence. |
| Source/collection of data | List of Fora members, Documented Victim Empowerment Integrated Plan. |
| Method of calculation | Non Accumulative. |
| Data limitations | None |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Count |
| Reporting cycle | Annually |
| Desired performance | To give an indication of the involvement and integrated plan with other <br> Stakeholders in Victim Empowerment Programmes |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager-Families and Victim Empowerment Programme |

## Indicator 11

| Indicator title | Number of awareness programmes targeting high risk areas implemented. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Implementation of awareness programmes and educational campaigns <br> targeting high risk areas in all Districts |
| Purpose/importance | To track the number of awareness programmes and educational campaigns <br> targeting high risk areas in all Districts |
| Source/collection of data | Attendance registers |
| Method of calculation | Accumulative |
| Data limitations | Inaccurate reports |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Count |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| Desired performance | To give an indication of awareness and educational programmes <br> implemented on Victim Empowerment |
| Indicator responsibility | VEP Coordinators |

## Sub-Programme: HIV and AIDS

Indicator 1

| Indicator title | Number of funded NPOs delivering HIV/AIDS prevention programmes |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Refers to the total number of funded NPOs delivering HIV/AIDS prevention <br> programmes. |
| Purpose/importance | This indicator is aimed at assisting programme managers and funders to <br> determine availability of service providers for HIV/AIDS prevention <br> programmes |
| Source | Welfare planners files, programme managers, BAS, Transfer of payment |
| Method of calculation | Simple count |
| Data limitations | Does not consider accessibility or proximity of NPOs to the communities |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Non accumulative. Reported annual by giving the total number of NPOs <br> delivering HIV/AIDS prevention programmes. |
| Reporting cycle | Annually |
| Desired performance | Increase in a number of funded organisations that render HIV and AIDS and <br> other chronic illnesses and prevention programme |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager - HIV \& AIDS |

## Indicator 2

| Indicator title | Number of orphans and other children made vulnerable by HIV and AIDS <br> receiving services |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Refers to the number of orphans and other children made vulnerable by <br> HIV and AIDS receiving services during the reporting period. |
| Purpose/importance | This indicator is aimed at assisting programme managers/ social workers <br> and planners to determine the accessibility of HIV/AIDS programmes to <br> children in need. |
| Source | Welfare planner's files, programme managers files, web base system. |
| Method of calculation | Simple count |
| Data limitations | Does not consider accessibility or proximity of NPO's delivering HIV and <br> AIDS prevention programmes |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Accumulative. Report the total number of orphans and vulnerable children <br> receiving services from HIV/AIDS organizations as recorded during the <br> reporting period |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| Desired performance | Increase in a number of vulnerable children receiving psychosocial support. |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager - HIV and AIDS |

Indicator 3

| Indicator title | Number of jobs in HCBC created through EPWP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Report on the number of individuals placed jobs through EPWP in HCBC <br> during the reporting period. |
| Purpose/importance | It monitors progress made in decreasing unemployment in the country |
| Source | HCBC monitoring system, programme manager's files, public works reports |
| Method of calculation | Simple count |
| Data limitations | Does not indicate the duration of the jobs |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Accumulative. Give a total of all HCBC workers who were appointed through <br> EPWP programme in the reporting period plus the existing ones |
| Reporting cycle | Annually |
| Desired performance | Increased number of jobs creation within the programme |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager - HIV \& AIDS |

Indicator 4

| Indicator title | Rand value of funds transferred to NPOs delivering HIV and AIDS <br> Prevention programmes |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Refers to the total amount of funds transferred to NPOs delivering HIV and <br> AIDS Prevention programmes during the reporting period. |
| Purpose/importance | This indicator is aimed at tracking the amount of government funds <br> transferred to service delivery partners in a particular period. Thereby <br> enforcing accountability for public funds. |
| Source | BAS, Welfare planners files, Transfer of Payment Agreements, minutes of <br> funding committee meetings, In Year Monitoring reports, HCBC monitoring <br> system |
| Method of calculation | Simple count |
| Data limitations | Does not consider timeliness of the transfers |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Non accumulative. Report the total amount of funds transferred to <br> organizations during the reporting period. |
| Reporting cycle | Annually |
| Desired performance | Improved and timely funding of transfers |
| Indicator responsibility | Programme Manager and Provincial Finance |

## Indicator 5

| Indicator title | Number of HCBC organizations providing care, support and prevention <br> programmes to Orphans, CHH and families. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Refers to the total number of organisations that are rendering Home Based <br> care services. |
| Purpose/importance | Measure accessibility of home Community Based Care projects in <br> communities. |
| Source/collection of data | Masterlist |
| Method of calculation | Simple count |
| Data limitations | None |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Non accumulative. |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| Desired performance | Communities accessing Home Community Based Care services. |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager- HIV and AIDS |

Indicator 6

| Indicator title | Number of HCBC organisations trained on skills development programmes |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Refers to the total number of NPO's trained on skills development <br> programme. |
| Purpose/importance | This indicator measures the number of NPO's trained on skills development <br> programme. |
| Source/collection of data | Masterlist |
| Method of calculation | Simple count |
| Data limitations | None |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Non-Accumulative. |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| Desired performance | Increase in a number of NPO's trained on skills development programme <br> thus improving the quality of services rendered. |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager- HIV and AIDS |

## Indicator 7

| Indicator title | Number of community Care Givers trained on skills development <br> programmes. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Refers to the total number of Care Givers trained on skills development <br> programme. |
| Purpose/importance | To track number of trained and equipped care givers. |
| Source/collection of data | Registers |
| Method of calculation | Simple count |
| Data limitations | None |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Accumulative. |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| Desired performance | Increase in the number skilled Care Givers in HCBC projects thus improving <br> the quality of service. |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager- HIV and AIDS |

Indicator 8

| Indicator title | Number of funded NPO's trained on social behaviour change programmes |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Refers to the total number of NPO's trained on social behaviour change |
| Purpose/importance | This indicator measures the number of NPO's implementing behaviour <br> change programme. |
| Source/collection of data | Masterlist |
| Method of calculation | Simple count |
| Data limitations | None |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Accumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| Desired performance | Increase in a number of NPO's implementing behaviour change programme. |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager- HIV and AIDS |

Indicator 9

| Indicator title | Number of districts implementing HCBC monitoring and evaluation system |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Refers to the total number of districts who implement the electronic version <br> of M \& E system during the reporting period. |
| Purpose/importance | This indicator measures impact of HCBC programme in the community. |
| Source/collection of data | Electronic data |
| Method of calculation | Simple count |
| Data limitations | None |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Accumulative. |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| Desired performance | Three districts will pilot M \& E system. |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager- HIV and AIDS |

## Sub Programme: Social Relief

Indicator 1

| Indicator title | Number of individuals who benefited from social relief programs |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Refers to the total number of individuals who benefited from social relief <br> programmers during the reporting period. |
| Purpose/importance | This indicator measures demand for social relief programme. |
| Source/collection of data | Registers |
| Method of calculation | Simple count |
| Data limitations | None |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Accumulative. Add the total number of beneficiaries during the reporting <br> period |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| Desired performance | Increase in a number of beneficiaries. |
| Indicator responsibility | Social Relief coordinator |

## Indicator 2

| Indicator title | Rand value of social relief paid to beneficiaries |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Refers to the total amount of funds paid to social relief to beneficiaries during <br> the reporting period. |
| Purpose/importance | It measures the amount of funds spent by DSD on social relief of distress. |
| Source/collection of data | Bas System |
| Method of calculation | Simple count |
| Data limitations | Does not monitor whether the funds indeed reached the beneficiaries and <br> the timeliness of such payments |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Give the total amount of funds transferred to NPOs in the province. |
| Reporting cycle | Annually |
| Desired performance | Transfer of funds must be conducted within agreed time frames. |
| Indicator responsibility | Social Relief coordinator |

Indicator 3

| Indicator title | Number of psychosocial structures |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Refers to a number of structures to be strengthened during the reporting <br> period |
| Purpose/importance | It indicator measures the number of structures to be strengthened in the <br> province. |
| Source/collection of data | Monthly reports |
| Method of calculation | Simple count |
| Data limitations | It does not show if the structures were indeed formed |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Give the total number of structures strengthened |
| Reporting cycle | Annually |
| Desired performance | Structures should be formed to assist during disasters |
| Indicator responsibility | Social relief coordinator |

## Indicator 4

| Indicator title | Number of Dumping site Projects |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Refers to the total number of dumping sites that are meant to assist people <br> living in undue hardships |
| Purpose/importance | To assist people to be economically active |
| Source/collection of data | Master list |
| Method of calculation | Simple count |
| Data limitations | Does not show that the projects are functional |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Give the total number of dumping sites that are funded |
| Reporting cycle | annually |
| Desired performance | Projects to be able to assist economic needs of the community |
| Indicator responsibility | Social Relief coordinator |

## Sub-Programme: Care and Support Services to Families

## Indicator 1

| Indicator title | Number of Government funded NPOs providing care and support services <br> to families |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Refers to the total number of all government funded NPOs that provide <br> services to families during the reporting period. |
| Purpose/importance | This indicator measures the availability of NPOs offering care and services <br> to families. It is important to determine if the department is improving in its <br> efforts to increase services to families. |
| Source/collection of data | Bas System |
| Method of calculation | Simple count |
| Data limitations | None |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Non Accumulative. Report on the total number of funded treatment centres <br> in provinces. |
| Reporting cycle | Annually |
| Desired performance | Increase in the number of funded NPOs delivering services to families |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager - Families |

## Indicator 2

| Indicator title | Number of families participating in family preservation services |
| :---: | :---: |
| Short definition | Report the total number of all families participating in some of the family preservation services listed below provided in your province: <br> - Couples for marriage counseling <br> - Parental Skills Guidance <br> - Kinship relationship/ family counseling/family group conferencing (exclude children in conflict with the law <br> - After Care Services and support services <br> - Therapeutic Services <br> - Families in Crises (xenophobia, burnt houses, divorce, death of a family member etc) <br> - Marriage Enrichment Programme <br> - Marriage Preparation Programme <br> - Development Assessment <br> - Mediation |
| Purpose/importance | This indicator measures the utilization of family preservation services. |
| Source | Case files |
| Method of calculation | Accumulative. Add the new beneficiaries to the existing beneficiaries of family preservation services during the reporting period |
| Data limitations | None |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Count |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| Desired performance | Decrease in a number of beneficiaries of family preservation services. |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager - Families |

Indicator 3

| Indicator title | Number of family members reunited with their families |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Refers to the number of families successfully reunited with their families <br> during the reporting period. |
| Purpose/importance | This indicator measures the utilization of re-unification services. |
| Source | Case files |
| Method of calculation | Simple count |
| Data limitations | None |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Accumulative. Report on the total of all re-unification services rendered <br> during the reporting period. |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| Desired performance | Increase in family members re-united with their families. |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager - Families |

Indicator 4

| Indicator title | Rand value of funds transferred to NPOs delivering services to families |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Refers to the total amount of funds transferred to the NPOs delivering <br> services to families during the reporting period. |
| Purpose/importance | It measures the amount of funds DSD spends on NPOs that renders families <br> services |
| Source/collection of data | Bas System |
| Method of calculation | Give the total amount of funds transferred to NPOs in the province. |
| Data limitations | None |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Count |
| Reporting cycle | Annually |
| Desired performance | Transfer of funds must be conducted within agreed time frames. |
| Indicator responsibility | Senior Manager - Families |

Indicator 5

| Indicator title | Number of Government funded NGOs providing care and support services to <br> families |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Refers to the total number of all government funded NGOs that provide <br> services to families during the reporting period. |
| Purpose/importance | This indicator measures the availability of NGOs offering care and services to <br> families. It is important to determine if the department is improving in its <br> efforts to increase services to families |
| Source/collection of data | Bas System |
| Method of calculation | Simple count |
| Data limitations | None |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | provinces. |
| Reporting cycle | Annually |
| Desired performance | Increase in the number of funded NGOs delivering services to families |
| Indicator responsibility | Finance Provincial Office |

## Indicator 6

| Indicator title | Number of integrated awareness and educational programmes |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Integrated preventative educational and awareness programmes targeting <br> families |
| Purpose/importance | To empower families on issues affecting families |
| Source | Reports |
| Method of calculation | Simple count |
| Data limitations | Inaccuracy of reports |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Accumulative. |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| Desired performance | Stable, sustainable and well- functioning families. |
| Indicator responsibility | Provincial family coordinator |

## PROGRAMME 3: DEVELOPMENT AND RESEARCH

## Sub-Programme: Youth Development

Indicator 1

| Indicator title | Number of youth participating in the Masupa-tsela Youth Pioneer Programme <br> (ACDPs) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Number of youth who are participating in the Masupa-Tsela Youth Pioneer <br> Program. |
| Purpose/importance | To promote activism among the youth in the Masupa-Tsela Youth Pioneer <br> Program |
| Source/collection of <br> data | From communities and Area Offices through site visits and meetings |
| Method <br> calculation | Simple counting |
| Data limitations | None |
| Type of indicator | Outcome |
| Calculation type | Non-cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Annually |
| New indicator | New |
| Desired <br> performance | Increased participation of young people in the Departmental Programs |
| Indicator <br> responsibility | Senior Manager |

Indicator 2

| Indicator title | Number of out of school and unemployed youth participating in income <br> generating projects. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Youth generating income. |
| Purpose/importance | Job creation |
| Source/collection of <br> data | From community and stake holders through site visits, meetings |
| Method <br> calculation | Simple counting |
| Data limitations | Non cooperation by the community members |
| Type of indicator | Outcome |
| Calculation type | Non-cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | Has significantly changed |
| Desired <br> performance | Reduction of crime and development of entrepreneurship skills. |
| Indicator <br> responsibility | District Manager |

## Indicator 3

| Indicator title | Number of youth entrepreneurship development projects funded |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Number of young people funded projects that generate income on a sustainable <br> basis. |
| Purpose/importance | To promote sustainability of operations |
| Source/collection of <br> data | From community and stake holders through site visits, meetings |
| Method of <br> calculation | Simple counting |
| Data limitations | Non cooperation by the community members |
| Type of indicator | Outcome |
| Calculation type | Non-cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Annually |
| New indicator | Has significantly changed |
| Desired <br> performance | Increase of self reliance and sustainability amongst the communities |
| Indicator <br> responsibility | District Manager |

## Indicator 4

| Indicator title | Number of funded youth projects linked to commercial markets |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Number of funded projects that are assisted by private institutions. |
| Purpose/importance | To promote global integration |
| Source/collection of <br> data | From community and stake holders through site visits, meetings |
| Method of <br> calculation | Simple counting |
| Data limitations | Non cooperation by the community members |
| Type of indicator | Outcome |
| Calculation type | Non-cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | Has significantly changed |


| Desired <br> performance | Increase of self reliance and sustainability amongst the communities |
| :--- | :--- |
| Indicator <br> responsibility | District Manager |

Indicator 5

| Indicator title | Rand Value of funds transferred to youth and income generating projects |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Amount of money transferred to youth initiatives |
| Purpose/importance | To determine the funds utilised to support youth initiatives |
| Source/collection of <br> data | Departmental budget documents |
| Method of <br> calculation | Simple counting |
| Data limitations | Non availability of budget information |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Non-cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Annually |
| New indicator | New |
| Desired performance | Increased support to needy communities |
| Indicator <br> responsibility | General Manager |

## Sub-Programme: Sustainable Livelihoods

## Indicator 1

| Indicator title | No. of community development awareness programmes established within <br> each Local Municipality |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | No. of awareness programmes conducted in each Local Municipality |
| Purpose/importance | Assessment of the impact of community awareness programmes |
| Source/collection of <br> data | Community members, community meetings, stakeholders, site visits |
| Method of <br> calculation | Simple Count |
| Data limitations | Biases' in data collection( Non co-operation by community members, language <br> barriers),shortage of resources, Poor Intergovernmental relations |
| Type of indicator | Outcome |
| Calculation type | Non-cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | Significantly changed |
| Desired <br> performance | Increase in the number of communities in the less serviced areas |
| Indicator <br> responsibility | Senior Manager |

Indicator 2

| Indicator title | No. of communities profiled |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Number of communities profiled in the Local Municipalities |
| Purpose/importance | To promote evidence based planning of community development programs |
| Source/collection of <br> data | From community through site visits, meetings |
| Method of <br> calculation | Simple counting |
| Data limitations | Inaccessibility of communities through blockages by community leaders |
| Type of indicator | Outcome |
| Calculation type | Non-cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | Continues without change from the previous year |
| Desired performance | Increased evidence based planning of community programs among <br> development practitioners |
| Indicator <br> responsibility | District Manager |

Indicator 3

| Indicator title | No. of households profiled |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Number of households profiled in the Local Municipalities |
| Purpose/importance | To promote evidence based planning of community development programs |
| Source/collection of <br> data | From community through site visits, meetings |
| Method of <br> calculation | Simple counting |
| Data limitations | Inaccessibility of households through blockages by household members |
| Type of indicator | Outcome |
| Calculation type | Non-cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | Continues without change from the previous year |
| Desired performance | Increased evidence based planning of community programs among <br> development practitioners |
| Indicator <br> responsibility | District Manager |

## Indicator 4

| Indicator title | No. of poor, vulnerable and marginalised households/families linked to <br> sustainable livelihoods and economic activities. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Number of community initiatives that have been mobilized |
| Purpose/importance | To promote self reliance and sustainability. |
| Source/collection of <br> data | From community and stake holders through site visits, meetings |
| Method of <br> calculation | Simple counting |
| Data limitations | Lack of knowledge from community members |
| Type of indicator | Outcome |
| Calculation type | Non-cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |


| New indicator | Continues without change from the previous year |
| :--- | :--- |
| Desired performance | Increase of self reliance and sustainability amongst the communities |
| Indicator <br> responsibility | District Manager |

Indicator 5

| Indicator title | Number of funded projects with sustainable operations. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Number of funded projects that generate income on a sustainable basis. |
| Purpose/importance | To promote sustainability of operations |
| Source/collection of <br> data | From community and stake holders through site visits, meetings |
| Method of <br> calculation | Simple counting |
| Data limitations | Non cooperation by the community members |
| Type of indicator | Outcome |
| Calculation type | Non-cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | Has significantly changed |
| Desired performance | Increase of self reliance and sustainability amongst the communities |
| Indicator <br> responsibility | District Manager |

Indicator 6

| Indicator title | Number of projects receiving integrated services from identified stakeholders |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | No of projects get assistance from various stakeholders. |
| Purpose/importance | To promote intergovernmental relations (accessibility of services) |
| Source/collection of <br> data | From community and stake holders through site visits, meetings |
| Method <br> calculation | Simple counting |
| Data limitations | Non cooperation by the community members |
| Type of indicator | Outcome |
| Calculation type | Non-cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | New. |
| Desired <br> performance | To fast track process of development through integration of service. |
| Indicator <br> responsibility | District Manager |

Indicator 7

| Indicator title | Number of households with access to food and fresh produce. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | No of households with increased nutrition. |
| Purpose/importance | Reduce hunger and improve nutritional status. |
| Source/collection of <br> data | From community and stake holders through site visits, meetings |
| Method <br> calculation | Simple counting |
| Data limitations | Non cooperation by the community members |
| Type of indicator | Outcome |
| Calculation type | Non-cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | New |
| Desired <br> performance | Reduction of poverty and hunger. |
| Indicator <br> responsibility | District Manager |

Indicator 8

| Indicator title | Rand Value of funds transferred to food production and income generating <br> projects |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Amount of money transferred to food production and income generating <br> projects |
| Purpose/importance | To determine the funds utilised to support food production and income <br> generating projects |
| Source/collection of <br> data | Departmental budget documents |
| Method of <br> calculation | Simple counting |
| Data limitations | Non availability of budget information |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Non-cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Annually |
| New indicator | New |
| Desired <br> performance | Increased support to needy communities |
| Indicator <br> responsibility | General Manager |

## Sub-Programme: Women Development

## Indicator 1

| Indicator title | Number of women initiatives funded and transformed to Social Cooperatives |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Promotion of NPOs into cooperatives |
| Purpose/importance | To promote independency amongst women |
| Source/collection of <br> data | From community and stake holders through site visits, meetings |
| Method of <br> calculation | Simple counting |
| Data limitations | Non cooperation by the community members |
| Type of indicator | Outcome |
| Calculation type | Non-cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | Has significantly changed. |
| Desired <br> performance | Develop of sense of ownership and income. |
| Indicator <br> responsibility | District Manager |

## Indicator 2

| Indicator title | Number of women with improved household income |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Women income generating initiatives. |
| Purpose/importance | Fight Poverty \& unemployment |
| Source/collection of <br> data | From community and stake holders through site visits, meetings |
| Method of <br> calculation | Simple counting |
| Data limitations | Non cooperation by the community members |
| Type of indicator | Outcome |
| Calculation type | Non-cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | Has significantly changed |
| Desired <br> performance | Reduction of poverty and unemployment. |
| Indicator <br> responsibility | Responsibility Manager \& District Manager |

Indicator 3

| Indicator title | Rand Value of funds transferred to women initiatives |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Amount of money transferred to women income generating initiatives |
| Purpose/importance | To determine the funds utilised to support women initiatives |
| Source/collection of <br> data | Departmental budget documents |
| Method <br> calculation | Simple counting |
| Data limitations | Non availability of budget information |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Non-cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Annually |
| New indicator | New |
| Desired <br> performance | Increased support to needy communities |
| Indicator <br> responsibility | Responsibility Manager \& General Manager |

Indicator 4

| Indicator title | Number of partnerships established and strengthened for empowerment of <br> women \& in support of women initiatives |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Public-Private Partnerships |
| Purpose/importance | Promotion of self reliance \& empowerment of women |
| Source/collection of <br> data | From community and stakeholders through site visits, meetings |
| Method <br> calculation | Simple counting |
| Data limitations | Non cooperation by the community members |
| Type of indicator | Outcome |
| Calculation type | Non-cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | Has significantly changed. |
| Desired <br> performance | Increased levels of sustainability \& self reliance amongst women. |
| Indicator <br> responsibility | Responsibility Manager |

Indicator 5

| Indicator title | Number of community development awareness \& advocacy programs focusing <br> on gender issues and social cohesion conducted in each local municipality. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Promotion of social cohesion \& advocacy |
| Purpose/importance | To promote social cohesion \& gender equality in communities |
| Source/collection of <br> data | From community and stakeholders through site visits, meetings, workshops |
| Method <br> calculation$\quad$ of | Simple counting |
| Data limitations | Non cooperation by the community members |
| Type of indicator | Outcome |
| Calculation type | Non-cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | Has significantly changed. |
| Desired <br> performance | Strong advocacy campaigns |
| Indicator <br> responsibility | Responsibility Manager \& District Manager |

## Sub-Programme: Institutional Capacity Building and Support

## Indicator 1

| Indicator title | Number of projects managed in line with the systems and operations manual. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Number of activities performed in monitoring implementation of policies |
| Purpose/importance | To ensure that implementation of polices is monitored |
| Source/collection of <br> data | Performance Reports, National and Provincial directives |
| Method <br> calculation of | Simple Count |
| Data limitations |  |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired <br> performance | Increase in the number of policies monitored |
| Indicator <br> responsibility | Senior Manager |

Indicator 2

| Indicator title | Number of officials capacitated community development processes. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Number of officials capacitated to manage community development processes |
| Purpose/importance | To enhance capacity of officials to deliver on community development |
| Source/collection of <br> data | Training records |
| Method <br> calculation | Simple counting |
| Data limitations | Non attendance and cooperation by officials |
| Type of indicator | Outcome |
| Calculation type | Non-cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | Slightly changed |
| Desired <br> performance | Improved performance in community development |
| Indicator <br> responsibility | Responsibility Manager |

Indicator 3

| Indicator title | Number of registered projects operating as legal entities (NPO, Cooperatives <br> etc). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | No of projects mobilised to register and function as legal entities |
| Purpose/importance | To ensure legislative compliance and accountability |
| Source/collection of <br> data | From project records, community and stakeholders |
| Method <br> calculation | Simple counting |
| Data limitations | Biases in data collection (Non co-operation by community/project members, <br> language barriers), shortage of resources, poor stakeholders relations |
| Type of indicator | Outcome |
| Calculation type | Non-cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | Yes |
| Desired <br> performance | Community based initiatives are registered as legal entities |
| Indicator <br> responsibility | Programme Manager, Responsibility Manager and District Manager |

Indicator 4

| Indicator title | Number of funded NPO's and Coops capacitated on management and technical <br> skills according to the Capacity Building Framework |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | No of funded initiatives capacitated on management and technical skills <br> according to the Capacity Building Framework |
| Purpose/importance | To capacitate funded NPO's and Cooperatives |
| Source/collection of <br> data | From project records, community and stakeholders |
| Method <br> calculation | Simple counting |
| Data limitations | Non cooperation of funded NPO's and Cooperatives |
| Type of indicator | Outcome |
| Calculation type | Non-cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | Significantly improved |
| Desired <br> performance | Community based initiatives are capacitated |
| Indicator <br> responsibility | Senior Manager |

## Sub-Programme: Research and Demography

## Indicator 1

| Indicator title | Number of studies (research projects) conducted on population and social <br> issues. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | No. of studies conducted on population and social issues |
| Purpose/importance | To promote evidence based planning and programming |
| Source/collection of <br> data | Houses of data(e.g. STATSSA) Community members and stakeholders |
| Method <br> calculation | Simple counting |
| Data limitations | Biases in data collected, non cooperation from community members and <br> stakeholders |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Annual |
| New indicator | Slightly changed |
| Desired <br> performance | Planning based on scientific evidence / researched information |
| Indicator <br> responsibility | Responsibility Manager / Senior Manager |

Indicator 2

| Indicator title | Number of research projects in progress |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Number of research projects in progress |
| Purpose/importance | To promote evidence based planning and programming |
| Source/collection of <br> data | Houses of data (STATSSA), community members and stakeholders |
| Method <br> calculation | Simple counting |
| Data limitations | Biases in data collected, non cooperation from community participants |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Annual |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired <br> performance | Increased awareness on population and social issues |
| Indicator <br> responsibility | Responsibility Manager |

## Indicator 3

| Indicator title | Number of demographic profiles completed |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Number of demographic profiles/state of population report completed |
| Purpose/importance | To provide reliable and updated baseline data on development and <br> demographic trends for all programmes. |
| Source/collection of <br> data | Houses of data STATSSA, HSRC, previous surveys in the Eastern Cape |
| Method <br> calculation of | Simple counting |
| Data limitations | Gaps in collected information, Biases in data collected |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Annual |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired <br> performance | Increased awareness on population and social issues |
| Indicator <br> responsibility | Responsibility Manager |

## Sub-Programme: Population, Capacity Building and Support

Indicator 1

| Indicator title | Number of officials trained in utilization of population information during <br> planning and implementation processes. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Number of stakeholders participated in capacity building training. |
| Purpose/importance | Number of information sharing sessions/ seminars/ workshops conducted |
| Source/collection of <br> data | Number of advocacy information, education and communication activities <br> implemented to support population policy implementation |
| Method of <br> calculation | Simple counting |
| Data limitations | Biases in data collection (Non co-operation by community/project members, <br> language barriers), shortage of resources, poor stakeholders relations |
| Type of indicator | Outcome |
| Calculation type | Non-cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Quarterly |
| New indicator | Yes |
| Desired <br> performance | Improved social facilitation |
| Indicator <br> responsibility | Programme Manager, Responsibility Manager and District Manager |

## Indicator 2

| Indicator title | Number of stakeholders participated in capacity building training. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | No. of stakeholders capacitated on population and development issues |
| Purpose/importance | To enhance the capacity of officials on population and development issues. |
| Source/collection of <br> data | Attendance registers, session reports, participants |
| Method <br> calculation | Simple counting |
| Data limitations | Non cooperation of participants |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Annual |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired <br> performance | Increased awareness on population and development issues |
| Indicator <br> responsibility | Responsibility Manager |

Indicator 3

| Indicator title | Number of stakeholders who participated in dissemination workshops for <br> population and development |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Nr of stakeholders attending dissemination workshops |
| Purpose/importance | To support population policy implementation |
| Source/data collection | Attendance registers, invitations, reports, participants |
| Method of calculation | Simple counting |
| Data limitations | Non attendance of participants |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Annual |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | Increased awareness of population and development issues |
| Indicator <br> responsibility | Responsibility manager |

Indicator 4

| Indicator title | Number of capacity development workshops on population and development <br> conducted |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | Nr of capacity building training workshops conducted |
| Purpose/importance | To support population policy implementation |
| Source/data collection | Attendance registers, invitations, reports. |
| Method of calculation | Simple counting |
| Data limitations | Non attendance of participants |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Annual |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | Increased implementation of population policy |
| Indicator <br> responsibility | Responsibility manager |

Indicator 5

| Indicator title | Number of information sharing sessions/ seminars/ workshops conducted |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | No. of conducted information sharing sessions |
| Purpose/importance | Information sharing |
| Source/collection of <br> data | Attendance registers, session reports, participants |
| Method of calculation | Simple counting |
| Data limitations | Inaccurate reports due to delays in completion, non cooperation of participants |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Annual |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired performance | Increased awareness on population and social issues |
| Indicator <br> responsibility | Responsibility Manager |

Indicator 6

| Indicator title | Number of advocacy information, education and communication activities <br> implemented to support population policy implementation |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short definition | No. of implemented advocacy, information and communication activities |
| Purpose/importance | To support population policy implementation |
| Source/collection of <br> data | Attendance registers, session reports, participants |
| Method <br> calculation | Simple counting |
| Data limitations | Non attendance by targeted participants |
| Type of indicator | Output |
| Calculation type | Cumulative |
| Reporting cycle | Annual |
| New indicator | No |
| Desired <br> performance | Increased awareness on population and social issues |
| Indicator <br> responsibility | Responsibility Manager |

